# An Approach to Goldie Extending Modules on the Class of Cyclic Submodules

## Abdallah Shihadeh

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, The Hashemite University, Zarqa 13133, PO box 330127, Jordan abdallaha\_ka@hu.edu.jo

Article History:	Abstract: In this article, we provide a class of modules that is comparable to $G^{z}$ -extending
<b>Received:</b> 12-04-2024	and $G^r$ -extending modules. We specify what a module M is as $G^p$ -extending if and only if
	for each cyclic submodule A of M, there exists a direct summand D of M such that $A \cap D$
<b>Revised:</b> 18-05-2024	is essential in both A and D. We look into $G^p$ -extending modules and locate this inference
Accepted: 01-06-2024	between the other extending properties. We present some of characterizations of $G^{p}$ -extending condition. We show that the direct sum of $G^{p}$ -extending need not be $G^{p}$ -extending and deal with decompositions for $G^{p}$ -extending concept.
	<b>Keywords</b> : cyclic submodules, P-extending modules, Goldie extending modules, $G^{p}$ -extending.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all rings are associative with unitary, R denotes such a ring, and all modules are unital right R- modules. In the spirit of [1], for a module M, think of the following relations on the set of submodules of M:"

 $A\alpha B$  if and only if there exists a submodule C of M such that  $A \leq_{e} C$  and  $B \leq_{e} C$ .

 $A\beta B$  if and only if  $A \cap B \leq_e A$  and  $A \cap B \leq_e B$ . Recall that  $\beta$  is an equivalence relation. "It is clear that a module *M* is extending (or CS) if and only if for each submodule *A* of *M*, there is a direct summand *D* of *M* such that  $A\alpha D$ , (see [1,2]). Further a module *M* is called Goldie extending module (or G-extending) if and only if for each submodule *A* of *M*, there is a direct summand *D* of *M* such that  $A\beta D$  or equivalently, for each closed submodule *A* in *M*, there is a direct summand *D* of *M* such that  $A\beta D$  (see [1]). Obviously, every extending module is G-extending.

As a generalization of CS-modules is p-extending (see [3,4]). Recall that a module M is called p-extending if every cyclic submodule of M is essential in a direct summand of M."

"In this paper, we study a module condition including the  $\beta$  relation on the set of all cyclic submodules of a module. We call a module *M* is  $G^p$ -extending if for every cyclic submodule *A* of *M*, there is a direct summand *D* of *M* such that  $A\beta D$ . A ring *R* is  $G^p$ -extending if  $R_R$  is  $G^p$ -extending module. It is clear that the class of  $G^p$ -extending modules property contains the type of G-extending modules. The notion of  $G^p$ -extending generalizes both of G-extending, extending and p-extending modules."

"In section 2, we consider connections between  $G^p$ -extending property, p-extending and G-extending conditions. Moreover, we give sufficient circumstances under which p-extending and  $G^p$ -extending modules are equivalent.

Section 3, is devoted to the characterizations of  $G^p$ -extending modules. Since the direct sum of  $G^p$ -extending modules need not be  $G^p$ -extending, we focus when a direct sum of  $G^p$ -extending modules is also  $G^p$ -extending. Also, we give sufficient conditions under which the direct summand of  $G^p$ -extending is also  $G^p$ -extending. These are introduced in section 4. Also, in section 4, we investigate  $G^p$ -extending essential extensions of a module or ring."

Following [5], M is called UC-module if every submodule of M has a unique closure in M.

# 2. Preliminary results.

"The  $G^p$ -extending notion is based on two tools, namely an equivalence relation on cyclic submodules of a module M. Let us begin by mentioning basic facts about them. First recall the following relations on the set of submodules of M (see [1]).

- (i)  $A\alpha B$  if and only if there exists a submodule C of M such that  $A \leq_e C$  and  $B \leq_e C$ .
- (ii)  $A\beta B$  if and only if  $A \cap B \leq_e A$  and  $A \cap B \leq_e B$ .

Observe that  $\alpha$  is reflexive and symmetric, but it may not be transitive. However,  $\beta$  is an equivalence relation. Note that for submodules of module *M*. if  $A\alpha B$ , then  $A\beta B$ .

*Proposition 2.1:* A module *M* is p-extending if and only if for each cyclic submodule *A* of *M*, there is a direct summand *D* of *M* such that  $A\alpha D$ .

Proof: The proof is routine."

"Motivated by proposition 2.1 and Akalan, Birkenmeier, Tercan's use of the  $\beta$  equivalence relation in [1]. As a generalization of Goldie extending modules, we introduce a class of modules which is analogous to that of  $G^z$ -extending and  $G^r$ -extending modules which are introduced in [6] and [7] respectively."

*Definition 2.2:* We call a module *M* is  $G^p$ -extending module if for each cyclic submodule *A* of *M*, there is a direct summand *D* of *M* such that  $A\beta D$ .

"Note that *M* is G-extending if and only if for each submodule *A* of *M* there is a direct summand *D* of *M* such that  $A\beta D$ . It is clear that the class of  $G^p$ -extending contains both of the classes of G-extending and p-extending modules.

Now, we locate the  $G^p$ -extending condition with respect to several known generalizations of the extending property."

Proposition 2.3: Make M a module. Let's think about the aforementioned circumstances.

- (i) M is CS.
- (ii) *M* is G-extending.
- (iii) M is  $G^p$ -extending.
- (iv)*M* is p-extending.

"Then (i)  $\Rightarrow$ (ii)  $\Rightarrow$ (iii) and (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). In general, the converse implications do not hold."

*Proof:* (i)  $\Rightarrow$ (ii)  $\Rightarrow$ (iii) and (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) are clear.

(ii) $\neq$ (i)"Let *M* be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ , where *p* is any prime integer. Then  $M_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is G-extending by [1, corollary (3.3)]. However  $M_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is not extending [8, Example 10]."

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let  $M_2(R)$  be the ring as in [9, Example 13.8]. Then  $M_2(R)$  is a von Neumann regular ring which is not a Baer ring. Hence it is neither right nor left CS, by [10, example 2.7], however it's well acknowledged that each von Neumann regular ring is nonsingular, therefore  $M_2(R)$  is not is G-extending, see [1, Proposition 1.8]. Also, this is an example to show that (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i).

(iii) $\neq$ (iv) Let *M* be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8$ . Then  $M_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is  $G^p$ -extending but not P-extending, see [1, Corollary 3.3].

The condition under which  $G^p$ -extending and p-extending modules are equivalent is stated in the following proposal.

*Proposition 2.4:*" Let *M* be a module.

- (i) If M is a UC- module. Then M is  $G^p$ -extending if and only if M is P-extending.
- (ii) If M is a nonsingular module. Then M is  $G^p$ -extending if and only if M is P-extending.
- (iii) If M is an indecomposable module. Then M is  $G^p$ -extending if and only if M is P-extending."

Proof:

- (i) "Assume that *M* is  $G^p$ -extending and let *A* be a cyclic submodule of *M*, then there exists a direct *D* of *M* such that  $A\beta D$ . One can easily show that  $(A \cap D)\alpha A$ and  $(A \cap D)\alpha D$ . But *M* is UC module, therefore  $\alpha$  is transitive, hence  $A\alpha D$ . Thus *M* is P-extending. The converse is clear.
- (ii) Let *M* be a  $G^p$ -extending and let *A* be a cyclic submodule of *M*, then there is a direct *D* of *M* such that  $A\beta D$ . It is sufficient to show that  $A \leq D$ . Since  $\frac{A+D}{D} \cong \frac{A}{A \cap D}$  is singular and  $\frac{A+D}{D} \leq \frac{M}{D} \cong D'$  is nonsingular, hence A+D = D which implies that  $A \leq D$ . The converse is obvious.
- (iii) Let *M* be a  $G^p$ -extending and let *A* be a cyclic submodule of *M*, then there is a direct *D* of *M* such that  $A\beta D$ . Since *M* is indecomposable, then D=M. Thus *M* is P-extending module. The converse is clear."

*Corollary 2.5:* "Let *M* be an indecomposable module. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) M is uniform.
- (ii) M is CS.
- (iii) *M* is G-extending.
- (iv) *M* is P-extending.

(v) M is  $G^p$ -extending."

Example 2.6:

"Let *F* be a field and *V* be a vector space over F with dim  $(F_V)=2$ . Let *R* be the trivial extension of F with V, i.e,

 $R = \begin{bmatrix} F & V \\ 0 & F \end{bmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} f & v \\ 0 & f \end{bmatrix} : f \in F, v \in V \right\}.$  Since  $R_R$  is indecomposable which is not CS, then  $R_R$  is not  $G^p$ -extending."

3. Characterizations of  $G^p$ -extending.

"In this section, we give equivalent conditions to  $G^p$ -extending property.

We start by the following theorem.

*Theorem 3.1:* An *R*- module *M* is  $G^p$ -extending if and only if for each cyclic submodule *A* of *M*, there is a direct summand *D* of *M* such that  $A\beta D$  and *D'* is a complement of *A*, where  $M = D \oplus D'$ .

*Proof:* Suppose that *M* is  $G^p$ -extending, let *A* be a cyclic submodule of *M*, there is a direct summand *D* of *M* such that  $A\beta D$ . Let  $M = D \oplus D'$ , for some submodule *D'* of *M*. Since  $A \cap D \leq_e A$ , then  $A \cap D' = 0$ . Now, let *B* be a submodule of *M* such that  $D' \leq B$  and  $A \cap B = 0$ . Since  $A \cap D \leq_e D$ , then  $B \cap D = 0$ . But *D'* is a complement of *D*, therefore B=D'. Thus, *D'* is a complement of *A*. The converse is clear."

"The next result gives another characterization to  $G^p$ -extending modules.

*Proposition 3.2:* Let *M* be an *R*- module, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) M is  $G^p$ -extending.
- (ii) For all cyclic submodule A of M, there exists a submodule X of M and a direct summand D of M such that  $X \leq_e A$  and  $X \leq_e D$ .
- (iii) For every cyclic submodule A of M there exists a complement B of A and a complement C of B such that  $A\beta C$  and each homomorphism  $f: C \oplus B \to M$  extends to a homomorphism  $g: M \to M$ .

*Proof:* (i)  $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Assume that *M* is  $G^p$ -extending and let *A* be a cyclic submodule of *M*, there is a direct summand *D* of *M* such that  $A\beta D$ , hence  $A \cap D \leq_e A$  and  $A \cap D \leq_e D$ . Take  $X = A \cap D$ , we get the result.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Let *A* be a cyclic submodule of *M*. By (ii), there exists a submodule *X* of *M* and a direct summand *D* of *M* such that  $M = D \oplus D'$ ,  $X \leq_e A$  and  $X \leq_e D$ . Take D = C and D' = B.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let A be a cyclic submodule of *M*. From (iii), there exists a complement *B* of *A* and a complement *C* of *B* such that  $A\beta C$  and every homomorphism  $f: C \oplus B \to M$  extends to a homomorphism  $g: M \to M$  and by [11, Lemma 3.97], *D* is a direct summand of *M*, hence *M* is  $G^{p}$ -extending."

Theorem 3.3 : A module M is  $G^p$ -extending if and only if for every direct summand A of the injective hull E(M) of M with  $A \cap M$  is cyclic submodule of M, there is a direct summand D of M such that  $(A \cap M)\beta D$ .

*Proof:* "Let *A* be a cyclic submodule of *M* and let *B* be a complement of *A*, then  $A \oplus B \leq_e M$ . Since  $M \leq_e E(M)$ , then  $A \oplus B \leq_e E(M)$  implies  $E(M) = E(A) \oplus E(B)$ . It can be seen that  $E(A) \cap M$  is cyclic submodule in *M*. By our assumption, there is a direct summand *D* of *M* such that  $(E(A) \cap M)\beta D$ . But we have  $(A \cap M)\beta(E(A) \cap M)$ , hence  $A\beta D$ . The converse implication is clear."

Theorem 3.4:" Suppose M is an R-module. The assertions that follow are identical.

(i) M is  $G^p$ -extending module.

(ii) A decomposition exists for each cyclic submodule A of the module M.  $M = D^{\bigoplus}D'$ , such that  $(D'+A)\beta M$ .

(ii) Fore very cyclic submodule *A* of *M*, there is a decomposition  $\frac{M}{A} = \frac{L}{A} \oplus \frac{K}{A}$  such that *L* is a direct summand of *M* and *K* $\beta$ *M*."

*Proof:* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)"Let *M* be a  $G^p$ -extending and let *A* be a cyclic submodule of *M*, there exists direct summand *D* of *M* such that *A*  $\beta D$ , then  $M = D \bigoplus D'$ ,  $D' \leq M$ . Since  $\{A, D'\}$  is an independent family, then  $(A+D')\beta M$ , see [12, Proposition 1.4]."

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) "Let *A* be a cyclic submodule of *M*. By (ii), there is a decomposition  $M = D \oplus D'$ , such that  $(D'+A) \beta M$ . Claim that  $\frac{M}{A} = \frac{D+A}{A} \oplus \frac{D'+A}{A}$ . Since  $M = D \oplus D'$ , then  $\frac{M}{A} = \frac{D+D'}{A} = \frac{D}{A} + \frac{D'+A}{A}$  and  $\frac{D+A}{A} \cap \frac{D'+A}{A} = \frac{D \cap (D'+A)}{A} = \frac{A + (D \cap D')}{A} = A$ , hence  $\frac{M}{A} = \frac{D+A}{A} \oplus \frac{D'+A}{A}$ . Take K = D'+A and L = D+A, so we get the result."

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)"To show that *M* is  $G^p$ -extending, let *A* be a cyclic submodule of *M*. By (iii), there is a  $\frac{M}{A} = \frac{L}{A} \oplus \frac{K}{A}$  is the latent in the second s

decomposition  $\overline{A} = \overline{A} \oplus \overline{A}$  such that *L* is a direct summand of *M* and *K* $\beta$ *M*. It is enough to show that *A*  $\beta$ *L*. Let *i* : *L* $\rightarrow$  *M* be the injection map. Since *K* $\beta$ *M*, then *i*<sup>-1</sup> (*K*)  $\beta$ *i*<sup>-1</sup> (*M*), that is (*L* $\cap$ *K*) $\beta$ *D*. One can easily show that *L* $\cap$ *K* = *A*, so *M* is *G*<sup>*p*</sup>-extending module."

"*Proposition 3.5:* Let *M* be an *R*-module. Then *M* is  $G^P$ -extending module if and only if for every cyclic submodule *A* of *M*, there exists an idempotent  $f \in \text{End}(M)$  such that  $A \beta f(M)$ ."

## 4. Decompositions.

"There are nonsingular modules  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  in which  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are P-extending, but M is not P-extending (e.g, Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be a polynomial ring of integers and let  $M = \mathbb{Z}[x] \oplus \mathbb{Z}[x]$ ). Note that  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is G -extending, by [1] and hence  $G^p$ -extending but M is not P-extending which is nonsingular, thus by proposition 2.4 M is not  $G^p$ -extending. Next, we give various conditions under which the direct sum of  $G^p$ -extending is  $G^p$ -extending."

*Proposition 4.1:* Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  be a distributive module if  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are  $G^p$ -extending modules, then M is  $G^p$ -extending.

*Proof:* "Let *A* be a cyclic submodule of *M*. Since *M* is distributive, then  $A = A \cap M = A \cap (M_1 \bigoplus M_2) = (A \cap M_1) \bigoplus (A \cap M_2)$ . Since *A* is cyclic in *M*, then  $A \cap M_1$  and  $A \cap M_2$  are cyclic in  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively. But  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are  $G^p$ -extending modules, therefore there are direct summand  $D_1$  of  $M_1$  and  $D_2$  of  $M_2$  such that  $(A \cap D_1)\beta D_1$  and  $(A \cap D_2)\beta D_2$  hence  $A \beta(D_1 \oplus D_2)$ , by [12, Proposition 1.4]. Thus, *M* is  $G^p$ -extending module."

The following statements are also easily proved by using a similar argument.

*Proposition 4.2*: "Let  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  be a duo module if  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are  $G^p$ -extending modules, then M is  $G^p$ -extending."

*Proposition 4.3:* Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be  $G^p$ -extending modules such that  $annM_1+annM_2 = R$ , then  $M_1 \oplus M_2$  is  $G^p$ -extending module.

Proposition 4.4: "Let  $M = M_1 \bigoplus M_2$  be an *R*- module with  $M_1$  being  $G^p$ -extending and  $M_2$  is semisimple. Suppose that for any cyclic submodule *A* of *M*,  $A \cap M_1$  is a direct summand of *A*, then *M* is  $G^p$ -extending.

*Proof:* Let *A* be a cyclic submodule of *M*, then it is easy to see that  $A+M_1 = M_1 \oplus [(A+M_1) \cap M_2]$ . Since  $M_2$  is semisimple, then  $(A+M_1) \cap M_2$  is a direct summand of  $M_2$  and therefore  $A+M_1$  is a direct summand of *M*. By our assumption,  $A \cap M_1$  is a direct summand of *A*, then  $A = (A \cap M_1) \oplus A'$ , for some submodule *A*' of *A*. One can easily show that  $A \cap M_1$  is cyclic in  $M_1$ . But  $M_1$  is  $G^p$ -extending, then there is a direct summand *D* of  $M_1$  such that  $(A \cap M_1) \beta D$  is hence  $A = ((A \cap M_1) \oplus A')\beta(M_1+A)$ . Thus, *M* is  $G^p$ -extending."

Proposition 4.5: "Let  $M = M_1 \bigoplus M_2$  such that  $M_1$  is  $G^p$ -extending and  $M_2$  is injective module. Then M is  $G^p$ -extending if and only if for every cyclic submodule A of M such that  $A \cap M_2 \neq 0$  there is a direct summand D of M such that  $A\beta D$ .

*Proof:* Suppose that for every cyclic submodule *A* of *M* such that  $A \cap M_2 \neq 0$  there exists direct summand *D* of *M* such that  $A\beta D$ . Let *A* be a cyclic submodule of *M* such that  $A \cap M_2 = 0$ . By [2], there is a submodule *M'* of *M* containing *A* such that  $M = M' \oplus M_2$ . Since  $M' \cong \frac{M}{M_2} \cong M_1$  is  $G^p$ -extending and *A* is cyclic submodule of *M'*, then there is a direct summand *K* of *M'* such that  $A\beta K$ . Thus, *M* is  $G^p$ -extending. The converse is obvious."

We now list several circumstances in which a direct summand of a module that extends  $G^p$ -extending is  $G^p$ -extending.

Proposition 4.6: "Let A be a direct summand of a  $G^p$ -extending module M, if the intersection of A with any direct summand of M is a direct summand of A, then A is  $G^p$ -extending module."

*Proof:* "Let X be a cyclic in A, then X is cyclic in M. But M is  $G^p$ -extending, therefore there exists a direct summand D of M such that  $X\beta D$ . It can be seen that  $X\beta (A \cap D)$ . By our assumption  $A \cap D$  is a direct summand of A. Thus, A is  $G^p$ -extending."

*Proposition 4.7:* "Let A be a cyclic submodule of a  $G^p$ -extending module M."

- (i) If for each  $e^2 = e \in End(M_R)$ , there exists  $f^2 = f \in End(A_R)$  such that  $A \cap eM \leq_e fA$ , then A is  $G^p$ -extending.
- (ii) If for each  $e^2 = e \in End(M_R)$ , there exists  $f^2 = f \in End(A_R)$  such that  $eM\beta fM$  and  $fA \subseteq A$ , then A is  $G^p$ -extending.

Proof:

- (i) "Let Y be a cyclic submodule of A. Hence Y is a cyclic submodule of M. By proposition 3.2, there is  $X \leq_e Y$  and  $e^2 = e \in End(M_R)$  such that  $X \leq_e eM$ . Then  $X \leq_e eM \cap A \leq_e fA$ , for some  $f^2 = f \in End(M_R)$ . Thus, A is  $G^p$ -extending."
- (ii) "Let Y be a cyclic submodule of A, then Y is cyclic in M. Then there exists  $e^2 = e \in End(M_R)$  such that Y  $\beta eM$ . Hence Y  $\beta fM$ . Since  $fA \subseteq A$ , A is  $G^p$ -extending."

Proposition 4.8:"Let K be a projection invariant cyclic submodule of M. If M is  $G^p$ -extending, then there exists  $M_1 \leq M$  such that  $M = M_1 \oplus K$  and K is  $G^p$ -extending.

*Proof:* There exists  $e^2 = e \in End(M_R)$  such that  $K\beta eM$ . But  $K = eK \oplus (1 - e)K$ ,  $eK = K \cap eM$ , and  $(1 - e)K = K \cap (1 - e)M$  because K is projection invariant, then  $eK \leq_e eM$  and  $eK \leq_e K$ . Hence  $K \cap (1 - e)M = 0$ . So  $K = eK \leq_e eM$ . Since K is cyclic in M, then K = eM. Let  $M_1 = (1 - e)M$ . Therefore  $M = M_1 \oplus K$ . Observe that, by Proposition 4.7 (ii), K is  $G^p$ -extending."

*Theorem 4.9:* Let *M* be a  $G^p$ -extending module. If *M* has SIP or satisfies the  $C_3$  condition, then any cyclic direct summand of *M* is  $G^p$ -extending.

*Proof:* "Let  $M = N \oplus N'$  for some submodules N, N' of M where N is cyclic in M. Using Proposition 4.8(i), where N is taken to be cyclic in M and applying the SIP gives that N is a  $G^p$ -extending.

Now assume that *M* satisfies the  $C_3$  condition. Let  $\pi: M \to N$  be the canonical projection. Let *K* be any cyclic submodule of *N*, then *K* is cyclic in *M*. By hypothesis, there exists a direct summand *L* of *M* such that  $K \cap L \leq_e K$  and  $\cap L \leq_e L$ . Since *M* satisfies  $C_3$  condition,  $N' \oplus L$  is a direct summand of *M*. It can be seen that  $N' \oplus L = N' \oplus \pi(L)$  (see [11, Lemma 2.71]). Hence  $\pi(L)$  is a direct summand of *N*. For any  $0 \neq y \in \pi(L)$ ,  $y = \pi(x)$  for some  $0 \neq x \in L$ . There exists an  $r \in R$  such that  $0 \neq xr \in$  $K \cap L$ . So  $xr = k = x_1$ , where  $k \in K$  and  $x_1 \in L$ . Now  $0 \neq xr = \pi(x)r = k = \pi(x_1) \in K \cap \pi(L)$ . It follows that  $K \cap \pi(L) \leq_e \pi(L)$ . It is clear that  $\pi(L) = N \cap (N' \oplus \pi(L)) = N \cap (N' \oplus L)$ . Hence  $K \cap \pi(L) = K \cap (N' \oplus L) \leq_e K$ . Thus, *N* is  $G^p$ -extending."

"Next, we investigate  $G^p$ -extending essential extensions of a module or ring. Let us begin with the following useful result which provides relative injectivity or certain direct summands of a Goldie extending module (or nonsingular  $G^p$ -extending module)."

"Let *N*, *M* be modules. *N* is said to be *M*-ejective if, for each  $K \le M$  and each homomorphism  $f: K \to N$ , there exists a homomorphism  $g: M \to N$  and  $X \le_e K$  such that g(x) = f(x), for all  $x \in X$ , see [1]."

*Proposition 4.10*: "Let *R* be any ring,  $M_1$  a semisimple right *R*- module, and  $M_2$  a right *R*- module with zero socle such that  $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$  is a Goldie extending UC- module. Then  $M_1$  is  $M_2$  ejective."

Proof: "Obviously,  $M_1 = Soc(M)$ . Let N be any submodule of  $M_2$ , and let  $\varphi: N \to M_1$  be a homomorphism. Let  $L = \{x - \varphi(x) : x \in N\}$ . Then L is a submodule of M and  $L \cap M_1=0$ . There exists submodules K, K' of M such that  $M = K \oplus K', K \cap L \leq_e L$  and  $K \cap L \leq_e K$ . It is clear that K is a closure of  $K \cap L$  in M. By assumption,  $L \leq K$ . Since  $K \cap L \cap M_1 = L \cap M_1 = 0, K \cap L \cap Soc(M) =$ Soc(L) = 0. It follows that  $Soc(K) = K \cap M_1 = 0$ . Hence  $M_1 = Soc(M) \subseteq K'$ . Thus, K' = $M_1 \oplus (K' \cap M_2)$  and  $M = K \oplus M_1(K' \cap M_2)$ . Let  $\pi: M \to M_1$  denote the canonical projection with kernel  $K \oplus (K' \cap M_2)$ . Let  $\theta$  be the restriction of  $\pi$  to  $M_2$ . Then  $\theta: M_2 \to M_1$ . Let x be any element of N. Since  $x(x - \varphi(x)) + \varphi(x), \theta(x) = \varphi(x)$ . It follows that  $M_1$  is  $M_2$ - injective."

Corollary 4.11: (i)"Let  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} M_i$ , where each  $M_i$  is uniform. If  $E(M_i) \not\cong E(M_j)$  for all  $i \neq j$ , then M is  $G^p$ -extending.

(ii) Let S be a simple module and  $M_1, M_2 \leq E(S)$ . If there exists a homomorphism  $h: M_2 \to S$  such that  $h(S) \neq 0$ , then  $M = M_1 \bigoplus M_2$  is  $G^p$ -extending.

*Proof:* (i) From [1, Corollary 4.11], M is Goldie extending. Thus Proposition 2.3 gives that M is  $G^p$ -extending.

(ii) By [1, Corollary 4.14],  $M_1$  is  $M_2$ - ejective and so it is G-extending. Now, by proposition 2.3 *M* is  $G^p$ -extending."

*Example 4.12:* (i) "Let *M* be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $(\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}_p) \oplus \mathbb{Q}$  and let *T* be the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Then  $M_{\mathbb{Z}}$  is included in corollary 4.11(i). On the other hand, it is well known that  $T^2$  is not  $G^p$ -extending T-module. Hence, we obtain that the condition  $E(M_i) \ncong E(M_j)$  for all  $i \neq j$ , is not superfluous in corollary 4.11(i).

(ii) Let *K* be a field and R=K[x, y], the commutative local Frobenious *K*-algebra (see[1, Example 4.15]) defined by the relations  $xy = x^2 - y^2 = 0$ . Then  $R_R$  is a uniform injective module with simple submodule  $Kx^2$ . Let  $M_2 = xR = \{k_1x + k_2, x^2: k_i \in K\}$ , and let *h* be the *R*-homomorphism,  $h: xR \to Kx^2$ , defined by  $h(k_1x + k_2x^2) = k_2x^2$ . Then  $h(Kx^2) \neq 0$ . Thus, by Corollary 4.11(ii),  $M = M_1 \bigoplus xR$  is  $G^p$ -extending for any  $M_1 \leq R_R$ ."

" Next example exhibits that  $G^p$ -extending property is not closed under essential extensions of a module."

<u>Example 4.13:</u> "Let F be any field and  $R = \begin{bmatrix} F & F & F \\ 0 & F & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & F \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $Soc(R_R) \leq_e R_R$ . Obviously Soc(R) is

a  $G^p$ -extending right R- module. However, it is well known that  $R_R$  is not  $G^p$ -extending (see [13, Theorem 3.4])."

" In contrast to essential extensions of a module which satisfies  $G^p$ -extending condition, we have the following overring of a ring *R* if Sis an overring of *R* such that  $R_R$  essential in  $S_R$ ."

*Theorem 4.14:* "Let S be a right essential overring of R (i.e.,  $R_R \leq_e S_R$ ). If  $R_R$  is  $G^p$ -extending, then  $S_R$  and  $S_S$  are  $G^p$ -extending.

*Proof:* Let  $Y_R$  be any cyclic submodule of  $S_R$ . That much is clear to see.  $X = Y \cap R$  is cyclic submodule of  $R_R$ . By Proposition 3.2, there exists  $K_R \leq R_R$  and  $e^2 = e \in R$  such that  $K_R \leq_e X_R$  and  $K_R \leq_e eR_R$ .

Notice that  $K_R \leq_e Y_R$ . Now, let us show that  $K_R \leq_e eS_R$ . Let  $0 \neq es \in eS$ . There exists  $r_1 \in R$  such that  $0 \neq esr_1 \in R$ . Hence  $0 \neq esr_1 \in eR$ , so there exists  $r_2 \in R$  such that  $0 \neq esr_1r_2 \in K$ . Thus  $K_R \leq_e eS_R$ . By Proposition 3.2,  $S_R$  is  $G^p$ -extending. A similar demonstration illustrates that  $KS_S \leq_e Y_S$  and  $KS_S \leq_e eS_S$ . Therefore  $S_S$  is  $G^p$ -extending.

Corollary 4.15: Let  $T = T_m(R)$  and  $M = M_m(R)$ . If  $T_T$  is  $G^p$ -extending, then  $M_T$  and  $M_M$  are  $G^p$ -extending.

*Proof:* This outcome is a result of Theorem 4.14 and the reality  $M_T$  is a rational extension of  $T_T$ ."

"It is not known so far whether direct summands of Goldie extending module enjoy with the property. Like the former case the authors desire to obtain whether the  $G^p$ -extending property is inherited by its direct summands or not?

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their thanks to the referee for her/his careful reading and useful suggestions on this paper."

## References

- [1] E. Akalan, G. F. Birkenmeier, A. Tercan, Goldie extending modules, Comm. Algebra 37(2) (2009),:663-683.
- [2] N. V. Dung, D. V. Huynh, P. F. Smith, Wisbauer, R., Extending Modules. Harlow: Longman, (1994).
- [3] M. A. Kamal, O. A. Elmnophy, On P-extending Modules, Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae, (2005), 279-286.
- [4] C. Canan Yucel, A. Tercan, Modules whose ec-closed submodules are direct summand, Taiwanese. J, Math, (2009), 1247-1256.
- P. F. Smith, Modules for which every submodule has a unique closure, in Ring theory (Graville, OH, 1992), 302-313, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1993.
- [6] A. Tercan, R. Yasar and C. C. Yucel, Goldie extending modules on class of z-closed submodules. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. (2022), 453-468.
- [7] A. Tercan, R. Yasar and C. C. Yucel, Goldie extending modules on class of exact submodules. Comm. Algebra. (2022), 1363-1371.
- [8] P. F. Smith and A. Tercan, Continuous and quasi- continuous modules, Houston J. Math. 18 (1992), 339-348.
- [9] K. R. Goodearl, Von Neumann Regular Rings, Pitman, London, 1976.
- [10] G. F. Birkenmeier and A. Tercan, when some complement of a submodule is a direct summand, Comm. Algebra, 35 (2007), 597-611.
- [11] A. Tercan and C.C. Yucel, Modules Theory, extending modules and generalizations, Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhauser/Springer, 2016.
- [12] E. M. Aljumaily and B. H. Albahraany, On Goldie extending modules, Iraqi Journal of Science, 2015, Vol 56, No.1B, pp: 492-498.
- [13] A. Tercan, On certain CS-rings, Comm. Algebra 23(1995), no. 2, 405-419.