

# Z-symmetries of a Class of Indefinite Almost Paracontact Metric Manifolds

K. L. Sai Prasad<sup>1</sup> S. Sunitha Devi<sup>2,\*</sup> and T. Satyanarayana<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Gayatri Vidya Parishad College of Engineering for Women, Visakhapatnam-530 048

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation, Vijayawada-522 302

<sup>3</sup>Pragati Engineering College, Surampalem, Near Peddapuram, Andhra Pradesh.

\*Corresponding Author (email): sunithamallakula@yahoo.com

---

## Article History:

Received:12-12-2025

Revised:05-01-2026

Accepted:18-02-2026

## Abstract:

The primary objective of this paper is to examine the  $Z$ -symmetries of indefinite almost paracontact metric manifolds, with particular emphasis on three-dimensional  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifolds. We demonstrate that the Einstein manifolds and the stipulation of possessing distinct  $Z$ -symmetries are equivalent for three-dimensional  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifolds. It has also been deduced that a  $Z$ -pseudosymmetric three-dimensional  $(\epsilon)$ -paraKenmotsu manifold exists. In the conclusion, we prove that all projectively  $Z$ -semisymmetric three-dimensional  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifolds are Einstein manifolds.

**Keywords:** Einstein manifolds, indefinite para Kenmotsu manifolds,  $Z$ -tensors, pseudosymmetric functions, semisymmetric manifolds, and Ricci-semisymmetric manifolds.

---

## 1. Introduction

In 1969, Takahashi [1] examined  $(\epsilon)$ -Sasakian and  $(\epsilon)$ -almost contact metric manifolds, introducing virtually almost contact manifolds with pseudo-Riemannian metrics, with a focus on Sasakian manifolds. De and Sarkar [2] introduced the  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifold, which is based on a type of Riemannian manifold called a Kenmotsu manifold [3].

Sato [4] was the first person to define the idea of a almost paracontact structure in 1976. Tripathi *et al.*, [5] introduced the concept of an indefinite almost paracontact metric structure, also known as a  $(\epsilon)$ -almost paracontact structure, by connecting an almost paracontact structure to a semi-Riemannian metric that does not have to be Lorentzian. In this case, the symbol  $\epsilon = 1$  or  $\epsilon = -1$  shows whether the vector field  $\xi$  has space-like or time-like properties. They also discussed and investigated into the properties of  $(\epsilon)$ -para Sasakian [5] and  $(\epsilon)$ -para Sasakian 3-manifolds [6].

In the year 1995, Sinha and Sai Prasad [7] constructed a category of almost paracontact metric manifolds that are known as para-Kenmotsu manifolds and special para-Kenmotsu manifolds and in brief, these manifolds are known as  $P$ -Kenmotsu and  $SP$ -Kenmotsu manifolds. Mona and Pankaj [8] conducted research on the Cotton tensor on para Kenmotsu 3-manifold admitting the Etta-Ricci solitons. This research was carried out quite recently. Sunitha and Sai Prasad [9] presented a novel class of indefinite almost paracontact metric manifolds, which they referred to as  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifolds. This class was introduced by them in the year 2025. A comparison may be made between these manifolds and the  $(\epsilon)$ -para Sasakian manifolds. To be more specific, they looked into the curvature properties of the  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu 3-manifolds that were being explored. In addition, they explored these manifolds that are flexible enough to accommodate quarter-symmetric connections and discovered that the Ricci and Riemannian tensors of these manifolds

share several common identities [10]. All of these manifolds are flexible enough to accommodate quarter-symmetric connections.

Mantica and Molinari [11] made a generalised  $(0, 2)$  symmetric  $Z$ -tensor in 2012 by doing the following:

$$Z(X, Y) = \psi g(X, Y) + S(X, Y), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\psi$  is any scalar function. Mallick and De [12] delineated several curvature conditions that the  $Z$ -Tensor satisfies on  $N(k)$ -Quasi-Einstein manifolds. Gatti *et al.*, [13] investigated the  $Z$ -symmetries of  $\epsilon$ -para-Sasakian 3-manifolds in 2021, revealing some compelling findings.

Our presentation and research of the  $Z$ -symmetries of the freshly defined  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu 3-manifolds are prompted by the work that has been carried out up until this point. As part of Section 2, there are a few conditions that must be met for  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifolds to exist in three dimensions. We present evidence in the third part that demonstrates that the conditions for having separate  $Z$ -symmetries and Einstein manifolds are same for the  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu 3-manifold. Furthermore, that Ricci symmetry is present for all  $Z$ -symmetric  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu 3-manifolds has been demonstrated by empirical evidence. In spite of the fact that the Ricci curvature tensor of  $(\epsilon)$ -para Sasakian 3-manifolds is significantly different from that of  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu 3-manifolds, there exists a result that is in consistent with this occurrence [13].

## 2. Preliminaries

A manifold has numerous dimensions that can be specified in a variety of ways. Assuming that  $M_n$  is capable of accepting a  $(1, 1)$  tensor field  $\phi$ , a vector field  $\xi$  and a 1-form  $\eta$  that satisfy the requirements, then it is considered to have a structure that is almost paracontact.

$$\phi^2 X = X - \eta(X) \xi, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad \phi \xi = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

By taking into account the semi-Riemannian metric  $g(X, Y)$ , which has an index of  $g(X, Y) = \nu$ , it is possible to assert that

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \epsilon \eta(X) \eta(Y), \quad (2.4)$$

for any  $X, Y$  belongs to the set  $\chi(M_n)$ , which is a group of vector fields on  $M_n$ , and  $(\epsilon)$  is either 1 or  $-1$ . Then  $M_n$  is considered as  $(\epsilon)$ -almost paracontact metric manifold endowed with  $(\epsilon)$ -almost paracontact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g, \epsilon)$ .

According to the information provided in reference [14], the manifold  $M_n$  is regarded to be a Lorentzian manifold that is almost paracontact if the index of the function  $g(X, Y)$  is one. Due to the fact that the metric  $g(X, Y)$  is positive definite, the manifold  $M_n$  is regarded as almost paracontact metric manifold [4]. A clear and convincing demonstration of the assertion is provided by equation (2.4) that

$$g(\phi X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y) \text{ and } g(X, \xi) = \epsilon \eta(X). \quad (2.5)$$

In addition, the equations (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.5) give us the following:

$$g(\xi, \xi) = \epsilon. \quad (2.6)$$

The structure of the  $(\epsilon)$ -paracontact metric is also known as the  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu metric if

$$(\nabla_X \phi) Y = g(X, \phi Y) \xi - \epsilon \eta(Y) \phi X. \quad (2.7)$$

Moreover, the manifold  $M_n$  that contains the structure is understood to be the  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifold [9]. The symbol  $\nabla$  is used to denote the Levi-Civita connection for the indefinite metric  $g(X, Y)$ . In a particular instance, for the Riemannian metric  $g(X, Y)$  and  $\epsilon = 1$ , the manifold is para Kenmotsu [7]. We may change the manifold  $M_n$  into a Lorentzian para-Kenmotsu manifold by substituting  $-\xi$  for  $\xi$  and  $\epsilon = -1$ . This manifold is characterised by the Lorentzian metric  $g(X, Y)$  [14].

Among the features that the Riemannian Christoffel curvature tensor  $R(X, Y)$  possesses in a  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifold are as follows [9]:

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(X) Y - \eta(Y) X, \quad (2.8)$$

$$R(\xi, X) Y = \epsilon \eta(Y) X - g(X, Y) \xi, \quad (2.9)$$

$$R(\xi, X)\xi = \epsilon X - \epsilon \eta(X) \xi, \quad (2.10)$$

$$S(Y, \xi) = -(n - 1) \eta(Y), \quad (2.11)$$

$$\nabla_Y \xi = \epsilon (Y - \eta(Y) \xi), \quad (2.12)$$

for any vector fields  $X, Y$  and  $Z$ , where the Ricci tensor is denoted by the symbol  $S(X, Y)$ .

In a three-dimensional  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifold, the Riemannian and Ricci curvature tensors can be produced by following the steps outlined in [9]:

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= [g(X, Z)Y - g(Y, Z)X] \left[ \frac{r}{2} + 6\epsilon \right] \\ &+ [g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi + \epsilon \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi - \epsilon \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y] \left[ \frac{r}{2} + 5\epsilon \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$S(X, Y) = \left[ \frac{r}{2} + 3\epsilon \right] g(X, Y) - \epsilon \left[ \frac{r}{2} + 5\epsilon \right] \eta(X) \eta(Y). \quad (2.14)$$

In a *three*-dimensional  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifold, the projective curvature tensor  $P(X, Y)$  is defined as

$$P(X, Y)U = R(X, Y) U + \frac{1}{2} [S(X, U) Y - S(Y, U) X]. \quad (2.15)$$

Hence, the tensor  $Z(X, Y)$  is obtained in a three-dimensional  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifold  $M_3$ , by using the equations (1.1) and (2.14),

$$Z(X, Y) = \left[ \psi + 3\epsilon + \frac{r}{2} \right] g(X, Y) - \epsilon \left[ \frac{r}{2} + 5\epsilon \right] \eta(X) \eta(Y), \quad (2.16)$$

and the scalar  $Z$  as:

$$Z = \left[\frac{r}{2} + 3\epsilon + \psi\right]3 - \left[\frac{r}{2} + 5\epsilon\right] = 4\epsilon + 3\psi. \quad (2.17)$$

Further, we also have

$$Z(X, \xi) = (\epsilon \psi - 2)\eta(X). \quad (2.18)$$

### 3. Z-symmetries of three-dimensional ( $\epsilon$ )-para Kenmotsu manifolds

In this section, we discuss the Z-symmetries of *three*-dimensional ( $\epsilon$ )-para Kenmotsu manifolds. Let us start with the definition.

**Definition 3.1:** Semisymmetric manifolds, which are a valid generalization of locally symmetric manifolds,  $M_n$ , were defined as [15]:

$$R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0, \text{ for all } X \text{ and } Y \in \chi(M_n).$$

**Definition 3.2:** A semi-Riemannian manifold  $M_n$  is considered Z-semisymmetric if

$$R(X, Y) \cdot Z = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $R(X, Y)$  serves as a derivation on  $Z$ , for all  $X, Y \in \chi(M_n)$ .

Assuming that  $M_3$  is a three-dimensional Z-semisymmetric ( $\epsilon$ )-para Kenmotsu manifold, we may get the following result for  $X = \xi$  by applying the equation (3.1):

$$Z(R(\xi, Y)U, V) + Z(U, R(\xi, Y)V) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

By using (2.9), the equation (3.2) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & Z(R(X, Y)U, V) \\ &= \left[\frac{r}{2} + 6\epsilon\right][g(X, U)Z(Y, V) - g(Y, U)Z(X, V)] \\ &+ \left[\frac{r}{2} + 5\epsilon\right][g(Y, U)\eta(X)Z(\xi, V) - g(X, U)\eta(Y)Z(\xi, V)] \\ &+ \epsilon\eta(Y)\eta(U)Z(X, V) - \epsilon\eta(X)\eta(U)Z(Y, V), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

which further implies

$$Z(R(\xi, Y)U, V) = \eta(U)Z(Y, V) - \epsilon g(Y, U)Z(\xi, V). \quad (3.4)$$

Additionally, from the equation (2.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & Z(U, R(X, Y)V) \\ &= \left[\frac{r}{2} + 6\epsilon\right][g(X, V)Z(U, Y) - g(Y, V)Z(U, X)] \\ &+ \left[\frac{r}{2} + 5\epsilon\right][g(Y, V)\eta(X)Z(U, \xi) - g(X, V)\eta(Y)Z(U, \xi)] \\ &+ \epsilon\eta(Y)\eta(V)Z(U, X) - \epsilon\eta(X)\eta(V)Z(U, Y), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

which implies

$$Z(U, R(\xi, Y)V) = -\epsilon g(Y, V)Z(U, \xi) + \eta(V)Z(U, Y). \quad (3.6)$$

Then the equations (3.2), (3.4) and (3.6) together gives

$$\begin{aligned} & -\epsilon g(Y, U)Z(\xi, V) + Z(Y, V)\eta(U) \\ & - \epsilon g(Y, V)Z(U, \xi) + Z(U, Y)\eta(V) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

By inserting  $V = \xi$  in (3.7) and on using (2.18), we get

$$Z(U, Y) = \psi g(Y, U) - 2\epsilon g(Y, U). \quad (3.8)$$

Then by using (2.16), the above equation yields

$$\left[\frac{r}{2} + 5\epsilon\right] \epsilon \eta(Y) \eta(U) = \left[\frac{r}{2} + 5\epsilon\right] g(Y, U), \quad (3.9)$$

from which we conclude that  $\frac{r}{2} + 5\epsilon = 0$ . This gives

$$r = -10\epsilon. \quad (3.10)$$

By substituting  $r$  from (3.10) in (2.14), we have

$$S(X, Y) + 2\epsilon g(X, Y) = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

This suggests that the manifold  $M_3$  is Einstein's manifold.

Let us assume, on the other hand, that  $M_3$  is an Einstein manifold. After that, we have the answer (3.8), which is derived from equations (1.1) and (3.11). Furthermore, we take into consideration

$$R(X, Y) \cdot Z(U, V) = Z(U, R(X, Y)V) + Z(R(X, Y)U, V). \quad (3.12)$$

Then by using (3.8), the above equation (3.12) reduces to

$$R(X, Y) \cdot Z(U, V) = [g(R(X, Y)U, V) + g(U, R(X, Y)V)] (\psi - 2\epsilon). \quad (3.13)$$

An  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifold of dimension 3 is reported to have [9]:

$$g(R(X, Y)U, V) = -g(U, R(X, Y)V). \quad (3.14)$$

Then, based on equations (3.13) and (3.14), we obtain

$$R(X, Y) \cdot Z(U, V) = 0, \quad (3.15)$$

This indicates that the manifold  $M_3$  is  $Z$ -semisymmetric. So, we may state the following:

**Theorem 3.1:** A three-dimensional  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifold is  $Z$ -semisymmetric if and only if it is an Einstein manifold.

It also makes sense that  $M_3$  is Ricci symmetric because the manifold is Einstein. On the other hand, if  $M_3$  is Ricci-symmetric, then  $\nabla S = 0$ . This means

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, \xi) = -\epsilon S(Y, X) + 2\eta(X) \eta(Y) - 2\epsilon g(\phi X, \phi Y) = 0.$$

The manifold is Einstein, if we replace  $X$  with  $\phi X$  in the preceding expression. Consequently, from Theorem 3.1, we assert the following:

**Theorem 3.2:** An  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifold of dimension *three* is considered to be  $Z$ -semisymmetric if and only if it is Ricci-symmetric.

In addition, if  $M_3$  is Ricci-semisymmetric, we may say that for  $X = \xi$ , we obtain

$$S(R(\xi, Y)U, \xi) + S(U, R(\xi, Y)\xi) = 0.$$

Substituting the values from (2.9) and (2.14) into the previous expression yields (3.11).

Contrarily, if  $M_3$  is an Einstein manifold, it is obviously Ricci-semisymmetric. Therefore, the manifold  $M_3$  is Ricci-semisymmetric if and only if it is Einstein. Taking into account the outcome from 3.1, we can so draw the following:

**Theorem 3.3:** If and only if a  $(\epsilon)$ - para Kenmotsu manifold  $M_3$  of dimension 3 is Ricci-symmetric, then it is Z-semisymmetric.

**Corollary 3.4:** *The following statements are similar on a  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifold  $M_3$  of dimension 3:*

- (a)  $M_3$  is an Einstein manifold
- (b)  $M_3$  exhibits Ricci symmetry
- (c)  $M_3$  is Ricci-semisymmetric
- (d) Z-semisymmetry exists in  $M_3$ .

It is obvious that, Z-symmetric  $\Rightarrow$  Z-semisymmetric  $\Rightarrow \nabla S = 0$ . Thus, in accordance with Corollary 3.4, we declare:

**Corollary 3.5:** Ricci-symmetry exists for all Z-symmetric  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu 3-manifolds.

We define  $R \cdot T$  and  $Q(A, T)$ , the  $(0, k + 2)$ -tensor fields, as

$$(R \cdot T)(Y_1, \dots, Y_k; X, Y) \\ = -T(R(X, Y)Y_1, \dots, Y_k) - \dots - T(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}, R(X, Y) Y_k), \text{ and}$$

$$Q(A, T)(Y_1, \dots, Y_k; X, Y) \\ = -T((X \wedge_A Y) Y_1, \dots, Y_k) - \dots - T(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}, (X \wedge_A Y)Y_k)$$

respectively. On  $M_n$ ,  $T$  is a  $(0, k)$  tensor field ( $k \geq 1$ ), and  $A$  is a symmetric  $(0, 2)$ -tensor field. Further, the endomorphism  $X \wedge_A Y$  is defined by

$$(X \wedge_A Y)Z = A(Y, Z)X - A(X, Z)Y. \tag{3.16}$$

**Definition 3.3:** It is said that a semi-Riemannian manifold  $M_n$  is pseudo-symmetric if [16]

$$R \cdot R = L_R Q(g, R)$$

holds for the set  $U_R = \{x \in M_n: R \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$ , where  $L_R$  is a function on  $U_R$ .

**Definition 3.4:** A semi-Riemannian manifold  $M_n$  is said to be Z-pseudosymmetric if

$$R \cdot Z = L_Z Q(g, Z) \tag{3.17}$$

holds for the set  $U_Z = \{x \in M_n: Z \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$ . In this case,  $L_Z$  is a function on  $U_Z$ .

Assume that  $M_3$  is a Z-pseudosymmetric *three*-dimensional  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifold. Using (3.16) and (3.17), we have:

$$(R(\xi, Y) \cdot Z)(U, \xi) = L_Z [((\xi \wedge Y) \cdot Z)(U, \xi)]. \tag{3.18}$$

Using (2.9) and (3.17), we obtain

$$R(\xi, X)Y = -\epsilon(\xi \wedge X)Y. \tag{3.19}$$

As a result, it is clear from (3.18) in (3.19) that

$$L_Z = -\epsilon. \tag{3.20}$$

Thus we can state the following:

**Definition 3.5:** The  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu 3-manifold  $M_3$  is considered. Either  $M_3$  is an Einstein manifold or  $L_Z = -\epsilon$  holds on the manifold  $M_3$  provided it is  $Z$ -pseudosymmetric.

*In contrary, if  $L_Z \neq -\epsilon$ , we immediately obtain:*

**Corollary 3.6:** An Einstein manifold is any  $Z$ -pseudosymmetric three-dimensional  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifold with  $L_Z \neq -\epsilon$ .

However,  $L_Z$  does not always have to be zero, hence there are  $Z$ -pseudosymmetric manifolds that are not  $Z$ -semisymmetric. Therefore, if  $L_Z \neq 0$ , we have  $R.Z = Q(g, Z)(-\epsilon)$  and hence  $L_Z$  value will be  $-\epsilon$ . Consequently, we have the following claim.

**Corollary 3.7:** Each three-dimensional  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifold is  $Z$ -pseudosymmetric of the form  $R.Z = Q(g, Z)(-\epsilon)$ .

**Definition 3.6:** A semi-Riemannian manifold  $M_n$  is termed projectively  $Z$ -semisymmetric if

$$P(X, Y) \cdot Z = 0, \quad (3.21)$$

where  $P(X, Y)$  represents the projective curvature tensor.

Let  $M_3$  be a *three*-dimensional  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifold that is projectively  $Z$ -semisymmetric. Then for  $X = \xi$ , from (3.21)

$$Z(P(\xi, Y)U, V) = -Z(U, P(\xi, Y)V). \quad (3.22)$$

We also have from the equation (2.15):

$$P(\xi, Y)U = -\frac{1}{2} S(Y, U) \xi - g(Y, U)\xi. \quad (3.23)$$

With (2.9) and (3.23), we then get

$$Z(P(\xi, Y)U, V) = -\frac{1}{2} S(Y, U) Z(\xi, V) - g(Y, U) Z(\xi, V); \quad (3.24)$$

and

$$Z(U, P(\xi, Y)V) + g(Y, V) Z(U, \xi) = -\frac{1}{2} S(Y, V) Z(U, \xi). \quad (3.25)$$

When combined, the equations (3.22), (3.24), and (3.25) yield

$$[-\frac{1}{2} S(Y, U) - g(Y, U)]Z(\xi, V) = [\frac{1}{2} S(Y, V) + g(Y, V)] Z(U, \xi). \quad (3.26)$$

Using (2.14) and (2.18), for  $V = \xi$  in (3.26), we obtain

$$(\psi - 2\epsilon)[S(Y, U) + 2\epsilon g(Y, U)] = 0. \quad (3.27)$$

From (2.18), we derive (3.9) if  $\psi = 2\epsilon$ , and it shows that  $M_3$  is Einstein. Thus the manifold  $M_3$  is reduced to Einstein in both the situations. We therefore conclude that:

**Theorem 3.8:** Every projectively  $Z$ -semisymmetric three-dimensional  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifold is Einstein.

#### 4. Applications

- The  $Z$ -tensor, a broad concept of the Einstein gravitational tensor, will be utilised in numerous scientific theories, including the general theory of relativity.
- The indefinite almost paracontact metric manifolds implanted with  $Z$ -tensors have extensive use in numerous geometrical domains, including the development of super-resolution sensors in electronics and communication systems.

## 5. Significance of the work

- We can illustrate some significances of our research work in following points:
- In 2025, the authors presented a new class of indefinite nearly paracontact metric manifolds, which are studied in this paper.
- Obtaining the conditions for  $Z$ -symmetries of three-dimensional  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifolds.
- Determining the circumstances in which a three-dimensional  $(\epsilon)$ -para Kenmotsu manifold is  $Z$ -pseudo symmetric.
- The research demonstrates that all projectively  $Z$ -semisymmetric three-dimensional indefinite para-Kenmotsu manifolds are Einstein manifolds.

## 6. Significance of the work

The investigation of  $Z$ -tensors in the context of indefinite almost paracontact metric manifolds has a wide range of applications in the sciences of geometry and physics, including an extensive application in the field of electrical engineering.

## 7. Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to both Dr. A. Kameswara Rao, Senior Assistant Professor and Sri. V. V. V. Satyanarayana at the Gayatri Vidya Parishad College of Engineering for Women in Visakhapatnam, for providing us with insightful technical guidance while we were in the process of preparing the manuscript for publication.

## References

- [1] Takahashi, T. (1969). "Sasakian manifold with Pseudo-Riemannian metric", *The Tohoku Math. J.*, Second Series, 21, 271-290,
- [2] Uday Chand De and Avijit Sarkar (2009). "On  $(\epsilon)$ -Kenmotsu manifold", *Hardonic J.*, 32(2), 231-242.
- [3] Kenmotsu, K. (1972). "A class of almost contact Riemannian manifold", *Tohoku Math. J.*, 24, 93-103.
- [4] Sato, I. (1976). "On a structure similar to the almost contact structure", *Tensor. (N. S.)*, 30(3), 219-224.
- [5] Tripathi, M. M., Erol Kilic, Selcen Yuksel Perktas, and Sadik Keles (2010). "Indefinite almost paracontact metric manifolds", *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, Article ID 846195, 1-19.
- [6] Selcen Yuksel Perktas, Erol Kihe, Tripathi, M. M. and Sadik Keles (2012). "On  $(\epsilon)$ -para Sasakian 3-manifolds", *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 77(4), 485-499.

- [7] Sinha, B. B. and Sai Prasad, K. L. (1995). "A class of almost paracontact metric Manifold", *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, 87, 307-312.
- [8] Mona, J. and Pankaj Pandey (2025). "Cotton Tensor on Para Kenmotsu 3-Manifold Admitting Etta-Ricci Solitons", *IAENG International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 55(8), 2398-2403.
- [9] Sunitha Devi, S. and Sai Prasad, K. L. (2025). "A class of indefinite almost paracontact metric manifolds", *International Journal of Maps in Mathematics*, 8(1), 247-257.
- [10] Sai Prasad, K. L. and Sunitha Devi, S. (2025). "On a class of indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds admitting quarter-symmetric metric connection", *Reliability: Theory and Applications*, 20, No.2(84), 882-889.
- [11] Mantica, C. A. and Molonari, L. G. (2012). "Weakly Z-symmetric manifolds", *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 135, 80-96.
- [12] Mallick, S. and Uday Chand De (2016). "Z-Tensor on  $N(k)$ -Quasi-Einstein manifolds", *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, 56(3), 979-991.
- [13] Gatti, N. B., Nagaraja, M., Raghavendra Mishra, and Prakasha, D. G. (2021). "Z-Symmetries of  $\epsilon$ -para-Sasakian 3-manifolds", *Malaya J. of Mathematics*, 9(1), 770-774.
- [14] Matsumoto, K. (1989). "On Lorentzian paracontact manifolds", *Bulletin of Yamagata University*, 12(2), 151-156.
- [15] Szabo, Z. I. (1982). "Structure theorems on Riemannian spaces satisfying  $R(X, Y).R = 0$ ", *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 17, 531-582.
- [16] Deszcz, R. (1992). "On pseudosymmetric spaces", *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg., Ser. A*, 44(1), 1-34.