

## Homeomorphisms via M-Open Sets in n-Cylindrical Neutrosophic Topological Spaces

S. Anandhi<sup>1</sup>, R. Vijayalakshmi<sup>2\*</sup>, and K. Shantha lakshmi<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar - 608 002, India.

<sup>2</sup> Arignar Anna Government Arts College, Namakkal - 637 002, India.

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, M.Kumarasamy College of Engineering, Karur - 639 113, India.

\*Corresponding Author: R. Vijayalakshmi and K. Shantha lakshmi

### Article History:

Received- 25-01-2025

Revised- 04-03-2025

Accepted- 16-03-2025

### Abstract

In this article, we introduce the novel notions of n-CyNM homeomorphism, n-CyNMC-homeomorphism, and n-CyNMT<sub>1/2</sub>-spaces within the context of n-

Cylindrical neutrosophic topological spaces. These concepts serve as natural extensions of classical homeomorphisms and separation axioms into the neutrosophic framework, incorporating degrees of membership, non-membership, and indeterminacy. We systematically develop and examine several key properties and structural features associated with these notions. In addition, a series of theorems and related results are established, offering deeper insights into the behavior and potential applications of these mappings and spaces in neutrosophic topology.

**Keywords and phrases:** n - CyNM -homeomorphism, n - CyNMC homeomorphism, and n-CyNMT<sub>1/2</sub>-space.

**AMS (2000) subject classification:** 03E72, 54A10, 54A40

### Introduction

Following Zadeh's seminal introduction of fuzzy sets (fs) in 1965 [21], Chang [3] extended this idea by developing fuzzy topological spaces (fts), paving the way for classical topological concepts to be reinterpreted within the framework of fuzzy topology. This advancement stimulated extensive research in the field. A significant generalization, known as intuitionistic fuzzy sets (ifs), was introduced by Atanassov in 1986 [2], further enriching the mathematical treatment of uncertainty. Building on this, Coker [4] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces (ifts), and Jeon et al. [6] investigated concepts such as intuitionistic fuzzy continuity and pre-continuity.

The introduction of neutrosophy and neutrosophic sets by Smarandache [14, 15] marked a new direction in modeling indeterminacy and inconsistency. Salama and Alblowi [9] later proposed neutrosophic crisp sets and neutrosophic topological spaces (Nts), expanding on the notion of ifts by incorporating three degrees membership, indeterminacy, and non-membership assigned

---

<sup>1</sup> anandhisivamani1999@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Viji\_lakshmi80@rediffmail.com

<sup>3</sup> kslakshmi20@gmail.com

to each element. Neutrosophic theory has since evolved into a robust generalization encompassing both crisp and fuzzy systems.

Smarandache also introduced the concept of dependence among fuzzy and neutrosophic components. Arokiarani et al. [1] further contributed to this field by introducing a more generalized neutrosophic set (NS), in which the sum of the three membership values does not exceed 3. In the same year, Veereswari [20] proposed neutrosophic topological spaces and explored foundational topological operations in this setting.

Advancing this line of research, Saranya et al. [10] introduced the concept of  $n$ -Cylindrical neutrosophic sets ( $n$ -CyNS), characterized by  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  as dependent components and  $\beta$  as an independent component. Apart from standard neutrosophic sets,  $n$ -CyNS represents a comprehensive generalization of fuzzy sets. In this formulation, the positive ( $\alpha$ ), neutral ( $\beta$ ), and negative ( $\gamma$ ) membership functions satisfy the conditions  $0 \leq \beta_A \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq \alpha_A^n(x) + \gamma_A^n(x) \leq 1$ , where  $n > 1$  is an integer.

Later, Saranya et al. [12] introduced the concept of  $n$ -CyN continuity for mappings between two  $n$ -Cylindrical neutrosophic topological spaces ( $n$ -CyNts), and defined the corresponding notions of  $n$ -CyN interior ( $n$ -CyNint) and closure ( $n$ -CyNcl) for subsets in this context.

In a parallel development, El-Maghrabi and Al-Juhani [5] introduced the concept of  $M$ -open sets in general topological spaces and explored their fundamental properties.  $M$ -open sets have since played a crucial role in topological theory due to their applicability across various mathematical fields and real-world applications. Padma et al. [8] extended this notion to nano topological spaces. Further contributions by Vadivel et al. [16, 17, 18] examined open sets within fuzzy nano and neutrosophic nano topological settings. Similarly, Kalaiyarsan et al. [7] and Vadivel et al. [19] investigated  $M$ -open sets in fuzzy and neutrosophic nano topological spaces.

In this paper, section 2 provides a concise review of key definitions related to intuitionistic fuzzy sets (ifs), neutrosophic sets (NS),  $n$ -Cylindrical neutrosophic sets ( $n$ -CyNS), and mappings on  $n$ -Cylindrical neutrosophic topological spaces ( $n$ -CyNCTs). Sections 3 and 4 introduce the concepts of  $n$ -CyNM-homeomorphism and  $n$ -CyNMC-homeomorphism within the framework of  $n$ -CyNts, and examine their fundamental properties with the support of illustrative examples. Section 5 concludes the summary of the results and potential directions for future research.

## Preliminaries

This section covers some basic definitions and examples that will be useful in subsequent discussions.

**Definition 2.1** [21] A fuzzy set (briefly, fs)  $A$  in  $X$  is defined by membership function  $\mu_A: A \rightarrow [0,1]$  whose membership value  $\mu_A(x)$  shows the degree to which  $x \in X$  includes in the fuzzy set  $A$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 2.2** [3] A fuzzy topological space (briefly, fts) is a pair  $(X, \tau_X)$ , where  $X$  is any

set and  $\tau_X$  is a family of fuzzy sets in  $X$  satisfying following axioms:

- (i).  $\phi, X \in \tau$ ,
- (ii). If  $A, B \in \tau$ , then  $A \cap B \in \tau$ ,
- (iii). If  $A_i \in \tau$  for each  $i \in I$ , then  $\cup A_i \in \tau$ .

**Definition 2.3** [2] An intuitionistic fuzzy set (briefly, ifs)  $A$  on  $X$  is an object of the form  $A = \{ \langle x, \alpha_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$  where  $\alpha_A(x) \in [0,1]$  is called the degree of membership of  $x$  in  $A$ ,  $\gamma_A(x) \in [0,1]$  is called the degree of non-membership of  $x$  in  $A$ , and where  $\alpha_A$  and  $\gamma_A$  satisfy (for all  $x \in X$ )  $(\alpha_A(x) + \gamma_A(x) \leq 1)$  ifs( $X$ ) denotes the set of all the ifs's on  $X$ .

**Definition 2.4** [15] A neutrosophic set  $A$  on  $X$  is an object of the form  $A = \{ \langle x, \alpha_A(x), \beta_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ , where  $\alpha_A(x), \beta_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \in [0,1], 0 \leq \alpha_A(x) + \beta_A(x) + \gamma_A(x) \leq 3$ , for all  $x \in X$ .  $\alpha_A(x)$  is the degree of truth membership,  $\beta_A(x)$  is the degree of indeterminacy and  $\gamma_A(x)$  is the degree of non-membership. Here  $\alpha_A(x)$  and  $\gamma_A(x)$  are dependent components and  $\beta_A(x)$  is an independent component.

**Definition 2.5** [9] A neutrosophic topology (Nt) on a non-empty set  $X$  is a family  $\tau_X$  of neutrosophic subsets in  $X$  satisfying the following axioms:

- (i).  $0_N, 1_N \in \tau_X$ ,
- (ii).  $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau_X$  for any  $G_1, G_2 \in \tau_X$ ,
- (iii).  $\cup G_i \in \tau_X$ , for all  $\{G_i : i \in J\} \subseteq \tau_X$ .

In this case the pair  $(X, \tau_X)$  is called a neutrosophic topological spaces (briefly, Nts) and any neutrosophic set in  $\tau$  is known as neutrosophic open set (briefly, Nos) in  $X$ . The elements of  $\tau_X$  are called neutrosophic open sets. A neutrosophic set  $F$  is closed if and only if  $F^c$  is neutrosophic open.

**Definition 2.6** [10] An n-Cylindrical neutrosophic set (briefly, n-CyNS)  $A$  on  $X$  is an object of the form  $A = \{ \langle x, \alpha_A(x), \beta_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ , where  $\alpha_A(x) \in [0,1]$  called the degree of positive membership of  $x$  in  $A$ ,  $\beta_A(x) \in [0,1]$  called the degree of neutral membership of  $x$  in  $A$  and  $\gamma_A(x) \in [0,1]$  called the degree of negative membership of  $x$  in  $A$ , which satisfies the condition: (for all  $x \in X$ )  $(0 \leq \beta_A(x) \leq 1)$  and  $0 \leq \alpha_A^n(x) + \gamma_A^n(x) \leq 1, n > 1$ , is an integer. Here  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are dependent neutrosophic components and  $\beta$  is 100% independent.

For the convenience,  $\langle \alpha_A(x), \beta_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle$  is called as n-Cylindrical neutrosophic number (briefly, n-CyNN) and is denoted as  $A = \{ \langle \alpha_A, \beta_A, \gamma_A \rangle \}$ .

**Definition 2.7** [10] Let  $\{A_i: i \in I\}$  be an arbitrary family of  $n$ -CyNS in  $X$ . Then,  $\cap A_i = \{ \langle x, \inf(\alpha_{A_i}(x)), \inf(\beta_{A_i}(x)), \sup(\gamma_{A_i}(x)) \rangle : x \in X \}, \cup A_i = \{ \langle x, \sup(\alpha_{A_i}(x)), \sup(\beta_{A_i}(x)), \inf(\gamma_{A_i}(x)) \rangle : x \in X \}$ .

**Definition 2.8** [10]  $0_{CyN} = \{ \langle x, 0, 0, 1 \rangle : x \in X \}$  and  $1_{CyN} = \{ \langle x, 1, 1, 0 \rangle : x \in X \}$

**Definition 2.9** [10] **(The Basic Connectives)** Let  $\tau_N(X)$  denote the family of all  $n$ -CyNs on  $X$ .

**Definition 2.10** [10] Inclusion: For every two  $A, B \in \tau_N(X)$ , the inclusion of two  $n$ -CyNS's  $A$  and  $B$  is  $A \subseteq B$  iff (for all  $x \in X$ ,  $\alpha_A(x) \leq \alpha_B(x)$  and  $\beta_A(x) \leq \beta_B(x)$  and  $\gamma_A(x) \geq \gamma_B(x)$ ) and  $(A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A)$ .

**Definition 2.11** [10] Union: For every two  $A, B \in \tau_N(X)$ , the union of two  $n$ -CyNS's  $A$  and  $B$  is  $A \cup B(x) = \{ \langle x, \max(\alpha_A(x), \alpha_B(x)), \max(\beta_A(x), \beta_B(x)), \min(\gamma_A(x), \gamma_B(x)) \rangle : x \in X \}$ .

**Definition 2.12** [10] Intersection: For every two  $A, B \in \tau_N(X)$ , the intersection of two  $n$ -CyNS's  $A$  and  $B$  is  $A \cap B(x) = \{ \langle x, \min(\alpha_A(x), \alpha_B(x)), \min(\beta_A(x), \beta_B(x)), \max(\gamma_A(x), \gamma_B(x)) \rangle : x \in X \}$ .

**Definition 2.13** [10] Complementary: For every  $A \in \tau_N(X)$ , the complement of an  $n$ -CyNS's  $A$  is  $A^c = \{ \langle x, \gamma_A(x), 1 - \beta_A(x), \alpha_A(x) \rangle : x \in X \}$ .

**Definition 2.14** [10] Sum: For every two  $A, B \in \tau_N(X)$ , the sum of two  $n$ -CyNS's  $A$  and  $B$  is  $A \oplus B(x) = \{ \langle x, (\frac{\alpha_A(x) \cdot \alpha_B(x)}{\alpha_A(x) + \alpha_B(x)}), \max(\beta_A(x), \beta_B(x)), \min(\gamma_A(x), \gamma_B(x)) \rangle : x \in X \}$ .

**Definition 2.15** [10] Difference: For every two  $A, B \in \tau_N(X)$ , the difference of two  $n$ -CyNS's  $A$  and  $B$  is  $A \ominus B(x) = \{ \langle x, \max(\alpha_A(x), \alpha_B(x)), \min(\beta_A(x), \beta_B(x)), (\frac{\gamma_A(x) \cdot \gamma_B(x)}{\gamma_A(x) + \gamma_B(x)}) \rangle : x \in X \}$ .

**Definition 2.16** [10] Product: For every two  $A, B \in \tau_N(X)$ , the product of two  $n$ -CyNS's  $A$  and  $B$  is  $A \otimes B(x) = \{ \langle x, (\alpha_A(x) \cdot \alpha_B(x)), (\beta_A(x) \cdot \beta_B(x)), (\gamma_A(x) \cdot \gamma_B(x)) \rangle : x \in X \}$ .

**Definition 2.17** [10] Division: For every two  $A, B \in \tau_N(X)$ , the division of two  $n$ -CyNS's  $A$  and  $B$  is  $A \oslash B(x) = \{ \langle x, \min(\alpha_A(x), \alpha_B(x)), (\beta_A(x) \cdot \beta_B(x)), \max(\gamma_A(x), \gamma_B(x)) \rangle : x \in X \}$ .

**Remark 2.1** [10]

For every  $A, B$  and  $C \in \tau_N(X)$ ,

(i). If  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq C$  then  $A \subseteq C$ ,

(ii).  $A \cup B = B \cup A$  &  $A \cap B = B \cap A$ ,

(iii).  $(A \cup B) \cup C = A \cup (B \cup C)$  &  $(A \cap B) \cap C = A \cap (B \cap C)$ ,

(iv).  $(A \cup B) \cap C = (A \cap C) \cup (B \cap C)$  &  $(A \cap B) \cup C = (A \cup C) \cap (B \cap C)$ ,

(v).  $A \cap A = A$  &  $A \cup A = A$ ,

(vi). De Morgan's Law for  $A$  &  $B$  ie.,  $(A \cup B)^c = A^c \cap B^c$  &  $(A \cap B)^c = A^c \cup B^c$ ,

(vii).  $(A \oplus B) = (B \oplus A)$ ,

(viii).  $(A \otimes B) = (B \otimes A)$ .

**Definition 2.18** [12] An  $n$ -cylindrical neutrosophic topology (briefly,  $n$ -CyNt) on a non-empty set  $X$  is a family,  $\tau_X$ , of  $n$ -CyNS in  $X$  which satisfies the following conditions:

(i).  $0_{CyN}, 1_{CyN} \in \tau_X$ ,

(ii).  $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \tau_X$ ,

(iii).  $\cup A_i \in \tau_X$ , for any arbitrary family  $A_i \in \tau_X, i \in I$ .

The pair  $(X, \tau_X)$  is called an  $n$ -cylindrical neutrosophic topological Spaces (briefly,  $n$ -CyNts) and any  $n$ -CyNS belongs to  $\tau_X$  is called an  $n$ -cylindrical neutrosophic open set (briefly,  $n$ -CyNos) and the complement of  $n$ -CyNos is called  $n$ -cylindrical neutrosophic closed set

(briefly,  $n$ -CyNcs) in  $X$ . Like classical topological spaces and fuzzy topological spaces, the family  $\{0_{\text{CyN}}, 1_{\text{CyN}}\}$  is called indiscrete  $n$ -CyNts and the topology containing all the  $n$ -CyN subsets is called discrete  $n$ -CyNts.

**Remark 2.2** [12] Obviously any fuzzy topological spaces or intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces or Pythagorean fuzzy topological spaces is an  $n$ -CyNts as any subsets of the fuzzy spaces, intuitionistic fuzzy space, and Pythagorean fuzzy space can be viewed as  $n$ -CyN subsets.

**Definition 2.19** [12] Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two  $n$ -cylindrical neutrosophic subsets of an  $n$ -CyNts.  $B$  is called neighbourhood of  $A$  if there exists an  $n$ -CyNos,  $O$  such that  $A \subset O \subset B$ .

**Proposition 2.1** [12]  $A \subset X$  is  $n$ -cylindrical neutrosophic open in  $(X, \tau_X)$  if and only if it carries a neighbourhood of its subsets.

**Definition 2.20** [12] Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be an  $n$ -CyNts and let  $A = \{\langle x, \alpha_A(x), \beta_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$  is an  $n$ -CyNS in  $X$ . Then, the  $n$ -cylindrical neutrosophic interior (briefly,  $n$ -CyNint) is defined as the  $n$ -CyN union of all  $n$ -CyN open subsets of  $X$ . ie,  $n\text{-CyNint}(A) = \bigcup \{G : G \in \tau_X \text{ and } G \subseteq A\}$ . Clearly,  $n\text{-CyNint}(A)$  is the biggest  $n$ -CyNos that is contained by  $A$ .

**Definition 2.21** [12] Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be an  $n$ -CyNts and let  $A = \{\langle x, \alpha_A(x), \beta_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$  is an  $n$ -CyNS in  $X$ . Then, the  $n$ -cylindrical neutrosophic closure (briefly,  $n$ -CyNcl) is defined as the  $n$ -CyN intersection of all  $n$ -CyN closed subsets of  $X$ . ie,  $n\text{-CyNcl}(A) = \bigcap \{K : K \in \tau_X \text{ and } A \subseteq K\}$ . Clearly,  $n\text{-CyNcl}(A)$  is the smallest  $n$ -CyNcs that contains  $A$ .

**Definition 2.22** [11] Let  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(Y, \tau_2)$  be two  $n$ -CyNts and let  $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  be a  $n$ -CyN function. Then  $f$  said to be  $n$ -CyN continuous (briefly,  $n$ -CyNcts) map if for any  $n$ -cylindrical neutrosophic subset  $A$  of  $X$  and for any neighbourhood  $\mathfrak{B}$  of  $f(A)$  there exists a neighbourhood  $\mathfrak{U}$  of  $A$  such that  $f(\mathfrak{U}) \subset \mathfrak{B}$ .

### Cylindrical Neutrosophic M-Homeomorphisms

In this section, we introduce  $n$ -Cylindrical neutrosophic M-homeomorphisms and look at some of its feature in this section.

**Definition 3.1** Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be an  $n$ -CyNts and  $A$  be an  $n$ -CyNs. Then,  $A$  is said to be an  $n$ -CyN

- (i). regular open set (briefly,  $n$ -CyNros), if  $A = n\text{-CyNint}(n\text{-CyNcl}(A))$ ,
- (ii). regular closed set (briefly,  $n$ -CyNracs), if  $A = n\text{-CyNcl}(n\text{-CyNint}(A))$ .

**Definition 3.2** Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be an  $n$ -CyNts and  $A = \{\langle x, \alpha_A(x), \beta_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$  be an  $n$ -CyNs in  $X$ . Then, the  $n$ -Cylindrical neutrosophic  $\delta$ -interior of  $A$  and the  $n$ -Cylindrical neutrosophic  $\delta$ -closure of  $A$  are denoted by  $n\text{-CyN}\delta\text{int}(A)$  and  $n\text{-CyN}\delta\text{cl}(A)$  are defined as follows:

- (i).  $n\text{-CyN}\delta\text{int}(A) = \bigcup \{G \mid G \text{ is an } n\text{-CyNros and } G \subseteq A\}$ ,
- (ii).  $n\text{-CyN}\delta\text{cl}(A) = \bigcap \{K \mid K \text{ is an } n\text{-CyNracs and } A \subseteq K\}$ .

**Definition 3.3** Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be an  $n$ -CyNts and  $A = \{\langle x, \alpha_A(x), \beta_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$  be an  $n$ -CyNS in  $X$ . Then, the  $n$ -Cylindrical neutrosophic  $\theta$ -interior of  $A$  and the  $n$ -Cylindrical neutrosophic  $\theta$ -closure of  $A$  are denoted by  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ int( $A$ ) and  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ cl( $A$ ) are defined as follows:

- (i).  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ int( $A$ ) =  $\cup\{n - \text{CyNint}(B) : B \subseteq A \& B \text{ isa } n - \text{CyNcs in } X\}$ ,
- (ii).  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ cl( $A$ ) =  $\cap\{n - \text{CyNcl}(B) : A \subseteq B \& B \text{ isa } n - \text{CyNos in } X\}$ .

**Definition 3.4** Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be an  $n$ -CyNts and  $A = \{\langle x, \alpha_A(x), \beta_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$  be an  $n$ -CyNS in  $X$ . A set  $A$  is said to be  $n$ -CyN

- (i).  $\delta$ -open set (briefly,  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ os), if  $A = n$ -CyN $\delta$ int( $A$ ),
- (ii).  $\delta$ -pre open set (briefly,  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ Pos), if  $A \subseteq n$ -CyNint( $n$ -CyN $\delta$ cl( $A$ )),
- (iii).  $\delta$ -semi open set (briefly,  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ Sos), if  $A \subseteq n$ -CyNcl( $n$ -CyN $\delta$ int( $A$ )),
- (iv).  $\theta$ -open set (briefly,  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ os), if  $A = n$ -CyN $\theta$ int( $A$ ),
- (v).  $\theta$ -semi open set (briefly,  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ Sos), if  $A \subseteq n$ -CyNcl( $n$ -CyN $\theta$ int( $A$ )),
- (vi).  $e$ -open set (briefly,  $n$ -CyNeos), if  $A = n$ -CyNcl( $n$ -CyN $\delta$ int( $A$ ))  $\cup$   $n$ -CyNint( $n$ -CyN $\delta$ cl( $A$ )),
- (vii).  $M$ -open set (briefly,  $n$ -CyNMos), if  $A \subseteq n$ -CyNcl( $n$ -CyN $\theta$ int( $A$ ))  $\cup$   $n$ -CyNint( $n$ -CyN $\delta$ cl( $A$ )).

The complement of a  $n$ -CyNMos (resp.  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ os,  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ Pos,  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ Sos,  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ os,  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ Sos &  $n$ -CyNeos) is called a  $n$ -CyNM (resp.  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ ,  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ P,  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ S,  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ ,  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ S &  $n$ -CyNe) closed set (briefly,  $n$ -CyNMcs (resp.  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ cs,  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ Pcs,  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ Scs,  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ cs,  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ Scs &  $n$ -CyNecs)) in  $X$ .

The family of all  $n$ -CyNMos (resp.  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ os,  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ Pos,  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ Sos,  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ os,  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ Sos &  $n$ -CyNeos) of  $X$  is denoted by  $n$ -CyNMOS( $X$ ), (resp.  $n$ -CyNMCS( $X$ ),  $n$ -

$CyN\delta OS(X)$ ,  $n - CyN\delta CS(X)$ ,  $n - CyN\delta \mathcal{P}OS(X)$ ,  $n - CyN\delta PCS(X)$ ,  $n - CyN\delta SOS(X)$ ,  $n - CyN\delta SCS(X)$ ,  $n - CyN\theta OS(X)$ ,  $n - CyN\theta CS(X)$ ,  $n - CyN\theta SOS(X)$ ,  $n - CyN\theta SCS(X)$ ,  $n - CyNeOS(X)$  &  $n - CyNeCS(X)$ .

**Definition 3.5** Let  $(X, \tau_X)$  be an  $n$ -CyNts and  $A = \{\langle x, \alpha_A(x), \beta_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$  be an  $n$ -CyNS in  $X$ . Then, the  $n$ -CyN

(i).  $M$ -interior (resp.  $n$ - $CyN\delta$ -interior,  $n$ - $CyN\delta P$ -interior,  $n$ - $CyN\delta S$ -interior,  $n$ - $CyN\theta$ -interior,  $n$ - $CyN\theta S$ -interior &  $n$ - $CyNe$ -interior) of  $A$  (briefly,  $n$ - $CyNMint(A)$  (resp.  $n$ - $CyN\delta int(A)$ ,  $n$ - $CyN\delta Pint(A)$ ,  $n$ - $CyN\delta Sint(A)$ ,  $n$ - $CyN\theta int(A)$ ,  $n$ - $CyN\theta Sint(A)$  &  $n$ - $CyNeint(A)$ ) is defined by  $n$ - $CyNMint(A)$  (resp.  $n$ - $CyN\delta int(A)$ ,  $n$ - $CyN\delta Pint(A)$ ,  $n$ - $CyN\delta Sint(A)$ ,  $n$ - $CyN\theta int(A)$ ,  $n$ - $CyN\theta Sint(A)$  &  $n$ - $CyNeint(A)$ ) =  $\cup\{G : G \subseteq A \text{ and } G \text{ is a } n\text{-CyNMos (resp. } n\text{-CyN}\delta os, n\text{-CyN}\delta \mathcal{P}os, n\text{-CyN}\delta Sos, n\text{-CyN}\theta os, n\text{-CyN}\theta Sos \& n\text{-CyNeos) in } X\}$ .

(ii).  $M$ -closure (resp.  $n$ - $CyN\delta$ -closure,  $n$ - $CyN\delta P$ -closure,  $n$ - $CyN\delta S$ -closure,  $n$ - $CyN\theta$ -closure,  $n$ - $CyN\theta S$ -closure &  $n$ - $CyNe$ -closure) of  $A$  (briefly,  $n$ - $CyNMcl(A)$  (resp.  $n$ - $CyN\delta cl(A)$ ,  $n$ - $CyN\delta Pcl(A)$ ,  $n$ - $CyN\delta Scl(A)$ ,  $n$ - $CyN\theta cl(A)$ ,  $n$ - $CyN\theta Scl(A)$  &  $n$ - $CyNecl(A)$ ) is defined by  $n$ - $CyNMcl(A)$  (resp.  $n$ - $CyN\delta cl(A)$ ,  $n$ - $CyN\delta Pcl(A)$ ,  $n$ - $CyN\delta Scl(A)$ ,  $n$ - $CyN\theta cl(A)$ ,  $n$ - $CyN\theta Scl(A)$  &  $n$ - $CyNecl(A)$ ) =  $\cap\{K : K \subseteq A \text{ and } K \text{ is a } n\text{-CyNMcs (resp. } n\text{-CyN}\delta cs, n\text{-CyN}\delta Pcs, n\text{-CyN}\delta Scs, n\text{-CyN}\theta cs, n\text{-CyN}\theta Scs \& n\text{-CyNecs) in } X\}$ .

**Definition 3.6** Let  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(Y, \tau_2)$  be any two  $n$ -CyNts's. A map  $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  is said to be a  $n$ -CyN

(i).  $\delta$ -continuous map (briefly,  $n$ - $CyN\delta Cts$  map), if the inverse image of every  $n$ - $CyNos$  in  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is a  $n$ - $CyN\delta os$  in  $(X, \tau_1)$ ,

(ii).  $\delta$ -pre continuous map (briefly,  $n$ - $CyN\delta \mathcal{P}Cts$  map), if the inverse image of every  $n$ - $CyNos$  in  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is a  $n$ - $CyN\delta \mathcal{P}os$  in  $(X, \tau_1)$ ,

(iii).  $\delta$ -semi continuous map (briefly,  $n$ - $CyN\delta SCts$  map), if the inverse image of every  $n$ - $CyNos$  in  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is a  $n$ - $CyN\delta Sos$  in  $(X, \tau_1)$ ,

(iv).  $\theta$ -continuous map (briefly,  $n$ - $CyN\theta Cts$  map), if the inverse image of every  $n$ - $CyNos$  in  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is a  $n$ - $CyN\theta os$  in  $(X, \tau_1)$ ,

(v).  $\theta S$ -continuous map (briefly,  $n$ - $CyN\theta SCts$  map), if the inverse image of every  $n$ -

CyNos in  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is a n-CyN $\theta$ Sos in  $(X, \tau_1)$ ,

(vi). e-continuous map (briefly, n-CyNeCts map), if the inverse image of every n-CyNos in  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is a n-CyNeos in  $(X, \tau_1)$ ,

(vii). M-continuous map (briefly, n-CyNM Cts map), if the inverse image of every n-CyNos in  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is a n-CyNMos in  $(X, \tau_1)$ .

**Definition 3.7** Let  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(Y, \tau_2)$  be any two n-CyNts's. A map  $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  is said to be a n-CyN

(i).  $\delta$ -irresolute map (briefly, n-CyN $\delta$ Irr map), if  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a n-CyN $\delta$ os in  $(X, \tau_1)$  for every n-CyN $\delta$ osB of  $(Y, \tau_2)$ ,

(ii).  $\delta$ -pre irresolute map (briefly, n-CyN $\delta$ P Irr map), if  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a n-CyN $\delta$ P os in  $(X, \tau_1)$  for every n-CyN $\delta$ P osB of  $(Y, \tau_2)$ ,

(iii).  $\delta$ -semi irresolute map (briefly, n-CyN $\delta$ S Irr map), if  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a n-CyN $\delta$ S os in  $(X, \tau_1)$  for every n-CyN $\delta$ S osB of  $(Y, \tau_2)$ ,

(iv).  $\theta$ -irresolute map (briefly, n-CyN $\theta$ Irr map), if  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a n-CyN $\theta$ os in  $(X, \tau_1)$  for every n-CyN $\theta$ osB of  $(Y, \tau_2)$ ,

(v).  $\theta$ -semi irresolute map (briefly, n-CyN $\theta$ S Irr map), if  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a n-CyN $\theta$ S os in  $(X, \tau_1)$  for every n-CyN $\theta$ S osB of  $(Y, \tau_2)$ ,

(vi). e-irresolute map (briefly, n-CyNeIrr map), if  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a n-CyNeos in  $(X, \tau_1)$  for every n-CyNeosB of  $(Y, \tau_2)$ ,

(vii). M-irresolute map (briefly, n-CyNMIrr map), if  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a n-CyNMos in  $(X, \tau_1)$  for every n-CyNMosB of  $(Y, \tau_2)$ .

**Definition 3.8** Let  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(Y, \tau_2)$  be any two n-CyNts's. A map  $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  is

said to be a  $n$ -CyN

(i).  $\delta$ -open mapping (briefly,  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ O map), if the image of every  $n$ -CyNos in  $(X, \tau_1)$  is a  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ os in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ ,

(ii).  $\delta$ -pre open mapping (briefly,  $n$ -CyN $\delta$  $\mathcal{P}$ O map), if the image of every  $n$ -CyNos in  $(X, \tau_1)$  is a  $n$ -CyN $\delta$  $\mathcal{P}$ os in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ ,

(iii).  $\delta$ -semi open mapping (briefly,  $n$ -CyN $\delta$  $\mathcal{S}$ O map), if the image of every  $n$ -CyNos in  $(X, \tau_1)$  is a  $n$ -CyN $\delta$  $\mathcal{S}$ os in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ ,

(iv).  $\theta$ -open mapping (briefly,  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ O map), if the image of every  $n$ -CyNos in  $(X, \tau_1)$  is a  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ os in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ ,

(v).  $\theta$ -semi open mapping (briefly,  $n$ -CyN $\theta$  $\mathcal{S}$ O map), if the image of every  $n$ -CyNos in  $(X, \tau_1)$  is a  $n$ -CyN $\theta$  $\mathcal{S}$ os in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ .

(vi).  $e$ -open mapping (briefly,  $n$ -CyNeO map), if the image of every  $n$ -CyNos in  $(X, \tau_1)$  is a  $n$ -CyNeos in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ ,

(vii).  $M$ -open mapping (briefly,  $n$ -CyNMO map), if the image of every  $n$ -CyNos in  $(X, \tau_1)$  is a  $n$ -CyNMos in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ .

**Definition 3.9** Let  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(Y, \tau_2)$  be any two  $n$ -CyNts's. A map  $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  is said to be a  $n$ -CyN

(i).  $\delta$ -closed mapping (briefly,  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ C map), if the image of every  $n$ -CyNcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$  is a  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ cs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ ,

(ii).  $\delta$ -pre closed mapping (briefly,  $n$ -CyN $\delta$  $\mathcal{P}$ C map), if the image of every  $n$ -CyNcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$  is a  $n$ -CyN $\delta$  $\mathcal{P}$ cs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ .

(iii).  $\delta$ -semi closed mapping (briefly,  $n$ -CyN $\delta$  $\mathcal{S}$ C map), if the image of every  $n$ -CyNcs in

$(X, \tau_1)$  is a  $n$ -CyN $\delta$ Scs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ ,

(iv).  $\theta$ -closed mapping (briefly,  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ C map), if the image of every  $n$ -CyNcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$  is a  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ cs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ ,

(v).  $\theta$ -semi closed mapping (briefly,  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ SC map), if the image of every  $n$ -CyNcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$  is a  $n$ -CyN $\theta$ Scs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ ,

(vi).  $e$ -closed mapping (briefly,  $n$ -CyNeC map), if the image of every  $n$ -CyNcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$  is a  $n$ -CyNecs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ ,

(vii).  $M$ -closed mapping (briefly,  $n$ -CyNMC map), if the image of every  $n$ -CyNcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$  is a  $n$ -CyNMcs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ .

**Definition 3.10** A bijection map  $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  is called a  $n$ -CyN (resp.  $n$ -CyNM) homeomorphism (briefly,  $n$ -CyNHom (resp.  $n$ -CyNMHom)) if  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are  $n$ -CyNCts (resp.  $n$ -CyNM Cts) maps.

**Theorem 3.1** Each  $n$ -CyNHom is a  $n$ -CyNMHom. But not conversely.

**Proof.** Let  $f$  be a  $n$ -CyNHom, then  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are  $n$ -CyNCts maps. But every  $n$ -CyNCtsmap is a  $n$ -CyNM Cts map. Hence,  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are  $n$ -CyNM Cts maps. Therefore,  $f$  is a  $n$ -CyNMHom.

**Example 3.1** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$ ,  $Y = \{y_1, y_2\}$  and the  $n$ -Cylindrical neutrosophic sets  $A$  in  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4$  in  $(Y, \tau_2)$  are defined as

$$A = \{\langle x_1, 0.1950739, 0.50, 0.1150731 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.1750737, 0.50, 0.1350733 \rangle\},$$

$$B_1 = \{\langle y_1, 0.1250732, 0.50, 0.1850738 \rangle, \langle y_2, 0.1450734, 0.50, 0.1650736 \rangle\},$$

$$B_2 = \{\langle y_1, 0.1150731, 0.50, 0.1950739 \rangle, \langle y_2, 0.1350733, 0.50, 0.1750737 \rangle\},$$

$$B_3 = \{\langle y_1, 0.1950739, 0.50, 0.1150731 \rangle, \langle y_2, 0.1750737, 0.50, 0.1350733 \rangle\},$$

$$B_4 = \{\langle y_1, 0.1250732, 0.50, 0.1850738 \rangle, \langle y_2, 0.1350733, 0.50, 0.1750737 \rangle\}.$$

Then, we have  $\tau_1 = \{0_X, 1_X, A\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{0_Y, 1_Y, B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4\}$ . Let  $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  be an identity map. Then,  $f$  is  $n$ -CyNMHom but not  $n$ -CyNHom.

**Theorem 3.2** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  be a bijective map. If  $f$  is a  $n$ -CyNMCTS map then the statements are equivalent:

- (i).  $f$  is a  $n$ -CyNMC map,
- (ii).  $f$  is a  $n$ -CyNMO map,
- (iii).  $f^{-1}$  is a  $n$ -CyNMHom.

**Proof.**

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Assume that  $f$  is a bijective map and a  $n$ -CyNMC map. Hence,  $f^{-1}$  is a  $n$ -CyNMCTS map. We know that each  $n$ -CyNcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$  is a  $n$ -CyNMos in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . Hence,  $f$  is a  $n$ -CyNMO map.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Let  $f$  be a bijective map and  $n$ -CyNO map. Further,  $f^{-1}$  is a  $n$ -CyNMCTS map. Hence,  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are  $n$ -CyNMCTS maps. Therefore,  $f$  is a  $n$ -CyNMHom.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let  $f$  be a  $n$ -CyNMHom. Then,  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are  $n$ -CyNMCTS maps. Since, each  $n$ -CyNcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$  is a  $n$ -CyNMcs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ ,  $f$  is a  $n$ -CyNMC map.

**Definition 3.11** A  $n$ -CyNts $(X, \tau_1)$  is said to be a  $n$ -Cylindrical neutrosophic  $MT_{\frac{1}{2}}$  (briefly,  $n$ -CyNMT $_{\frac{1}{2}}$ )-space if every  $n$ -CyNMcs is  $n$ -CyNcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$ .

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  be a  $n$ -CyNMHom. Then,  $f$  is a  $n$ -CyNHom if  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(Y, \tau_2)$  are  $n$ -CyNMT $_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.

**Proof.** Assume that  $B$  is a  $n$ -CyNcs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . Then,  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a  $n$ -CyNMcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Since,  $(X, \tau_1)$  is an  $n$ -CyNMT $_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a  $n$ -CyNcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Therefore,  $f$  is a  $n$ -CyNcts. By hypothesis,  $f^{-1}$  is a  $n$ -CyNMCTS map. Let  $A$  be a  $n$ -CyNcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Then,

$(f^{-1})^{-1}(A) = f(A)$  is a n-CyNcs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ , by presumption. Since,  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is a n-CyNMT $\frac{1}{2}$ -space.  $f(A)$  is a n-CyNcs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . Hence,  $f^{-1}$  is a n-CyNCts map. Hence,  $f$  is a n-CyNHom.

**Theorem 3.4** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  be a n-CyNts. Then, the following statements are equivalent if  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is a n-CyNMT $\frac{1}{2}$ -space.

(i).  $f$  is a n-CyNMC map.

(ii). If  $A$  is a n-CyNos in  $(X, \tau_1)$ , then the  $f(A)$  is a n-CyNMos in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ .

(iii).  $f(\text{n-CyNint}(A)) \subseteq \text{n-CyNcl}(\text{n-CyNint}(f(A)))$  for every n-CyNS  $A$  in  $(X, \tau_1)$ .

**Proof.**

(i) $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Obvious.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Let  $A$  be a n-CyNS in  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Then,  $\text{n-CyNint}(A)$  is a n-CyNos in  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Then,  $f(\text{n-CyNint}(A))$  is a n-CyNMos in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . Since,  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is a n-CyNMT $\frac{1}{2}$ -space, so  $h(\text{n-CyNint}(A))$  is a n-CyNos in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . Therefore,  $f(\text{n-CyNint}(A)) = \text{n-CyNint}(f(\text{n-CyNint}(A))) \subseteq \text{n-CyNcl}(\text{n-CyNint}(f(A)))$ .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$  (i): Let  $A$  be a n-CyNcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Then,  $A^c$  is a n-CyNos in  $(X, \tau_1)$ . From,  $f(\text{n-CyNint}(A)^c) \subseteq \text{n-CyNcl}(\text{n-CyNint}(f(A)^c))$ ,  $f(A^c) \subseteq \text{n-CyNcl}(\text{n-CyNint}(f(A^c)))$ . Therefore,  $f(A^c)$  is a n-CyNMos in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . Therefore,  $f(A)$  is a n-CyNMcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Hence,  $f$  is a n-CyNC map.

**Theorem 3.5** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  and  $g: (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \tau_3)$  be n-CyNMC map, where  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(Z, \tau_3)$  are two n - CyNts 's and  $(Y, \tau_2)$  is a n - CyNMT $\frac{1}{2}$ -space, then the composition  $g \circ f$  is a n-CyNMC map.

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be a n-CyNcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Since,  $f$  is a n-CyNMcs and  $f(A)$  is a n-CyNMcs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ , by assumption,  $f(A)$  is a n-CyNcs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . Since,  $g$  is a n-CyNMcs, then  $g(f(A))$  is a n-CyNMcs in  $(Z, \tau_3)$  and  $g(f(A)) = g \circ f(A)$ . Therefore,  $g \circ f$  is a n-CyNMC map.

**Theorem 3.6** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  and  $g: (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \tau_3)$  be two n-CyNts's then, the following statements are hold:

(i). If  $g \circ f$  is a n-CyNMO map and  $f$  is a n-CyNCTs map, then  $g$  is a n-CyNMO map.

(ii). If  $g \circ f$  is a n-CyNO map and  $g$  is a n-CyNMCTs map, then  $f$  is a n-CyNMO map.

**Proof.** Obvious.

## 1. n -Cylindrical Neutrosophic M C Homeomorphisms

In this section, we introduce n-Cylindrical neutrosophic M C homeomorphism and look at some of its feature in this section.

**Definition 4.1** A bijection map  $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  is called a n-Cylindrical neutrosophic (resp. M) C homeomorphism (briefly, n-CyNCHom (resp. n-CyNMCHom)) if  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are n-CyNIrr (resp. n-CyNMIrr) maps.

**Theorem 4.1** Each n-CyNMCHom is a n-CyNMHom. But not conversely.

**Proof.** Let us assume that  $B$  is a n-CyNcs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . This shows that  $B$  is a n-CyNMcs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . By assumption,  $f^{-1}(B)$  is a n-CyNMcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Hence,  $f$  is a n-CyNMCTs map. Hence,  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are n-CyNMCTs maps. Hence,  $f$  is a n-CyNMHom.

**Example 4.1** Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$ ,  $Y = \{y_1, y_2\}$  and the n-Cylindrical neutrosophic sets  $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5, A_6$  in  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4, B_5, B_6$  in  $(Y, \tau_2)$  are defined as

$$A_1 = \{\langle x_1, 0.1250732, 0.50, 0.1850738 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.1450734, 0.50, 0.1650736 \rangle\},$$

$$A_2 = \{\langle x_1, 0.1150731, 0.50, 0.1950739 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.1350733, 0.50, 0.1750737 \rangle\},$$

$$A_3 = \{\langle x_1, 0.1950739, 0.50, 0.1150731 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.1750737, 0.50, 0.1350733 \rangle\},$$

$$A_4 = \{\langle x_1, 0.1250732, 0.50, 0.1850738 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.1350733, 0.50, 0.1750737 \rangle\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_5 &= \{\langle x_1, 0.1250732, 0.50, 0.1850738 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.1350733, 0.50, 0.1650736 \rangle\}, \\
A_6 &= \{\langle x_1, 0.1850738, 0.50, 0.1250732 \rangle, \langle x_2, 0.1650736, 0.50, 0.1350733 \rangle\}, \\
B_1 &= \{\langle y_1, 0.1250732, 0.50, 0.1850738 \rangle, \langle y_2, 0.1450734, 0.50, 0.1650736 \rangle\}, \\
B_2 &= \{\langle y_1, 0.1150731, 0.50, 0.1950739 \rangle, \langle y_2, 0.1350733, 0.50, 0.1750737 \rangle\}, \\
B_3 &= \{\langle y_1, 0.1950739, 0.50, 0.1150731 \rangle, \langle y_2, 0.1750737, 0.50, 0.1350733 \rangle\}, \\
B_4 &= \{\langle y_1, 0.1250732, 0.50, 0.1850738 \rangle, \langle y_2, 0.1350733, 0.50, 0.1750737 \rangle\}, \\
B_5 &= \{\langle y_1, 0.1250732, 0.50, 0.1850738 \rangle, \langle y_2, 0.1350733, 0.50, 0.1650736 \rangle\}, \\
B_6 &= \{\langle y_1, 0.1850738, 0.50, 0.1250732 \rangle, \langle y_2, 0.1650736, 0.50, 0.1350733 \rangle\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have  $\tau_1 = \{0_X, 1_X, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$  and  $\tau_2 = \{0_Y, 1_Y, B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4\}$ . Let  $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  be an identity map. Then,  $f$  is  $n$ -CyNMCHom but not  $n$ -CyNMHom.

**Theorem 4.2** If  $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  is a  $n$ -CyNMCHom, then  $n$ -CyNMcl( $f^{-1}(B)$ )  $\subseteq$   $f^{-1}(N$ -CyNcl( $B$ )) for each  $n$ -CyNSB in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $B$  be a  $n$ -CyNS in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . Then,  $n$ -CyNcl( $B$ ) is a  $n$ -CyNcs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$  and every  $n$ -CyNcs is a  $n$ -CyNMcs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . Assume  $f$  is a  $n$ -CyNMirr map and  $f^{-1}(n$ -CyNcl( $A$ )) is a  $n$ -CyNMcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Then,  $n$ -CyNcl( $f^{-1}(n$ -CyNcl( $B$ ))) =  $f^{-1}(n$ -CyNcl( $B$ )). Here,  $n$ -CyNMcl( $f^{-1}(B)$ )  $\subseteq$   $n$ -CyNMcl( $f^{-1}(n$ -CyNcl( $A$ ))) =  $f^{-1}(n$ -CyNcl( $B$ )). Here,  $n$ -CyNMcl( $f^{-1}(B)$ )  $\subseteq$   $n$ -CyNMcl( $f^{-1}(n$ -CyNcl( $A$ ))) =  $f^{-1}(n$ -CyNcl( $B$ )). Therefore,  $n$ -CyNMcl( $f^{-1}(B)$ )  $\subseteq$   $f^{-1}(n$ -CyNcl( $B$ )) for every  $n$ -CyNS  $B$  in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ .

**Theorem 4.3** Let  $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  be a  $n$ -CyNMCHom. Then,  $n$ -CyNMcl( $f^{-1}(B)$ ) =  $f^{-1}(n$ -CyNMcl( $B$ )) for each  $n$ -CyNS  $B$  in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ .

**Proof.** Since,  $f$  is a  $n$ -CyNMCHom.  $f$  is a  $n$ -CyNMirr map. Let  $B$  be a  $n$ -CyNS in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . Clearly,  $n$ -CyNMcl( $B$ ) is a  $n$ -CyNMcs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . Then,  $n$ -CyNMcl( $B$ ) is a  $n$ -CyNMcs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . Since,  $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(n$ -CyNMcl( $B$ )) then  $n$ -CyNMcl( $f^{-1}(B)$ )  $\subseteq$   $n$ -CyNMcl( $f^{-1}(n$ -CyNMcl( $B$ ))) =  $f^{-1}(n$ -CyNMcl( $B$ )). Therefore,  $n$ -CyNMcl( $f^{-1}(B)$ )  $\subseteq$   $f^{-1}(n$ -CyNMcl( $B$ )). Let  $f$  be a  $n$ -CyNMCHom.  $f^{-1}$  is a  $n$ -CyNMirr map. Let us consider  $n$ -CyNSs  $f^{-1}(B)$  in  $(X, \tau_1)$ , which implies  $n$ -CyNMcl( $f^{-1}(B)$ ) is a  $n$ -CyNMcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Hence,  $n$ -CyNMcl( $f^{-1}(B)$ ) is a  $n$ -CyNMcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$ . This, implies that  $(f^{-1})^{-1}(n$ -CyNMcl( $f^{-1}(B)$ )) =  $f(n$ -CyNMcl( $f^{-1}(B)$ )) is a  $n$ -CyNMcs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . This proves  $B = (f^{-1})^{-1}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq (f^{-1})^{-1}(n$ -CyNMcl( $f^{-1}(B)$ )) =  $f(n$ -CyNMcl( $f^{-1}(B)$ )). Therefore,  $n$ -CyNMcl( $B$ )  $\subseteq$   $n$ -CyNMcl( $f(n$ -CyNMcl( $f^{-1}(B)$ ))) =  $f(n$ -CyNMcl( $f^{-1}(B)$ )), since  $f^{-1}$  is a  $n$ -

CyFNMIrr map. Hence,  $f^{-1}(n - \text{CyNMcl}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(f(n - \text{CyNMcl}(f^{-1}(B)))) = n - \text{CyNMcl}(f^{-1}(B))$ . That is,  $f^{-1}(n - \text{CyNMcl}(B)) \subseteq n - \text{CyNMcl}(f^{-1}(B))$ . Hence,  $n - \text{CyNMcl}(f^{-1}(B)) = f^{-1}(n - \text{CyNMcl}(B))$ .

**Theorem 4.4** If  $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  and  $g: (Y, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Z, \tau_3)$  are  $n$ -CyNMCHom's then  $g \circ f$  is a  $n$ -CyNMCHom.

**Proof.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two  $n$ -CyNMCHom's. Assume  $B$  is a  $n$ -CyNMcs in  $(Z, \tau_3)$ . Then,  $g^{-1}(B)$  is a  $n$ -CyNMcs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . Then, by hypothesis,  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(B))$  is a  $n$ -CyNMcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Hence,  $g \circ f$  is a  $n$ -CyNMIRR map. Now, let  $A$  be a  $n$ -CyNMcs in  $(X, \tau_1)$ . Then, by presumption,  $f(A)$  is a  $n$ -CyNMcs in  $(Y, \tau_2)$ . Then, by hypothesis,  $g(f(A))$  is a  $n$ -CyNMcs in  $(Z, \tau_3)$ . This implies that  $g \circ f$  is a  $n$ -CyNMIRR map. Hence,  $g \circ f$  is a  $n$ -CyNMCHom.

## 2.

## Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced and examined the concepts of  $n$ -CyNHom,  $n$ -CyNMHom, and  $n$ -CyNMT $_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces within the framework of  $n$ -cylindrical neutrosophic topological structures. Furthermore, the notion of  $n$ -CyNMCHom was explored in detail, and several of its fundamental properties were established.

## References

- [1] I. Arokiarani, R. Dhavaseelan, S. Jafari & M. Parimala, On some new notions and functions in neutrosophic topological spaces, Neutrosophic sets and systems, 16(1), (2017), 16-19.
- [2] K. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 20, (1986), 87-96.
- [3] C. L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 24, (1968), 182-190.
- [4] D. Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy sets and systems, 88, (1997), 81-89.
- [5] A. I. El-Maghrabi and M. A. Al-Juhani, M – open sets in topological spacec, Pioneer J. Math. Sci., 4(2), (2011), 213-230.
- [6] J. K. Jun, Y. B. & J. H. Park, Intuitionistic fuzzy alpha-continuity and intuitionistic fuzzy precontinuity, International journal of mathematics and mathematical science, 19, (2005), 3091-3101.
- [7] V. Kalaiyarasan, S. Tamilselvan, A. Vadivel and C. John Sundar, Normal spaces associated with fuzzy nano  $\delta$ ' $\epsilon$ -open sets and its application, Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, 29 (2) (2023), 156-166.
- [8] A. Padma, M. Saraswathi, A. Vadivel and G. Saravanakumar, New Notions of Nano M-open Sets, Malaya Journal of Matematik, S (1) (2019), 656-660.

- [9] A. A. Salama, &S. A. Alblowi, Neutrosophic set and neutrosophic topological spaces, IOSR journal of mathematics (iosr-jm), 3(4), (2012), 31-35.
- [10] R. Saranya Kumari, S. Kalayathankal, M. George, & F. Smarandache, N-Cylindrical Fuzzy Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, International journal of neutrosophic science, 18(4), (2022), 355-374.
- [11] R. Saranya Kumari, S. Kalayathankal, M. George, & F. Smarandache, On some Related Concept of n-Cylindrical Fuzzy Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, Journal of fuzzy extension and applications, Vol. 4, No.1, (2023), 40-51.
- [12] R. Saranya Kumari, S. Kalayathankal, M. George, & F. Smarandache, n-Cylindrical Fuzzy Neutrosophic Topological Spaces, Journal of fuzzy extension and applications, Vol. 4, No.2, (2023), 141-147.
- [13] R. Saranya Kumari, S. Kalayathankal, M. George, & F. Smarandache, An Entropy Measure for n-Cylindrical Fuzzy Neutrosophic Sets, Neutrosophic Optimization and Intelligent Systems, 3 (2024), 23-31.
- [14] F. Smarandache, A unifying field in logics: neutrosophic logic, neutrosophic set, neutrosophic probability and statistics, (2001).
- [15] F. Smarandache, Proceeding of the first international conference on neutrosophy, neutrosophy logic, neutrosophic set, neutrosophic probability and statistics, University of New Mexico-Gallup, (2001).
- [16] R. Thangammal, M. Saraswathi, A. Vadivel and C. John Sundar, Fuzzy nano  $\delta$ -open sets in fuzzy nano topological spaces, Journal of Linear and Topological Algebra, **11** (01) (2022), 27-38.
- [17] R. Thangammal, M. Saraswathi, A. Vadivel, Samad Noeiaghdam, C. John Sundar, V. Govindan, Aiyared Iampan, Fuzzy nano  $\delta$ -locally closed sets, extremally disconnected spaces, normal spaces, and their application, Advances in Fuzzy Systems, (2022), 3364170.
- [18] A. Vadivel, C. John Sundar, K. Kirubadevi and S. Tamilselvan, More on Neutrosophic Nano Open Sets, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science (IJNS), **18** (4) (2022), 204-222.
- [19] A. Vadivel, C. John Sundar, K. Saraswathi and S. Tamilselvan, Neutrosophic Nano  $\delta$ -Open Sets, International Journal of Neutrosophic Science, **19** (1) (2022), 132-147.
- [20] Y. Veereswari, An introduction to fuzzy neutrosophic topological spaces, International journal of mathematical archive, 8(3), (2017), 1-6.
- [21] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and control, 8, (1965), 338-353.