

On Generalized Nano $\widetilde{N\alpha}$ - Closed and Nano $\widehat{N\alpha}$ - Open Sets in Nano-Topological Spaces

Abdulaziz .S. Hameed¹, Layla Hindi², Nabila I. Aziz³, Faeyda Yaseen Taha⁴

¹Ministry of Education-General directorate for breeding Baghdad Third Karkh

azizsaad201357@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Computer Science and Mathematics, University of Kufa, Al-Najaf 54001, Iraq

laylah.algharrawi@uokufa.edu.iq

³Department of Physics, College of Education -Tuzkhurmatu , Tikrit University

nabila.be@tu.edu.iq

⁴Samarra University, College of Education, Department of Chemistry

faedayaseen@ uosamarra. edu. iq

Article History:

Received: 25-04-2024

Revised: 10-06-2024

Accepted: 21-06-2024

Abstract:

This work aims to define a new class of sets in nano topological spaces called Nano $(N\alpha)^{\sim}$ -closed and $(N\alpha)^{\wedge}$ -open sets, and to prove its verifiable properties and theorems.

Subject Classification: 54A05, 54A 10

Keywords: nano topology, $(N\alpha)^{\sim}$ - closed set and Nano $(N\alpha)^{\wedge}$ - open Set.

1. Introduction

In 2021, A regular closed sets in nano topological spaces were presented by Narmatha S., Harshitha S., and others [3]. Within micro topological spaces, $\pi g\beta$ -closed sets are studied by Rajasekaran I. and others [4]. In nano topological spaces, $ng*\alpha$ - closed sets were first presented by Rajendran V. and colleagues [5]. Crossley and Hildebrand [7] conducted research on semi-closure in 1971. Dunham [14] provided a definition of the closure operator C^* notion along with various attributes. Operator of regular closed sets was first defined by S. Bhattacharya [6] in 2011. Soft W -int. and soft W -Cl. in Soft topological spaces are studied by Savita R. [8]. Soft g^* closed in Soft topological spaces are studied by Kalavathi, A. and Krishnan, G.[2]. Regular generalized* in topological spaces, closure regular generalized* Closure Regular Generalized*, and regular generalized closed sets are new classes of operators introduced by Siham I. Aziz and Nabila I. Aziz [9, 10, 11] and, respectively. 2014 saw the introduction of Nano closure and Nano Interior operator in Nano topological spaces by Thivagar M. Lellis and Carmel Rechard [12], a novel class of operators Open and Closed Nano operators $N^{\wedge}(\bar{A})$ and $N^{\sim}(\bar{A})$ introduced by ABDULAZIZ .S.[1]. The aim of this work is to investigate and characterize a new class of operators in nano topological spaces called Nano $\widetilde{N\alpha}$ - closed and $\widehat{N\alpha}$ -open sets , and to establish their verifiable characteristics and theorems.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 [2] : Suppose \mathfrak{S} be the world, $\psi \subseteq \mathfrak{S}$, and Π be an equivalence relation on \mathfrak{S} . With regard to Ψ , $\tau \phi(\Psi) = \{ \mathfrak{S}, \emptyset, LR(\Psi), \mathfrak{S} \Phi(\Psi), B\Phi(\Psi) \}$ and $(\mathfrak{S}, \tau \Phi(\Psi))$ define the Nano topology on U .

Definition 2.2 [1]: Assume $A \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ and $(U, \tau R(\Psi))$ be a Nano topological space. Next, we established 1- $\mathfrak{N}(A) = \cap \{G : A \subseteq G, G \in \mathcal{NO}(\mathfrak{g}, \Psi)\}$ and 2- $N^\vee(A) = \cup \{G : G \subseteq A, G \in \mathcal{NC}(\mathfrak{g}, \Psi)\}$.

Definition 2.3 [2]: Assuming $\Psi, \Psi \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$, let $(U, \tau R(\Psi))$ be a Nano topological space. If A is not equal to \mathfrak{g} , then: The union of all of A 's open subsets is A 's nanointerior, and it is represented by $Nint(A)$. $Ncl(A)$ represents the Nano closure of A , which is the intersection of all Nano closed subsets containing A .

Definition 2.4 [13] : When $M \subseteq Nint(Ncl(Nint M))$, a subset M of $(\mathfrak{g}, \tau R(\Psi))$ is referred to as a nano α open set (briefly, $N\alpha$ -o-s.). In $(U, \tau R(\Psi))$, the complement of a $N\alpha$ -o-s. is referred to as a nano α -closed set (briefly, $N\alpha$ -c-s.). $N\alpha$ -o- (\mathfrak{g}, Ψ) (resp. $N\alpha$ -c- (\mathfrak{g}, Ψ)) represents the family of all $N\alpha$ -o-s. (resp. $N\alpha$ -c-s.) of U .

3 - On Generalized Nano $\widetilde{N\alpha}$ - closed and Nano $\widehat{N\delta}$ - open Sets in Nano- Topological Spaces

Definition 3.1 Assume that $A \subseteq U$ and that $(U, \tau R(\Psi))$ is a Nano topological space. If $A \subseteq \mathfrak{N}((N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}(A)))$, then a subset A is referred to as an open set $(N\alpha)^\wedge$. The closed set Nano $(N\delta)$ - is the complement of the open set $(N\alpha)^\wedge$ and is defined as $[A \supseteq (N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}((N)^\vee(A)))]$

Example 3.2: Assuming $U/R = \{\{r, p\}, \{q\}\}$ and $\Psi = \{r, q\}$, let $U = \{r, \mu, q\}$.

Assuming $\tau R(\Psi) = \{\emptyset, U, \{q\}, \{r, p\}\}$, we get $\tau cR(\Psi) = \{\emptyset, U, \{q\}, \{r, p\}\}$.

$(N\alpha)$ -o(x) = $\{U, \emptyset, \{r\}, \{\mu\}, \{q\}, \{r, \mu\}, \{r, q\}, \{\mu, q\}\}$.

Theorem 3.3 All subsets of $U R(\Psi)$ are not $(N\alpha)^\wedge$ open sets if $\tau R(\Psi)$ is not highly disconnected.

Proof

Case 1 in the event that $\tau R(\Psi) = \{U, \emptyset, U R(\Psi)\}$.

To begin with, assume $A = U R(\Psi) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{N}(A) = U R(\Psi) \Rightarrow (N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}(U R(\Psi))) = \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathfrak{N}((N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}(\emptyset))) = \emptyset$.

If $A \not\subseteq \emptyset \Rightarrow A \not\subseteq \mathfrak{N}((N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}(A)))$, then $A \notin (N\alpha)^\wedge$.

2-Take $A \subseteq U c R(\Psi) \Rightarrow \hat{N}(A) = U \cdot (N) \cdot (\hat{N}(U) = U \cdot \hat{N}((N) \mathfrak{C} \hat{N}(U)) = U \Rightarrow A \subseteq \hat{N}((N)^\vee(\hat{N}(A)))$.

3. If $[U R(\Psi)$ and $U c R(\Psi)]$ intersect at A , then $\mathfrak{N}(A) = U \Rightarrow (N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}(U) = U \Rightarrow \mathfrak{N}((N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}(U))) = U \Rightarrow A \subseteq \mathfrak{N}((N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}(A)))$.

Case 2: If $\tau R(\Psi) = \{U, \emptyset, L R(\Psi), B R(\Psi), U R(\Psi)\}$.

First, suppose that $A \subseteq L R(\Psi) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{N}(A) = L R(\Psi) \Rightarrow (N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}(L R(\Psi))) = \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathfrak{N}((N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}(\emptyset))) = \emptyset$.

$A \not\subseteq \emptyset \Rightarrow A \not\subseteq \mathfrak{N}((N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}(A)))$. Afterwards, $A \notin (N\alpha)^\wedge$

2. In the event when $A \subseteq B R(\Psi) \Rightarrow \mathfrak{N}(A) = B R(\Psi) \Rightarrow (N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}(B R(\Psi))) = \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathfrak{N}((N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}(\emptyset))) = \emptyset$.

$A \not\subseteq \emptyset \Rightarrow A \not\subseteq \mathfrak{N}((N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}(A)))$. Afterwards, $A \notin (N\alpha)^\wedge$

3. At the intersection of A with $[L R(\Psi)$ and $B R(\Psi)]$, $\mathfrak{N}(A) = U R(\Psi)$ and $(N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}(U R(\Psi))) = \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathfrak{N}((N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}(\emptyset))) = \emptyset$. $A \not\subseteq \emptyset \Rightarrow A \not\subseteq \mathfrak{N}((N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}(A)))$. Afterwards, $A \notin (N\alpha)^\wedge$

4-If $A \subseteq U c R(\Psi) \Rightarrow \hat{N}(A) = U \Rightarrow (N)^\vee(\hat{N}(U) = U \Rightarrow \hat{N}((N)^\vee(\hat{N}(U))) = U \Rightarrow A \subseteq \hat{N}((N)^\vee(\hat{N}(A)))$.

5. In the event when A intersects $[L R(\Psi)$ and $U c R(\Psi)] \Rightarrow \mathfrak{N}(A) = U \Rightarrow (N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}(U) = U \Rightarrow \mathfrak{N}((N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}(U))) = U \Rightarrow A \subseteq \mathfrak{N}((N)^\vee(\mathfrak{N}(A)))$.

6-If $[B_{R(\Psi)} \text{ and } U_{R(\Psi)}^c]$ intersect A , then $\hat{N}(A) = U \Rightarrow (\hat{N})^\sim(\hat{N}(U)) = U \Rightarrow \hat{N}((\hat{N})^\sim(\hat{N}(U))) = U \Rightarrow A \subseteq \hat{N}((\hat{N})^\sim(\hat{N}(A)))$.

Theorem 3.4: All subset of $U_{R(\Psi)}^c$ is $\widehat{N\alpha}$ open set.

Proof: **Case 1-** If $\tau_{R(\Psi)} = \{U, \emptyset, U_{R(\Psi)}\}$.

If $A \subseteq U_{R(\Psi)}^c \Rightarrow \hat{N}(A) = U \Rightarrow \hat{N}(\hat{N}(U)) = U \Rightarrow \hat{N}(\hat{N}(\hat{N}(U))) = U \Rightarrow A \subseteq \hat{N}(\hat{N}(\hat{N}(A)))$. Then $A \in \widehat{N\alpha}$.

Case 2 : when $\tau_{R(\Psi)} = \{U, \emptyset, L_{R(\Psi)}, B_{R(\Psi)}, U_{R(\Psi)}\}$.

If $A \subseteq U_{R(\Psi)}^c \Rightarrow \hat{N}(A) = U \Rightarrow \hat{N}(\hat{N}(U)) = U \Rightarrow \hat{N}(\hat{N}(\hat{N}(U))) = U \Rightarrow A \subseteq \hat{N}(\hat{N}(\hat{N}(A)))$. Then $A \in \widehat{N\alpha}$.

Theorem 3. 5: All subset of U which intersect $[U_{R(\Psi)} \text{ and } U_{R(\Psi)}^c]$ is $\widehat{N\alpha}$ open set.

Proof: **Case 1-** If $\tau_{R(\Psi)} = \{U, \emptyset, U_{R(\Psi)}\}$

Let $A \subseteq U$ Such that A intersect $[U_{R(\Psi)} \text{ and } U_{R(\Psi)}^c]$

$\hat{N}(A) = U \Rightarrow \hat{N}(\hat{N}(U)) = U \Rightarrow \hat{N}(\hat{N}(\hat{N}(U))) = U \Rightarrow A \subseteq \hat{N}(\hat{N}(\hat{N}(A)))$. Then $A \in \widehat{N\alpha}$ open set.

Case 2: $\tau_{R(\Psi)} = \{U, \emptyset, L_{R(\Psi)}, B_{R(\Psi)}, U_{R(\Psi)}\}$.

1- Let $A \subseteq U$ such that A intersect $[L_{R(\Psi)} \text{ and } U_{R(\Psi)}^c]$.

$\hat{N}(A) = U \Rightarrow \hat{N}(\hat{N}(U)) = U \Rightarrow \hat{N}(\hat{N}(\hat{N}(U))) = U \Rightarrow A \subseteq \hat{N}(\hat{N}(\hat{N}(A)))$. Then $A \in \widehat{N\alpha}$ open set.

2- Let $A \subseteq U$ such that A intersect $[B_{R(\Psi)} \text{ and } U_{R(\Psi)}^c]$.

$\hat{N}(A) = U \Rightarrow \hat{N}(\hat{N}(U)) = U \Rightarrow \hat{N}(\hat{N}(\hat{N}(U))) = U \Rightarrow A \subseteq \hat{N}(\hat{N}(\hat{N}(A)))$. Then $A \in \widehat{N\alpha}$ open set.

3- Let $A \subseteq U$ such that A intersect $[L_{R(\Psi)} \text{ and } B_{R(\Psi)} \text{ and } U_{R(\Psi)}^c]$.

$\hat{N}(A) = U \Rightarrow \hat{N}(\hat{N}(U)) = U \Rightarrow \hat{N}(\hat{N}(\hat{N}(U))) = U \Rightarrow A \subseteq \hat{N}(\hat{N}(\hat{N}(A)))$. Then $A \in \widehat{N\alpha}$ open set.

Theorem 3.6: When the Nano space U is extremely disconnected then all subset of U is $\widehat{N\alpha}$ –open set.

Proof: $\tau_{R(\Psi)} = \{U, \emptyset, L_{R(\Psi)}, B_{R(\Psi)}\}$.

Assuming that $A \subseteq L_{R(\Psi)} \Rightarrow \hat{N}(A) = L_{R(\Psi)} \Rightarrow (\hat{N})^\sim(\hat{N}(L_{R(\Psi)})) = L_{R(\Psi)} \Rightarrow \hat{N}((\hat{N})^\sim(\hat{N}(L_{R(\Psi)}))) = L_{R(\Psi)} \Rightarrow A \subseteq \hat{N}((\hat{N})^\sim(\hat{N}(A)))$ if $A \subseteq L_{R(\Psi)} \Rightarrow A$. After that, $A \in (\widehat{N\alpha})^\wedge$ open set. In the event that $A \subseteq B_{R(\Psi)} \Rightarrow \hat{N}(A) = B_{R(\Psi)} \Rightarrow (\hat{N})^\sim(\hat{N}(B_{R(\Psi)})) = B_{R(\Psi)} \Rightarrow \hat{N}((\hat{N})^\sim(\hat{N}(B_{R(\Psi)}))) = B_{R(\Psi)} \Rightarrow A \subseteq B_{R(\Psi)} \Rightarrow A \subseteq \hat{N}((\hat{N})^\sim(\hat{N}(A)))$. $A \in (\widehat{N\alpha})^\wedge$ is thus an open set. When A crosses across $[L_{R(\Psi)} \text{ and } B_{R(\Psi)}]$. $\hat{N}(A) = U \Rightarrow (\hat{N})^\sim(\hat{N}(U)) = U \Rightarrow \hat{N}((\hat{N})^\sim(\hat{N}(U))) = U \Rightarrow A \subseteq \hat{N}((\hat{N})^\sim(\hat{N}(A)))$. $A \in (\widehat{N\alpha})^\wedge$ is thus an open set.

4.Conclusion

The purpose of this study is to define a novel class called ($\widehat{N\alpha}$ - closed and $\widehat{N\alpha}$ - open) Sets in Nano-Topological Spaces and to demonstrate its verifiable characteristics and theorems. The (β , b , regular, and semi) sets can be included in the future generalization of the new concept.

References

- [1] ABDULAZIZ .S. HAMEED, , Nabila I. Aziz and Siham I. Aziz , Inside of Nano topological spaces a novel generalized Open and Closed Nano operators, under review
- [2] Kalavathi, A. and Krishnan, G., Soft g^* closed and soft g^* open sets in soft topological spaces. Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, 19(1), 65-82, <https://doi.org/10.1080/09720502.2015.1103110>, (2016).
- [3] Narmatha S. , Harshitha S. , Kaaviya P. , Harshini R. , Indhuja S. .(2021). "On Generalizd A Regular-Closed Set in Nano Topoloical Spaces".Jour. Nat. Volatiles & Essent. Oils. No.8. Vol.5,PP. 5057 – 5061.
- [4] Rajasekaran I. , Nethaji O. and Sajan Joseph M.". (2018). On nano $\pi g\beta$ -closed sets." Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics No.1.Vol. 14 , PP. 181-187.
- [5] Rajendran V. , Sathish Mohan P. and Chitra M.(2020)." on $ng^*\alpha$ - closed sets in nano topological spaces." journal of critical reviews. No.13.Vol.7, PP. 4121-4127.
- [6] S. Bhattacharya. On generalized regular closed sets. Contemp. Math. Sciences, 6(3),pp. 145-152, (2011).
- [7] S. G. Crossley and S. K. Hildebrand, "Semi-closure", Teşas J. Sci., 22 ,99–112, (1971) .
- [8] Mustafa, M.A., Kadham, S.M., Abbass, N.K. *et al.* A novel fuzzy M-transform technique for sustainable ground water level prediction. *Appl Geomat* **16**, 9–15 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12518-022-00486-4>
- [9] Siham I. Aziz and Nabila I. Aziz, On some generalized recent operators in topological Spaces . Tikrit Journal of Pure Science, Vol. 27 (4),(2022)
- [10] Kadham, S.M. Acute interstitial pneumonia image enhancement using fuzzy partial transforms. *Appl Geomat* **16**, 35–39 (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12518-023-00509-8>
- [11] Siham I. Aziz and Nabila I . Aziz ,New generalized Operator in Topological Spaces . V. International Scientific Congress of Pure, Applied and Technological Sciences,(2022).
- [12] Thivagar M. Iellis , Carmel Rechard ,Note on Nano topological space, Communicated, (2014).
- [13] Thivagar, M.L., Richard, C., On nano forms of weakly open sets, Int. J. Math Statistics Invention, 1(1), 31-37, 2013 .
- [14] W. Dunham, "A new closure operator for non- T_1 topologies", Kyungpook, Math.J". 22 ,55-602009, (1982).