

On Soft Strongly \mathfrak{b}^* – Closed Via Soft Ideal

Abdelaziz E. Radwan¹, Essam El-Seidy², Saif Z. Hameed^{*,3}

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

³Department of Mathematics, College of Education, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq

Email address:

¹zezoradwan@yahoo.com, ²esam_elsedy@hotmail.com, ³saif.zuhar.edbs@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq

³<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6197-3525>

Article History:

Received: 01-02-2024

Revised: 15-04-2024

Accepted: 04-05-2024

Abstract:

In this paper, we introduce the soft strongly \mathfrak{b}^* –closed via soft ideal and study the behavior of intersection and union of this level. Also, we define the soft strongly $\mathfrak{b}^*\check{\mathfrak{I}}$ –continuous, irresolute, soft strongly $\mathfrak{b}^*\check{\mathfrak{I}}$ –open map and soft strongly $\mathfrak{b}^*\check{\mathfrak{I}}$ –closed map with some properties. Moreover, the relationship between another closed sets and soft strongly $\mathfrak{b}^*\check{\mathfrak{I}}$ –closed with counterexamples are discuss.

Keywords: soft ideal, $s\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{b}^*$ –closed set, $s\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{b}^*\check{\mathfrak{I}}$ –closed, $s\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{b}^*\check{\mathfrak{I}}$ –continuous, $s\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{b}^*\check{\mathfrak{I}}$ –irresolute.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A05, 54A10, 54A20, 54A40.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Molodtsov [1], instigated the concept of soft set as a new mathematical tool to deal with uncertainties problems in different fields of science. I. Arockiarani and A. Arokialancy [2] studied the soft β –open sets and continuous. Akdag and Ozkan [3, 4] introduced the soft α -open and define soft \mathfrak{b} -open and continuous. Hameed, S. Z., Hussein, A. K [5] defined the soft \mathfrak{bc} –open set. The soft \mathfrak{b}^* –closed, $s\mathfrak{b}^*$ –continuous, $s\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{b}^*$ –closed sets and $s\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{b}^*$ –continuous functions are studied by Saif at el. in [6], [7] and [8]. Kandil et al. [9] define soft ideal and introduced the soft local function. These concepts are discussed with a view to find new soft topologies from the original one, called \mathcal{STS} s with soft ideal $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta, \check{\mathfrak{I}})$. Mustafa and Sleim [10] studied the notion of a soft ideal and they introduced the soft generalized closed sets with respect to a soft ideal and studied their properties in detail, which is extension of the concept of soft generalized closed sets. Later, K. Kannan [11] introduced the soft \mathfrak{g} -closed soft sets in a \mathcal{STS} . In this work, we study the concept of $s\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{b}^*$ –closed set via soft ideal, Also, we study the relationship between $s\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{b}^*\check{\mathfrak{I}}$ –closed sets and other existing soft sets have been investigated. Moreover, the $s\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{b}^*\check{\mathfrak{I}}$ –continuous, irresolute, $s\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{b}^*\check{\mathfrak{I}}$ –open map and $s\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{b}^*\check{\mathfrak{I}}$ –closed map with counterexamples are discuss.

Definition 1.1: [1] Let \mathcal{Z} be an initial universe set and E be a set of parameters. Let $P(\mathcal{Z})$ denote the power set of \mathcal{Z} , and $\Delta \subset E$. A pair (γ, Δ) is called a soft set over \mathcal{Z} . Where γ is a mapping given by $\gamma: \Delta \rightarrow P(\mathcal{Z})$. The family of all soft sets over \mathcal{Z} denote by $SS(\mathcal{Z}, \Delta)$

Definition 1.2: [12] The soft set $(\delta, \Delta) \in SS(\mathcal{Z}, \Delta)$, where $\delta(c) = \emptyset$, for every $c \in \Delta$ is called A-null soft set of $SS(\mathcal{Z}, \Delta)$ and denoted by $\tilde{\emptyset}$. The soft set $(\delta, \Delta) \in SS(\mathcal{Z}, \Delta)$, where $\delta(c) = \mathcal{Z}$, for every $c \in \Delta$ is called the A-absolute soft set of $SS(\mathcal{Z}, \Delta)$ and denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$.

Definition 1.3: [12] For two sets $(\gamma, \Delta), (\delta, B) \in SS(\mathcal{Z}, \Delta)$, we say that (γ, Δ) is a soft subset of (δ, B) denoted by $(\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq (\delta, B)$, if

- (1) $\Delta \subseteq B$.
- (2) $\gamma(\nabla) \subseteq \delta(\nabla), \forall \nabla \in \Delta$.

In this case, (γ, Δ) is said to be a soft superset of (δ, B) , if (δ, B) is a soft subset of (γ, Δ) , $(\gamma, \Delta) \supseteq (\delta, B)$.

Definition 1.4: [13] Let (γ, Δ) be a soft set over \mathcal{Z} and $z \in \mathcal{Z}$. We say that $z \in (\gamma, \Delta)$ read as z belongs to the soft set (γ, Δ) whenever $z \in \gamma(\nabla)$ for all $\nabla \in \Delta$. The soft set (γ, Δ) over \mathcal{Z} such that $\gamma(\nabla) = \{z\} \forall \nabla \in \Delta$ is called singleton soft point and denoted by z_{Δ} or (z, Δ) .

Definition 1.5: [13] Let \mathfrak{B} be a collection of soft sets over \mathcal{Z} , then \mathfrak{B} is said to be STS on \mathcal{Z} if

- (1) $\tilde{\emptyset}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}$ belong to \mathfrak{B} .
- (2) The union of any subcollection of soft sets of \mathfrak{B} belongs to \mathfrak{B} .
- (3) The intersection of any two soft sets in \mathfrak{B} belongs to \mathfrak{B} .

It is denoted by $STS(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$ and briefly \mathcal{Z} .

Definition 1.6: [13] Let $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$ be a soft space over \mathcal{Z} , then the members of \mathfrak{B} are said to be soft open sets in \mathfrak{B} .

Definition 1.7: [13] Let $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$ be a soft space over \mathcal{Z} . A soft set (P, Δ) over \mathcal{Z} is said to be a soft closed set in \mathcal{Z} , if its relative complement $(\gamma, \Delta)'$ belongs to \mathfrak{B} .

Definition 1.8: [14] Let $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$ be a STS and $(\gamma, \Delta) \in SS(\mathcal{Z}, \Delta)$. Then

- (1) The soft closure of (γ, Δ) is the soft set

$$cl(\gamma, \Delta) = \cap \{(L, \Delta) : (L, \Delta) \in \mathfrak{B}^c, (\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq (L, \Delta)\}.$$

- (2) The soft interior of (γ, Δ) is the soft set

$$int(\gamma, \Delta) = \cup \{(H, \Delta) : (H, \Delta) \in \mathfrak{B}, (H, \Delta) \subseteq (\gamma, \Delta)\}.$$

Definition 1.9: [4, 5, 7, 19] A soft set (δ, Δ) of a STS $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$ is said to be

- (1) soft α - open if $(\delta, \Delta) \subset int(cl(int((\delta, \Delta))))$.
- (2) soft preopen if $(\delta, \Delta) \subset int(cl((\delta, \Delta)))$.

- (3) soft semi - open if $(\delta, \Delta) \subset cl(int((\delta, \Delta)))$.
- (4) soft β -open if $(\delta, \Delta) \subset cl(int(cl((\delta, \Delta))))$.
- (5) soft \mathbf{b} -open if $(\delta, \Delta) \subset int(cl((\delta, \Delta))) \cup cl(int((\delta, \Delta)))$.

Definition 1.15: [8] A soft set (γ, Δ) of a $\mathcal{STS}(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$ is called a soft strongly \mathbf{b}^* -closed (briefly $sS\mathbf{b}^*$ -closed) if $cl(int(\gamma, \Delta)) \subseteq (\delta, \Delta)$, whenever $(\gamma, \Delta) \subset (\delta, \Delta)$ and (δ, Δ) is soft \mathbf{b} -open. The complement of a \mathbf{b}^* \mathbf{b}^* -closed set is called \mathbf{b}^* \mathbf{b}^* -open set. The family of all \mathbf{b}^* \mathbf{b}^* -open sets denoted by $sS\mathbf{b}^*OS(\mathcal{Z})$.

Theorem 1.16: [8] The following statements are true.

- (i) Every soft open is $sS\mathbf{b}^*$ -open.
- (ii) Every $s\alpha$ -open is $sS\mathbf{b}^*$ -open.
- (iii) Every $sS\mathbf{b}^*$ -open set is $s\mathbf{b}$ -open.
- (iv) Every $s\omega$ -open is $sS\mathbf{b}^*$ -open.

Definition 1.17: [9] Let \check{I} be a non-null collection of soft sets over a universe \mathcal{Z} with the same set of parameters Δ . Then, $\check{I} \in SS(\mathcal{Z}, \Delta)$ is called a soft ideal on \mathcal{Z} with the same set Δ if

- (1) $(\gamma, \Delta) \in \check{I}$ and $(\delta, \Delta) \in \check{I} \Rightarrow (\gamma, \Delta) \cup (\delta, \Delta) \in \check{I}$,
- (2) $(\gamma, \Delta) \in \check{I}$ and $(\delta, \Delta) \subseteq (\gamma, \Delta) \Rightarrow (\delta, \Delta) \in \check{I}$.

i.e., \check{I} is closed under finite soft unions and soft subsets.

Definition 1.18: [10] A soft set $(\gamma, \Delta) \in SS(\mathcal{Z}, \Delta)$ is called soft generalized closed set with respect to soft ideal \check{I} (soft $\check{I}g$ -closed set) in $\mathcal{STS}(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$ if $cl(\gamma, \Delta) \setminus (\delta, \Delta) \in \check{I}$ whenever $(\gamma, \Delta) \subset (\delta, \Delta)$ and $(\delta, \Delta) \in \mathfrak{B}$.

2. $SS \mathbf{b}^*$ -closed via soft ideal

In this section, we define $sS\mathbf{b}^*$ -closed set via soft ideal and study some of their properties.

Definition 2.1: A soft set (γ, Δ) of a $\mathcal{STS}(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$ is called a soft strongly \mathbf{b}^* -closed with respect to soft ideal \check{I} (briefly $sS\mathbf{b}^*\check{I}$ -closed) if $cl(int(\gamma, \Delta)) \setminus (\delta, \Delta) \in \check{I}$, whenever $(\gamma, \Delta) \subset (\delta, \Delta)$ and (δ, Δ) is $sS\mathbf{b}^*$ -open.

Example 2.2. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{\varepsilon, \mu\}$ and $\Delta = \{\nabla_1, \nabla_2\}$. Let (γ_1, Δ) , (γ_2, Δ) and (γ_3, Δ) be three soft sets, where

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_1, \Delta) &= \{(\nabla_1, \emptyset), (\nabla_2, \{\varepsilon\})\}, \\ (\gamma_2, \Delta) &= \{(\nabla_1, \{\mu\}), (\nabla_2, \emptyset)\} \text{ and} \\ (\gamma_3, \Delta) &= \{(\nabla_1, \{\mu\}), (\nabla_2, \{\varepsilon\})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (γ_1, Δ) , (γ_2, Δ) and (γ_3, Δ) are soft sets over \mathcal{Z} and

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \tilde{\emptyset}, (\gamma_1, \Delta), (\gamma_2, \Delta), (\gamma_3, \Delta)\} \text{ is the soft topology over } \mathcal{Z}.$$

Let $\check{I} = \{\tilde{\emptyset}, (\delta_1, \Delta), (\delta_2, \Delta), (\delta_3, \Delta)\}$ be a soft ideal on \mathcal{Z} , where

$$(\delta_1, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \{\mu\}), (\nabla_2, \emptyset)\},$$

$$(\delta_2, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \{\mu\}), (\nabla_2, \{\varepsilon\})\} \text{ and}$$

$$(\delta_3, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \emptyset), (\nabla_2, \{\varepsilon\})\}.$$

The soft sets $(\vartheta_1, \Delta), (\vartheta_2, \Delta), (\vartheta_3, \Delta)$ are $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed, where

$$(\vartheta_1, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \emptyset), (\nabla_2, \mathcal{Z})\},$$

$$(\vartheta_2, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \mathcal{Z}), (\nabla_2, \{\varepsilon\})\} \text{ and}$$

$$(\vartheta_3, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \{\mu\}), (\nabla_2, \{\mu\})\}.$$

And we see the soft set (ξ, Δ) is not $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed, where $(\xi, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \emptyset), (\nabla_2, \{\varepsilon\})\}$.

Theorem 2.3:

(1) Every soft g -closed set is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed.

(2) Every closed soft set is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed.

(3) Every soft $\check{I}g$ -closed set is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed.

Proof.

(1) Let $(\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq (\delta, \Delta)$ and (δ, Δ) is sSb^* -open. Since (γ, Δ) is soft g -closed $\Rightarrow cl(\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq (\delta, \Delta)$ and $cl(int(\gamma, \Delta)) \subseteq cl(\gamma, \Delta)$. So, $cl(int(\gamma, \Delta)) \setminus (\delta, \Delta) = \emptyset \in \check{I}$. Therefore, (γ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed.

(2) Let $(\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq (\delta, \Delta)$ and (δ, Δ) is sSb^* -open. Since (γ, Δ) is soft closed, then $cl(int(\gamma, \Delta)) \subseteq cl(\gamma, \Delta) = (\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq (\delta, \Delta)$. Hence, $cl(int(\gamma, \Delta)) \setminus (\delta, \Delta) = \emptyset \in \check{I}$. Therefore, (γ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed.

(3) Let $(\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq (\xi, \Delta)$ and (ξ, Δ) is sSb^* -open. Then $cl(int(\gamma, \Delta)) \setminus (\xi, \Delta) \subseteq cl(\gamma, \Delta) \setminus (\xi, \Delta) \in \check{I}, (\xi, \Delta) \in \check{I}$ Hence, (γ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed.

The converse of the above theorem is not true in general. The following examples support our claim.

Example 2.4: Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{\varepsilon, \mu\}$. Let $\Delta = \{\nabla_1, \nabla_2\}$. Let $(\gamma_1, \Delta), (\gamma_2, \Delta), (\gamma_3, \Delta)$ and (γ_4, Δ) be four soft sets, where

$$(\gamma_1, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \{\varepsilon\}), (\nabla_2, \mathcal{Z})\},$$

$$(\gamma_2, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \{\varepsilon\}), (\nabla_2, \emptyset)\},$$

$$(\gamma_3, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \{\varepsilon\}), (\nabla_2, \{\mu\})\} \text{ and}$$

$$(\gamma_4, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \{\varepsilon\}), (\nabla_2, \{\varepsilon\})\}.$$

Then, $\mathfrak{B} = \{\check{\mathcal{Z}}, \check{\emptyset}, (\gamma_1, \Delta), (\gamma_2, \Delta), (\gamma_3, \Delta), (\gamma_4, \Delta)\}$ is the soft topology over \mathcal{Z} .

Let $\check{I} = \{\check{\emptyset}, (\delta_1, \Delta), (\delta_2, \Delta), (\delta_3, \Delta)\}$ be a soft ideal on \mathcal{Z} , where

$$(\delta_1, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \{\varepsilon\}), (\nabla_2, \emptyset)\},$$

$$(\delta_2, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \{\varepsilon\}), (\nabla_2, \{\varepsilon\})\} \text{ and}$$

$$(\delta_3, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \emptyset), (\nabla_2, \{\varepsilon\})\}.$$

The soft sets (ϑ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed but not soft g -closed, where

$$(\vartheta, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \emptyset), (\nabla_2, \{\mu\})\}.$$

Example 2.5: In Example 2.2, the soft set $(\Gamma, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \mathcal{Z}), (\nabla_2, \{\varepsilon\})\}$ is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed but not closed soft se.

Example 2.6: In Example 2.4, the soft set (ζ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed but not soft $\check{I}g$ -closed set, where $(\zeta, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \emptyset), (\nabla_2, \{\mu\})\}$.

Remark 2.7: If a soft subset (γ, Δ) of a $\mathcal{STS} (\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$ is soft open, then it is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed if and only if it is soft $\check{I}g$ -closed.

Theorem 2.8: A soft set (ϑ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed in a $\mathcal{STS} (\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$ if and only if $(\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \setminus (\vartheta, \Delta)$ and (γ, Δ) is soft closed implies $(\gamma, \Delta) \in \check{I}$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $(\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \setminus (\vartheta, \Delta)$ and (γ, Δ) is soft closed. Then, $(\vartheta, \Delta) \subseteq (\gamma, \Delta)^c$. By hypothesis, $cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \setminus (\gamma, \Delta)^c \in \check{I}$. But $(\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \cap (\gamma, \Delta) = cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \setminus (\gamma, \Delta)^c$. Thus, $(\gamma, \Delta) \in \check{I}$ from Definition 1.17.

(\Leftarrow) Assume that $(\vartheta, \Delta) \subseteq (\delta, \Delta)$ and (δ, Δ) is sSb^* -open. Then, $cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \setminus (\delta, \Delta) = cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \cap (\delta, \Delta)^c$ is a sSb^* -closed set and $cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \setminus (\delta, \Delta) \subseteq cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \setminus (\delta, \Delta)$. By assumption, $cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \setminus (\delta, \Delta) \in \check{I}$. So, (ϑ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed.

Theorem 2.9: If (γ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed in a $\mathcal{STS} (\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$ and $(\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq (\delta, \Delta) \subseteq cl(int(\gamma, \Delta))$, then (δ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed.

Proof. Let $(\delta, \Delta) \subseteq (\xi, \Delta)$ and (ξ, Δ) is sSb^* -open. Then, $(\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq (\xi, \Delta)$. Since (γ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed, then $cl(int(\gamma, \Delta)) \setminus (\xi, \Delta) \in \check{I}$. Now, $(\delta, \Delta) \subseteq cl(int(\gamma, \Delta))$ implies that $cl(\delta, \Delta) \subseteq cl(int(\gamma, \Delta))$. Thus, $cl(int(\delta, \Delta)) \setminus (\xi, \Delta) \subseteq cl(\delta, \Delta) \setminus (\xi, \Delta) \subseteq cl(int(\gamma, \Delta)) \setminus (\xi, \Delta)$. So, $cl(int(\delta, \Delta)) \setminus (\xi, \Delta) \in \check{I}$ from Definition 1.17. Thus, (δ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed.

The intersection of two $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed sets need not be a $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed as shown by the following example.

Example 2.10: In Example 2.2, the soft sets (ϑ, Δ) , (δ, Δ) are $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed. But $(\xi, \Delta) = (\vartheta, \Delta) \cap (\delta, \Delta)$ is not $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed, where $(\xi, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \emptyset), (\nabla_2, \{\varepsilon\})\}$.

Theorem 2.11: If (ϑ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed and (γ, Δ) is soft closed in a $\mathcal{STS} (\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$. Then, $(\vartheta, \Delta) \cap (\gamma, \Delta)$ is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed.

Proof. Let $(\vartheta, \Delta) \cap (\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq (\delta, \Delta)$ and (δ, Δ) is a sSb^* -open. Then $(\vartheta, \Delta) \subseteq (\delta, \Delta) \cup (\gamma, \Delta)^c$. Since (ϑ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed, so $cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \setminus ((\delta, \Delta) \cup (\gamma, \Delta)^c) \in \check{I}$. Now, $cl(int((\vartheta, \Delta) \cap (\gamma, \Delta))) \subseteq cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \cap cl(int(\gamma, \Delta)) \subseteq cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \cap cl(\gamma, \Delta) = cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \cap (\gamma, \Delta) = [cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \cap (\gamma, \Delta)] \setminus (\gamma, \Delta)^c$. Thus, $cl(int((\vartheta, \Delta) \cap (\gamma, \Delta))) \setminus (\delta, \Delta) \subseteq [cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \cap (\gamma, \Delta)] \setminus ((\delta, \Delta) \cup (\gamma, \Delta)^c)$

$$\subseteq cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \setminus ((\delta, \Delta) \cup (\gamma, \Delta)^c) \in \check{I}$$

So, $(\vartheta, \Delta) \cap (\gamma, \Delta)$ is $sSb^* \check{I}$ -closed.

Theorem 2.12: Let $(Z, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$ is \mathcal{STS} and $(\gamma, \Delta), (\delta, \Delta)$ are $sSb^* \check{I}$ -closed. Then $(\gamma, \Delta) \cup (\delta, \Delta)$ are $sSb^* \check{I}$ -closed.

Proof. Let (γ, Δ) and (δ, Δ) are $sSb^* \check{I}$ -closed. Suppose that $(\gamma, \Delta) \cup (\delta, \Delta) \subseteq (\vartheta, \Delta)$ and (ϑ, Δ) is sSb^* -open. Then $(\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq (\vartheta, \Delta)$ and $(\delta, \Delta) \subseteq (\vartheta, \Delta)$. Since (γ, Δ) and (δ, Δ) are $sSb^* \check{I}$ -closed sets, then $cl(int(\gamma, \Delta)) \setminus (\vartheta, \Delta) \in \check{I}$ and $cl(int(\delta, \Delta)) \setminus (\vartheta, \Delta) \in \check{I}$. Therefore, $cl(int((\gamma, \Delta) \cup (\delta, \Delta))) \setminus (\vartheta, \Delta) = [cl(int(\gamma, \Delta)) \setminus (\vartheta, \Delta)] \cup [cl(int(\delta, \Delta)) \setminus (\vartheta, \Delta)] \in \check{I}$.

Hence, we obtain that $(\gamma, \Delta) \cup (\delta, \Delta)$ are $sSb^* \check{I}$ -closed.

Theorem 2.13: Let (D, \mathcal{U}, Δ) be a soft subspace of a \mathcal{STS} $(Z, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$, and (\mathfrak{R}, Δ) is a soft subset of (D, \mathcal{U}, Δ) and $(\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq (\mathfrak{R}, \Delta)$ and (γ, Δ) is a $sSb^* \check{I}$ -closed in $(Z, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$. Then, (γ, Δ) is a $sSb^* \check{I}_D$ -closed in (D, \mathcal{U}, Δ) .

Proof. Assume that $(\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq (\mathcal{L}, \Delta) \cap (\mathfrak{R}, \Delta)$ and $(\mathcal{L}, \Delta) \in \mathfrak{B}$. Then $(\mathcal{L}, \Delta) \cap (\mathfrak{R}, \Delta) \in \mathcal{U}$ and $(\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq (\mathcal{L}, \Delta)$. Since (γ, Δ) is a $sSb^* \check{I}$ -closed in $(Z, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$, then $cl(int(\gamma, \Delta)) \setminus (\mathcal{L}, \Delta) \in \check{I}$. Now,

$$[cl(int(\gamma, \Delta)) \cap (\mathfrak{R}, \Delta)] \setminus [(\mathcal{L}, \Delta) \cap (\mathfrak{R}, \Delta)] = [cl(int(\gamma, \Delta)) \setminus (\mathcal{L}, \Delta)] \cap (\mathfrak{R}, \Delta) \in \check{I}_D$$

Thus, (γ, Δ) is a $sSb^* \check{I}_D$ -closed in (D, \mathcal{U}, Δ) .

3. Soft Strongly b^* -open via soft ideal

In this section, we define sSb^* -open set via soft ideal in \mathcal{STS} s.

Definition 3.1: A soft set (γ, Δ) in \mathcal{STS} $(Z, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$, is called a soft strongly $b^* \check{I}$ -open set with respect to soft ideal \check{I} ($sSb^* \check{I}$ -open) if and only if its relative complement $(\gamma, \Delta)^c$ is $sSb^* \check{I}$ -closed in $(Z, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$.

Example 3.2: In Example 2.2. the soft sets $(\gamma_1, \Delta)^c, (\gamma_2, \Delta)^c$ and $(\gamma_3, \Delta)^c$ are $sSb^* \check{I}$ -open where $(\gamma_1, \Delta)^c, (\gamma_2, \Delta)^c$ and $(\gamma_3, \Delta)^c$ are given by

$$(\gamma_1, \Delta)^c = \{(\nabla_1, Z), (\nabla_2, \emptyset)\},$$

$$(\gamma_2, \Delta)^c = \{(\nabla_1, \emptyset), (\nabla_2, \{\mu\})\} \text{ and}$$

$$(\gamma_3, \Delta)^c = \{(\nabla_1, \{\varepsilon\}), (\nabla_2, \{\mu\})\}.$$

Theorem 3.3: A soft set (ϑ, Δ) is $sSb^* \check{I}$ -open in a \mathcal{STS} $(Z, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$ if and only if $(\gamma, \Delta) \setminus (\mathcal{L}, \Delta) \subseteq cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta))$ for some $(\mathcal{L}, \Delta) \in \check{I}$, whenever $(\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq (\vartheta, \Delta)$ and (γ, Δ) is soft closed in $(Z, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $(\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq (\vartheta, \Delta)$ and (γ, Δ) is soft closed. Then $(\vartheta, \Delta)^c \subseteq (\gamma, \Delta)^c$, $(\vartheta, \Delta)^c$ is a $sSb^* \check{I}$ -closed and $(\gamma, \Delta)^c \in \mathfrak{B}$. By assumption, $cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)^c) \setminus (\gamma, \Delta)^c \in \check{I}$. Then $cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)^c) \setminus (\gamma, \Delta)^c = (\eta, \Delta)$ for some $(\eta, \Delta) \in \check{I}$. Thus, $cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)^c) \setminus (\gamma, \Delta)^c = cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)^c) \cap (\gamma, \Delta) = (\eta, \Delta) \in \check{I}$. So,

$[cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)^c) \cap (\gamma, \Delta)] \cup (\gamma, \Delta)^c \stackrel{E}{=} (\eta, \Delta) \cup (\gamma, \Delta)^c$. This implies that, $cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)^c) \subseteq cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)^c) \cup (\gamma, \Delta)^c = (\mathcal{L}, \Delta) \cup (\gamma, \Delta)^c$. Hence, $cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)^c) \subseteq (\eta, \Delta) \cup (\gamma, \Delta)^c$ for some $(\eta, \Delta) \in \check{I}$. Furthermore, $(\eta, \Delta) \cup (\gamma, \Delta)^c \subseteq [cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)^c)]^c = cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta))$. Therefore, $(\gamma, \Delta) \setminus (\eta, \Delta) = (\gamma, \Delta) \cap (\eta, \Delta)^c \subseteq cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta))$.

(\Leftarrow) Let $(\gamma, \Delta)^c \subseteq (\delta, \Delta)$ such that (δ, Δ) is sSb^* -open. Then, $(\delta, \Delta)^c \subseteq (\vartheta, \Delta)$. By assumption, $(\delta, \Delta)^c \setminus (\Gamma, \Delta) \subseteq cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) = [cl(cl(\vartheta, \Delta)^c)]^c$ for some $(\Gamma, \Delta) \in \check{I}$. Thus, $cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)^c) = cl(cl(\vartheta, \Delta)^c) \subseteq [(\delta, \Delta)^c \setminus (\Gamma, \Delta)]^c = (\delta, \Delta) \cup (\Gamma, \Delta)$. So,

$$cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)^c) \setminus (\delta, \Delta) \subseteq [(\delta, \Delta) \cup (\Gamma, \Delta)] \cap (\delta, \Delta)^c = (\Gamma, \Delta) \cap (\delta, \Delta)^c \subseteq (\Gamma, \Delta) \in \check{I}$$

This shows that, $cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)^c) \setminus (\delta, \Delta) \in \check{I}$. Therefore, $(\vartheta, \Delta)^c$ is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed and hence (ϑ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -open.

Theorem 3.4:

- (1) Every open soft set is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -open.
- (2) Every soft $\check{I}g$ -open set is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -open.

Proof. Immediate from Theorem 2.3.

The converse of the above theorem is not true in general as shall show in the following examples.

Example 3.5: In Example 2.2, the soft set (ψ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -open but not open soft set, where $(\psi, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \emptyset), (\nabla_2, \{\mu\})\}$.

Example 3.6: In Example 2.5, the soft set (η, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -open but not soft $\check{I}g$ -open, where $(\eta, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \mathcal{Z}), (\nabla_2, \{\varepsilon\})\}$.

The soft intersection (resp. union) of two $sSb^*\check{I}$ -open sets need not be a $sSb^*\check{I}$ -open as shown by the following example.

Example 3.7: In Example 2.2, the soft sets $(\gamma_1, \Delta)^c, (\gamma_2, \Delta)^c, (\gamma_3, \Delta)^c$ are $sSb^*\check{I}$ -open. But $(\mathcal{L}, \Delta) = (\gamma_1, \Delta)^c \cup (\gamma_2, \Delta)^c$ is not $sSb^*\check{I}$ -open, where $(\mathcal{L}, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \mathcal{Z}), (\nabla_2, \{\mu\})\}$.

Theorem 3.8: If (γ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -open in a $\mathcal{STS} (\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$ and $cl(int(\gamma, \Delta)) \subseteq (\delta, \Delta) \subseteq (\gamma, \Delta)$, then (δ, Δ) is a $sSb^*\check{I}$ -open.

Proof. Let $(\eta, \Delta) \subseteq (\delta, \Delta)$ and (η, Δ) is a $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed. Then, $(\eta, \Delta) \subseteq (\gamma, \Delta)$. Since (γ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -open, then $(\delta, \Delta) \setminus cl(int(\eta, \Delta)) \subseteq (\gamma, \Delta) \setminus cl(int(\eta, \Delta)) \in \check{I}$. It follows that, $(\delta, \Delta) \setminus cl(int(\eta, \Delta)) \in \check{I}$. Thus, (δ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -open.

Theorem 3.9: A soft set (ϑ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed in a $\mathcal{STS} (\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$ if and only if $cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \setminus (\vartheta, \Delta)$ is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -open.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let $(\gamma, \Delta) \subseteq cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta))$ and (γ, Δ) be a soft closed set. Then, $(\gamma, \Delta) \in \check{I}$ from Theorem 2.9 so there exists $(\sigma, \Delta) \in \check{I}$ such that $(\gamma, \Delta) \setminus (\sigma, \Delta) = \tilde{\emptyset}$. Thus, that $(\gamma, \Delta) \setminus (\sigma, \Delta) = \tilde{\emptyset} \subseteq int(cl[cl(\vartheta, \Delta) \setminus (\vartheta, \Delta)])$. Hence, $cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \setminus (\vartheta, \Delta)$ is a $sSb^* \check{I}$ -open from Theorem 3.3.

(\Leftarrow) Let $(\vartheta, \Delta) \subseteq (\delta, \Delta)$ such that (δ, Δ) is $sSb^* \check{I}$ -open. Then, $cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \cap (\delta, \Delta)^c \subseteq cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \cap (\vartheta, \Delta)^c = cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \setminus (\vartheta, \Delta)$. By hypothesis, $[cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \cap (\delta, \Delta)^c] \setminus (\sigma, \Delta) \subseteq int(cl[cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \setminus (\vartheta, \Delta)]) = \tilde{\emptyset}$, for some $(\sigma, \Delta) \in \check{I}$ from Theorem 3.3. So, $cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \cap (\delta, \Delta)^c \subseteq (\sigma, \Delta) \in \check{I}$. Thus, $cl(int(\vartheta, \Delta)) \setminus (\delta, \Delta) \in \check{I}$. So, (ϑ, Δ) is a $sSb^* \check{I}$ -closed.

4. $sSb^* \check{I}$ -continuous via soft ideal

In this section, we introduce a $sSb^* \check{I}$ -continuous function with respect to a soft ideal in STSs.

Definition 4.1: Let $\Omega: (\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{U}, \Theta)$ be a soft mapping. If $\Omega^{-1}((\delta, \Delta))$ is $sSb^* \check{I}$ -open in $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$ for each soft open set (δ, Δ) of $(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{U}, \Theta)$, then Ω is called soft strongly $b^* \check{I}$ -continuous function.

Corollary 4.2: Let $\Omega: (\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{U}, \Theta)$ be a soft function. Then:

- 1- Every soft continuous function is $sSb^* \check{I}$ -continuous functions.
- 2- Every soft $\check{I}g$ -continuous is $sSb^* \check{I}$ -continuous function.

Proof. Immediate from Theorem 3.4.

The converse of the above theorem is not true in general as shall show in the following example.

Example 4.3: Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{\varepsilon, \mu\}$ and $\Delta = \{\nabla_1, \nabla_2\}$. Let $(\gamma_1, \Delta), (\gamma_2, \Delta)$ be two soft sets where $(\gamma_1, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \{\varepsilon\})\}$, $(\gamma_2, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \{\varepsilon\}), (\nabla_2, \{\mu\})\}$.

$\mathfrak{B} = \{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \tilde{\emptyset}, (\gamma_1, \Delta), (\gamma_2, \Delta)\}$ is the soft topology over \mathcal{Z} .

Let $\check{I} = \{\tilde{\emptyset}\}$ be a soft ideal on \mathcal{Z} .

Let $\mathcal{D} = \{\sigma, \rho\}$ and $\Theta = \{q_1, q_2\}$,

$\mathcal{U} = \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \tilde{\emptyset}, (\delta, \Theta)\}$ is soft topology on \mathcal{D} . Where $(\delta, \Theta) = \{(q_1, \{\sigma\}), (q_2, \{\sigma\})\}$. Then let

$\Omega: (\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{U}, \Theta)$ be a soft function and $u: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $p: \Delta \rightarrow \Theta$ denoted by $u(\varepsilon) = \sigma$, $u(\mu) = \rho$, $p(\nabla_1) = q_1$, $p(\nabla_2) = q_2$.

Let take $(\vartheta, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \{\varepsilon\}), (\nabla_2, \{\varepsilon\})\}$. Then Ω is $sSb^* \check{I}$ -continuous but not soft continuous. Since If $\Omega^{-1}((\delta, \Theta)) = (\vartheta, \Delta)$ is $sSb^* \check{I}$ -open set but not soft open set.

Example 4.4: Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{\varepsilon, \mu, \omega\}$ and $\Delta = \{\nabla_1, \nabla_2\}$. Let $(\gamma_1, \Delta), (\gamma_2, \Delta)$ and (γ_3, Δ) be soft sets where:

$(\gamma_1, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \{\varepsilon\}), (\nabla_2, \{\varepsilon\})\}$,

$(\gamma_2, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \{\mu\}), (\nabla_2, \emptyset)\}$ and

$(\gamma_3, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \{\varepsilon, \omega\}), (\nabla_2, \{\varepsilon\})\}$ and $\mathfrak{B} = \{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \tilde{\emptyset}, (\gamma_1, \Delta), (\gamma_2, \Delta), (\gamma_3, \Delta)\}$ is the soft topology over \mathcal{Z} .

Let $\check{\mathbb{I}} = \{\tilde{\emptyset}\}$ be a soft ideal on \mathcal{Z} .

Let $\mathcal{D} = \{\sigma, \rho, \pi\}$ and $\Theta = \{q_1, q_2\}$,

$\mathfrak{U} = \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \tilde{\emptyset}, (\delta, \Theta)\}$ is soft topology on \mathcal{D} . Where $(\delta, \Theta) = \{(q_1, \{\sigma\}), (q_1, \emptyset)\}$. Then let $\Omega: (\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{U}, \Theta)$ be a soft function and $u: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $p: \Delta \rightarrow \Theta$ denoted by $u(\varepsilon) = \sigma, u(\mu) = \rho, u(\omega) = \pi, p(\nabla_1) = q_1, p(\nabla_2) = q_2$.

Let take $(\vartheta, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \{\varepsilon\}), (\nabla_2, \emptyset)\}$. Then Ω is $sSb^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -continuous but not soft $\check{\mathbb{I}g}$ -continuous. Since If $\Omega^{-1}((\delta, \Theta)) = (\vartheta, \Delta)$ is $sSb^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -closed set but not soft $\check{\mathbb{I}g}$ -closed set.

Definition 4.5: Let $\Omega: (\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{U}, \Theta)$ be a soft mapping. If $\Omega^{-1}((\delta, \Delta))$ is $sSb^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -closed in $(\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta)$ for each sSb^* -closed set (δ, Δ) of $(\mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{U}, \Theta)$, then Ω is said to be soft strongly $b^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -irresolute function.

Theorem 4.6: A map $\Omega: (\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{U}, \Theta)$ is sSb^* -irresolute if and only if the inverse image of every soft strongly $b^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -open set in \mathcal{D} is soft strongly b^* -open in \mathcal{Z} .

Proof. Clearly.

Theorem 4.8: Every $sSb^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -irresolute mapping is $sSb^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -continuous functions.

Proof. Let $\Omega: (\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{U}, \Theta)$ be a $sSb^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -irresolute mapping. Let (δ, Δ) be a soft closed set in \mathcal{D} . Then (δ, Δ) is $sSb^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -closed set in \mathcal{D} . Since Ω is $sSb^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -irresolute mapping, $\Omega^{-1}((\delta, \Delta))$ is $sSb^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -closed set in \mathcal{Z} . Hence, Ω is $sSb^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -ccontinuous function.

Definition 4.9: A soft mapping $\Omega: (\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{U}, \Theta)$ is said to be soft strongly $b^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -open (soft strongly $b^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -closed) map if the image of every soft open (soft closed) set in \mathcal{Z} is $sSb^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -open ($sSb^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -closed) set in \mathcal{D} .

Remark 4.10: (1) Every soft open map is $sSb^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -open.

(2) Every $sSb^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -open map is sSb^* -open.

In the following examples as observed the converses are not true.

Example 4.11: Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{\varepsilon, \mu\}$ and $\Delta = \{\nabla_1, \nabla_2\}$. $\mathfrak{B} = \{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \tilde{\emptyset}, (\gamma, \Delta)\}$ is the soft topology over \mathcal{Z} where $(\gamma, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \{\varepsilon\})\}$. Let $\check{\mathbb{J}} = \{\tilde{\emptyset}\}$ be a soft ideal on \mathcal{Z} .

Also, let $\mathcal{D} = \{\sigma, \rho\}$ and $\Theta = \{q_1, q_2\}$,

$\mathfrak{U} = \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \tilde{\emptyset}, (\delta_1, \Theta), (\delta_2, \Theta)\}$ is soft topology on \mathcal{D} . Where $(\delta_1, \Theta) = \{(q_1, \{\sigma\}), (q_2, \{\rho\})\}$ and $(\delta_2, \Theta) = \{(q_1, \{\rho\}), (q_2, \{\sigma\})\}$. Then the soft function $\Omega: (\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{U}, \Theta)$ where $u: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $p: \Delta \rightarrow \Theta$ denoted by

$u(\varepsilon) = \sigma, u(\mu) = \rho, u(\omega) = \pi, p(\nabla_1) = q_1, p(\nabla_2) = q_2$ is $sSb^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -open but not soft open. Since for each soft open (γ, Δ) in \mathcal{Z} , $\Omega((\gamma, \Delta)) = (\vartheta, \Theta) = \{(q_1, \{\sigma\})\}$ is $sSb^*\check{\mathbb{I}}$ -open set but not soft open set.

Example 4.12: Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{\varepsilon, \mu\}$ and $\Delta = \{\nabla_1, \nabla_2\}$. $\mathfrak{B} = \{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}, \tilde{\emptyset}, (\gamma, \Delta)\}$ is the soft topology over \mathcal{Z} where $(\gamma, \Delta) = \{(\nabla_1, \mathcal{Z}), (\nabla_1, \{\varepsilon\})\}$. Also, let $\mathcal{D} = \{\sigma, \rho\}$ and $\Theta = \{\varrho_1, \varrho_2\}$, $\mathfrak{U} = \{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \tilde{\emptyset}, (\delta_1, \Theta), (\delta_2, \Theta)\}$ is soft topology on \mathcal{D} , where $(\delta_1, \Theta) = \{(\varrho_1, \{\sigma\})\}$ and $(\delta_2, \Theta) = \{(\varrho_1, \{\sigma\}), (\varrho_2, \{\rho\})\}$. Let $\check{J} = P(\mathcal{D})$ be a soft ideal on \mathcal{D} . Then the soft function $\Omega: (\mathcal{Z}, \mathfrak{B}, \Delta) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \mathfrak{U}, \Theta)$ where $u: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and $p: \Delta \rightarrow \Theta$ denoted by $u(\varepsilon) = \sigma, u(\mu) = \rho, u(\omega) = \pi, p(\nabla_1) = \varrho_1, p(\nabla_2) = \varrho_2$ is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -open but not sSb^* -open. Since for each soft open (γ, Δ) in \mathcal{Z} , $\Omega((\gamma, \Delta)) = (\vartheta, \Theta) = \{(\varrho_1, \mathcal{D}), (\varrho_1, \{\sigma\})\}$ is $sSb^*\check{I}$ -open set but not sSb^* -open set.

5. Conclusions

In this work, we study the $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed sets and $sSb^*\check{I}$ -open sets and some of their properties and investigated. Also, we define the $sSb^*\check{I}$ -continuous and $sSb^*\check{I}$ -irresolute. In future, more general types of $sSb^*\check{I}$ -closed sets may be defined and using of them characterizations related with soft separation axioms and soft continuity may be studied.

References

- [1] D. Molodtsov, "Soft set theory-first results," Computers and Mathematics with Applications, vol. 37, no. 4-5, pp. 19-31, 1999.
- [2] I. Arockiarani and A. Arokialancy, "Generalized soft $g\beta$ -closed sets and soft $gs\beta$ -closed sets in \mathcal{STS} ," International Journal of Mathematical Archive, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 1-7, 2013.
- [3] M. Akdag and A. Ozkan, "Soft α -open sets and soft α -continuous functions," Abstr. Anal. Appl., pp. 1-7, 2014.
- [4] M. Akdag, A. Ozkan, "Soft b-open sets and soft b-continuous functions," Math Sci, vol. 8, no. 127, pp. 1-9, 2014.
- [5] Hameed, S. Z. and A. K. Hussein, "On Soft b_c - open Sets in \mathcal{STS} ," Iraqi Journal of Science, pp. 238-242, 2020.
- [6] Hameed, S. Z., F. A. Ibrahim and and Essam A. El-Seidy, "On soft b^* -closed sets in \mathcal{STS} ," International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1235-1242, 2021.
- [7] Hameed, S. Z., A. E. Radwan and Essam A. El-Seidy, "On soft b^* - continuous functions in \mathcal{STS} ," Measurement: Sensors, vol. 27, pp. 1-5, 2023.
- [8] Hameed, Saif Z., A. E. Radwan and Essam A. El-Seidy, "On sSb^* -closed sets and sSb^* - continuous functions in \mathcal{STS} ," Under the Publication, 2023.
- [9] A. Kandil, O. A. E. Tantawy, S. A. El-Sheikh and A. M. Abd El-latif, "Soft ideal theory, Soft local function and generated \mathcal{STS} ," Appl. Math. Inf. Sci., vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 1595-1603, 2014.
- [10] H. I. Mustafa and F. M. Sleim, "Soft generalized closed sets with respect to an ideal in \mathcal{STS} ," Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences, vol. 8, no. 2, p. 665-671, 2014.
- [11] K. Kannan, "Soft generalized closed sets in \mathcal{STS} ," Journal of Theoretical and Appl. Inform. Technology, vol. 37, no. 1, p. 17 - 21, 2012.
- [12] Maji, P. K., R. Biswas and A. R. Roy, "Soft set theory," Comput. Math. Appl., vol. 45, p. 555-562, 2003.
- [13] Shabir, M., Naz and M., "On \mathcal{STS} ," Comput. Math. Appl., vol. 61, p. 1786-1799, 2011.
- [14] I. Zorlutuna, M. Akdag, W. K. Min and S. Atmaca, "Remarks on \mathcal{STS} ," Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 171-185, 2012.