

On a Certain Subclass of Analytic Functions Defined by Bessel Functions

Katterapalle Sridevi¹, Pasunoori Srinivasulu²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Dr.B.R.Ambedkar Open University,
 Hyderabad - 500 033, T.S, India.
 e-mail : sridevidrk18@gmail.com

² Department of Mathematics, Dr.B.R.Ambedkar Open University,
 Hyderabad - 500 033, T.S, India.
 e-mail : srinivasrgukt1203@gmail.com

Article History:

Received: 22-01-2024

Revised: 08-04-2024

Accepted: 29-04-2024

Abstract: In this work, we introduce and investigate a new subclass of analytic functions in the open unit disc U with negative coefficients. The object of the present paper is to determine the coefficient estimates, extreme points, integral means inequalities and subordination results for this class.

Keywords: analytic function, uniformly starlike function, coefficient estimate, subordination.

1.Introduction:

Let A be the class of functions f normalized by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (1.1)$$

and T denote the class of functions in the form of

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, (a_n \geq 0) \quad (1.2),$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z: z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. This subclass was given in . Let $T^*(\alpha)$ and $C(\alpha)$ be indicate starlike and convex functions of order α , ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), respectively. The classes $UCV(\alpha, \sigma)$ consists of uniform σ –convex functions of order α and $SP(\alpha, \sigma)$ consists parabolic σ – starlike functions of order α , $-1 < \alpha \leq 1, \sigma \geq 0$, generalizes the class UCV and SP respectively, were given in such that

$$UCV(\alpha, \sigma) = \left\{ f \in A: Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \sigma \left\{ \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\}, z \in U \right\} \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$SP(\alpha, \sigma) = \left\{ f \in A: Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \sigma \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right\}, z \in U \right\}. \quad (1.4)$$

It is obvious from (1.3) and (1.4) that $f \in UCV(\alpha, \sigma)$ if and only if $zf'(z) \in SP(\alpha, \sigma)$. Some interesting situations of the class of starlike and convex of order α associated with Bessel functions (as hypergeometric function), finding condition on the triple p, b and c such that the function $u_{p,b,c}$ is starlike and convex of order α and finding conditions on the parameters for which the Gaussian hypergeometric functions belong to the various classes of functions have discussed in the references [1,2,4,9,10] . Let us take into consideration second order linear homogenous differential equation (see [3]).

$$z^2\omega''(z) + bz\omega'(z) + [cz^2 - p^2 + (1 - b)p]\omega(z) = 0, \quad (p, b, c \in \mathcal{C}). \quad (1.5)$$

As a particular solution of (1.5) generalized Bessel function of the first kind of order p , is defined in as following:

$$\omega(z) = \omega_{p,b,c}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n c^n}{n! \Gamma\left(p + n + \frac{b+1}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+p}, \quad z \in \mathcal{C}, \quad (1.6)$$

where Γ stands for the Euler gamma function and $\tau = p + \frac{b+1}{2} \notin Z_0 = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$.

Though the series given in (1.6) is convergent everywhere, the function $\omega_{p,b,c}$ is not univalent in U . Specially, choosing $b = c = 1$ in (1.6), we get Bessel function of the first kind of order p given in as

$$J_p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n! \Gamma(p + n + 1)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+p}, \quad z \in \mathcal{C}. \quad (1.7)$$

Choosing $b = 1$ and $c = -1$ in (1.6), we get the modified Bessel function of the first kind order of p given in as

$$I_p(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n! \Gamma(p + n + 1)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+p}, \quad z \in \mathcal{C}. \quad (1.8)$$

Further choosing $b = 2$ and $c = 1$ in (1.6), the functions $\omega_{p,b,c}$ reduces to $\sqrt{2} \frac{j_p}{\sqrt{\pi}}$, where j_p is the spherical Bessel function of the first kind of order p , given in as

$$j_p(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n! \Gamma\left(p + n + \frac{3}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+p}, \quad z \in \mathcal{C}. \quad (1.9)$$

The function $\vartheta_{p,b,c}$ is defined in as

$$\vartheta_{p,b,c}(z) = 2^p \Gamma\left(p + \frac{b+1}{2}\right) z^{1-\frac{p}{2}} \omega_{p,b,c}(\sqrt{z}) \quad (1.10)$$

in terms of generalized Bessel function $\omega_{p,b,c}$. By the help of Pochhammer symbol, Gamma function is defined as

and we get $\vartheta_{p,b,c}$ given in (1.10) as

$$\vartheta_{p,b,c}(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-c)^n}{4^n (\tau)_n n!}, \quad (1.11)$$

where $\tau = p + \frac{b+1}{2} \notin Z_0$ and $N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. We will write $\vartheta_{\tau,c}(z) = \vartheta_{p,b,c}(z)$ for convenience. Now, we consider S_{τ}^c operator given as

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{\tau}^c f(z) &= \vartheta_{\tau,c}(z) * f(z) = z + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-c)^n a_{n+1}}{4^n (\tau)_n n!} z^{n+1} \\
 &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-c)^{n-1} a_n}{4^{n-1} (\tau)_{n-1} (n-1)!} z^n = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} E(c, \tau, n) a_n z^n
 \end{aligned}$$

where $E(c, \tau, n) = \frac{(-c)^{n-1}}{4^{n-1} (\tau)_{n-1} (n-1)!}$, $\tau = \left(p + \frac{b+1}{2}\right) \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$. (1.12)

For $\alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$, we set $S_{\tau}^c(\alpha, \beta)$ be the subclass of A consisting of functions of the form (1.1) and satisfy

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{S_{\tau}^c f(z)}{z} \right) \geq \alpha \left| (S_{\tau}^c f(z))' - \frac{S_{\tau}^c f(z)}{z} \right| + \beta \tag{1.13}$$

where $S_{\tau}^c f(z)$ is given by (1.12).

We further let $TS_{\tau}^c(\alpha, \beta) = S_{\tau}^c(\alpha, \beta) \cap T$.

In this paper, we obtain coefficient inequalities, extreme points, integral means inequalities for the functions in the class $TS_{\tau}^c(\alpha, \beta)$ and also subordination results for the class of function $f \in S_{\tau}^c(\alpha, \beta)$.

2. Coefficient Estimates

Theorem 2.1. *The function f defined by (1.1) is in the class $S_{\tau}^c(\alpha, \beta)$ if*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + \alpha(n-1)] E(c, \tau, n) |a_n| \leq 1 - \beta, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $E(c, \tau, n)$ is given by (1.12).

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\alpha \left| (S_{\tau}^c f(z))' - \frac{S_{\tau}^c f(z)}{z} \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{S_{\tau}^c f(z)}{z} - 1 \right\} \leq 1 - \beta. \\
 &\text{We have} \\
 &\alpha \left| (S_{\tau}^c f(z))' - \frac{S_{\tau}^c f(z)}{z} \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{S_{\tau}^c f(z)}{z} - 1 \right\} \\
 &\leq \alpha \left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) E(c, \tau, n) a_n z^n}{z} \right| + \left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} E(c, \tau, n) a_n z^n}{z} \right| \\
 &\leq \alpha \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) E(c, \tau, n) |a_n| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} E(c, \tau, n) |a_n| \\
 &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + \alpha(n-1)] E(c, \tau, n) |a_n|.
 \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is bounded above by $(1 - \beta)$ if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + \alpha(n-1)] E(c, \tau, n) |a_n| \leq 1 - \beta$$

and the proof of theorem is completed.

In the following theorem, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for functions in $TS_\tau^c(\alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 2.2. For $\alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$, a function f of the form (1.2) to be in the class $TS_\tau^c(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + \alpha(n - 1)] E(c, \tau, n) |a_n| \leq 1 - \beta.$$

Proof. Suppose $f(z)$ of the form (1.2) is in the class $TS_\tau^c(\alpha, \beta)$. Then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{S_\tau^c f(z)}{z} \right\} - \alpha \left| (S_\tau^c f(z))' - \frac{S_\tau^c f(z)}{z} \right| \geq \beta.$$

Equivalently

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} E(c, \tau, n) |a_n| z^{n-1} \right] - \alpha \left[\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - 1) E(c, \tau, n) a_n z^{n-1} \right] \geq \beta.$$

Letting z to be real values and as $|z| \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} E(c, \tau, n) |a_n| - \alpha \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n - 1) E(c, \tau, n) |a_n| \geq \beta$$

which implies

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [1 + \alpha(n - 1)] E(c, \tau, n) |a_n| \leq 1 - \beta,$$

where $\alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1, E(c, \tau, n)$ is given by (1.12) and the sufficiency follows from Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.3. If $f \in TS_\tau^c(\alpha, \beta)$ then

$$a_n \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{[1 + \alpha(n - 1)] E(c, \tau, n)}.$$

Equality holds for the function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{[1 + \alpha(n - 1)] E(c, \tau, n)} z^n,$$

$\alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1, E(c, \tau, n)$ is given by (1.12).

3. Extreme Points

Theorem 3.1. Let $f_1(z) = z$ and $f_n(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{[1 + \alpha(n - 1)] E(c, \tau, n)} z^n, n \geq 2$ for $\alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1, E(c, \tau, n)$ is given by (1.12) Then $f(z)$ is in the class $E(c, \tau, n)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z), \text{ where } \lambda_n \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1.$$

Proof. If $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z)$ with $\lambda_n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z) \\ &= \lambda_1 f_1(z) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z) \\ &= \left(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n\right) z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\lambda_n \left(z - \frac{1-\beta}{[1+\alpha(n-1)]E(c, \tau, n)} z^n\right)\right] \\ &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1-\beta}{[1+\alpha(n-1)]E(c, \tau, n)} z^n. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[1+\alpha(n-1)]E(c, \tau, n)}{1-\beta} \frac{1-\beta}{[1+\alpha(n-1)]E(c, \tau, n)} \lambda_n \\ = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1 - \lambda_1 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then $f \in TS_{\tau}^c(\alpha, \beta)$. Conversely suppose that $f \in TS_{\tau}^c(\alpha, \beta)$. Then Corollary 2.3 gives

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &\leq \frac{1-\beta}{[1+\alpha(n-1)]E(c, \tau, n)}, \quad n \geq 2 \\ \text{set } \lambda_n &= \frac{[1+\alpha(n-1)]E(c, \tau, n)}{1-\beta} a_n, \quad n \geq 2 \\ \text{where } \lambda_n &= 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \\ &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n \frac{1-\beta}{[1+\alpha(n-1)]E(c, \tau, n)} \\ &= z - \left[1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n\right] + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z) \\ &= \lambda_1 f_1(z) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z). \end{aligned}$$

The poof of theorem is completed .

4. Integral Means Inequalities

Definition 4.1. (Subordination principle) for analytic function g and h with $g(0) = h(0)$, g is said to be subordinate to h , denoted by $g < h$ if there exists an analytic function ω such that $\omega(0) = 0, |\omega(z)| < 1$ and $g(z) = h(\omega(z))$, for all $z \in U$.

Lemma 4.2. [6] If the function $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are analytic in U with $g(z) < h(z)$ then

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \quad (0 \leq r < 1, p > 0).$$

Theorem 4.3. Suppose $f \in TS_\tau^c(\alpha, \beta), p > 0, \alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $f(z)$ is defined by

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{1-\beta}{(1+\alpha)E(c, \tau, n)}.$$

Then for $z = re^{i\theta}, 0 \leq r < 1,$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f(z)|^p d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} |f_2(z)|^p d\theta \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. For $f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n$, (4.1) is equivalent to proving that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n \right|^p d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| z - \frac{1-\beta}{1+\alpha)E(c, \tau, n)} \right|^p d\theta, \quad (p > 0).$$

By applying Little wood's subordination theorem (Lemma 4.2), it would be sufficient to show that

$$1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^{n-1} < 1 - \frac{1-\beta}{1+\alpha)E(c, \tau, n)} z. \quad (4.2)$$

Setting

$$1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^{n-1} < 1 - \frac{1-\beta}{1+\alpha)E(c, \tau, n)} \omega(z).$$

We have $\omega(z) = \frac{1+\alpha)E(c, \tau, n)}{1-\beta} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}$ and $\omega(z)$ is analytic in U with $\omega(0) = 0$.

Moreover it suffices to prove that $\omega(z)$ satisfies $|\omega(z)| < 1, z \in U$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega(z)| &= \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\alpha)E(c, \tau, n)}{1-\beta} a_n z^{n-1} \right| \\ &\leq |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\alpha)E(c, \tau, n)}{1-\beta} |a_n| \\ &\leq |z| < 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Thus is view of the inequality (4.3) the subordination (4.2) follows, which proves the Theorem.

5. Subordination Results

Definition 5.1. (Subordination factor sequence) A sequence $\{ b_n \}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ of complex numbers is said to be a

subordinating sequence if, whenever $f(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, $a_1 = 1$ is regular, univalent and convex in U , we

have $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n a_n z^n < f(z)$, $z \in U$.

Theorem 5.2. [11] The sequence $\{b_n\}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ is a subordinating factor sequence if and only if

$$Re\{1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n\} > 0, z \in U.$$

Theorem 5.3. Let $f \in S_{\tau}^c(\alpha, \beta)$ and $g(z)$ any function in the usual class of convex function C . Then

$$\frac{(1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)}{2(1 - \beta) + (1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)} (f * g)(z) < g(z) \tag{5.1}$$

where $\alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$ with $E(c, \tau, n)$ is given by (1.12)

$$Re\{f(z)\} > -\frac{(1-\beta)+(1+\alpha)E(c,\tau,n)}{(1+\alpha)E(c,\tau,n)}, z \in E. \tag{5.2}$$

The constant $\frac{(1+\alpha)E(c,\tau,n)}{2(1-\beta)+(1+\alpha)E(c,\tau,n)}$ is the best estimate.

Proof. Let $f \in S_{\tau}^c(\alpha, \beta)$ and $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n \in C$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)}{2(1 - \beta) + (1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)} (f * g)(z) \\ &= \frac{(1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)}{2(1 - \beta) + (1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)} \left(z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n a_n z^n \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Definition 5.1, the subordination result holds true if $\left\{ \frac{(1+\alpha)E(c,\tau,n)}{2(1-\beta)+(1+\alpha)E(c,\tau,n)} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a subordinating factor sequence with $a_1 = 1$.

In view of Theorem 5.2, this is equivalent to the following inequality.

$$Re \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)}{(1 - \beta) + (1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)} a_n z^n \right\} > 0, z \in U. \tag{5.3}$$

Now for $|z| = r < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Re \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)}{2(1 - \beta) + (1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)} a_n z^n \right\} \\ &= Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{(1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)}{(1 - \beta) + (1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)} z + \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 + \alpha) E(c, \tau, n) a_n z^n}{(1 - \beta) + (1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)} \right\} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{(1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)}{(1 - \beta) + (1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)} r - \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 + \alpha) E(c, \tau, n) a_n r^n}{(1 - \beta) + (1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{(1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)}{(1 - \beta) + (1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)} r - \frac{1 - \beta}{(1 - \beta) + (1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)} r \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.1) and the fact that $1 + \alpha(n - 1)E(c, \tau, n)$ is increasing function for $n \geq 2$.

This proves the inequality (5.3) and hence also the subordination result (5.1) asserted by Theorem 5.3.

The inequality (5.2) follows from (5.1) by taking

$$g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^n \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Now we consider the function $f(z) = z - \frac{1-\beta}{(1+\alpha)E(c,\tau,n)}z^2$, where $\alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$.

Clearly $F \in S_{\tau}^c(\alpha, \beta)$. For the function (5.1) becomes

$$\frac{(1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)}{2(1 - \beta) + (1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)} F(z) < \frac{z}{1 - z}.$$

It is easily verified that

$$\min \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)}{2(1 - \beta) + (1 + \alpha)E(c, \tau, n)} F(z) \right\} = \frac{-1}{2}, z \in U.$$

This shows that the constant $\frac{(1+\alpha)E(c,\tau,n)}{2(1-\beta)+(1+\alpha)E(c,\tau,n)} F(z) < \frac{z}{1-z}$ is best possible.

References

- [1] A. Baricz, Geometric properties of generalized Bessel function, *Publ. Math. Debrecan*, 73 (2008), 155- 178.
- [2] A. Baricz, Generalized Bessel functions of the first kind, Ph.D thesis, Babes-Bolyai University, ClujNapoca, 2008.
- [3] A. Baricz, Generalized Bessel functions of the first kind, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 1994, Springer, Berlin, 2010 .
- [4] A. Baricz and B. A. Frasin, Univalence of integral operators involving Bessel functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 23 (2010), 371-376.
- [5] E. Deniz, H. Orhan and H. M. Srivastava, Some sufficient conditions for univalence of certain families of integral operators involving generalized Bessel functions, *Taiwan. J. Math.*, 15(2) (2011), 883-917.
- [6] J.E. Littlewood, On inequalities in theory of functions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 23 (1925), 481-519 .
- [7] F., Rønning, Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 118 (1993), 189-196. ‘
- [8] H. Silverman, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 51 (1975) 109– 116.
- [9] P. Thirupathi Reddy and B. Venkateswarlu, On a certain subclass of uniformly convex functions defined by Bessel functions, *Transylvanian J. of Math. and Mech.*, 10(1) (2018), 43-49.
- [10] P. Thirupathi Reddy and B. Venkateswarlu, A certain subclass of uni- formly convex fucntions defined by Bessel functions, *Proyecciones J. of Math.*, 38 (4) (2019) 719- 731.
- [11] H. S. Wilf, Subordinating factor sequence for convex maps of the unit circle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12 (1961), 689-693.
- [12] J. A. Baker, J. Lawrence, and F. Zorzitto, The stability of the equation $f(x + y) = f(x)f(y)$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 74(1979), 242-246.
- [13] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 27(1941), 222- 224.
- [14] L. Szekelyhidi, On a theorem of Baker, Lawrence and Zorzitto, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 84(1982), 95-96.
- [15] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Science Editions, Wiley, New York, 1960.