ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 32 No. 9s (2025)

Results on Fixed Points in Vector Valued G-Metric Spaces

Pooja Yadav¹, Mamta Kamra²

[1, 2] "Department of Mathematics, Indira Gandhi University

Meerpur(Rewari), Haryana-122502, India."

Article History:

Received: 12-11-2024

Revised:24-12-2024

Accepted:09-01-2025

Abstract:

Introduction: Fixed point theory furnishes a robust framework in mathematics for examining the function and system behavior by centering on fixed points. Kirk et al. brought forth the concept of cyclic transformation in 2003. Cyclic contraction broadens the standard contraction transformations in metric space. By relaxing the requirement of the triangle inequality, *G*-metric space extends the scope of metric spaces. Vector *G*-metric space is GMS in which the metric is lattice valued.

Objectives: We give the concept of a vector G metric space and define some related concepts. We establish some fixed point results on vector G-metric space by using cyclic contraction. To underscore the practical implications and importance of our findings, we offer a range of examples and corollaries.

Methods: This research paper outlines fixed point theorems for self-transformations in vector G-metric space, with the help of cyclic contraction.

Conclusions: Within this manuscript, we illustrate fixed point theorems for self-transformations in V-complete vector *G*-metric space by utilizing cyclic contraction. These outcomes are expected to inspire researchers to explore problem-solving opportunities in diverse areas such as differential equations and functional analysis.

Keywords: Cyclic contraction, Vector *G*-metric space, Vector lattice.

Subject Classification: (2010) 47H10, 47H07

1. Introduction.

Fixed point theory furnishes a robust framework in mathematics for examining the function and system behavior by centering on fixed points. Kirk et al. **Error! Reference source not found.** brought forth the concept of cyclic transformation in 2003. Cyclic contraction(CC) broadens the standard contraction transformations in metric space. It is utilized for exploring transformations and fixed points. The exploration of fixed point theorems(FPT) for cyclic transformations have been widely studied (defined by **Error! Reference source not found.**, **Error! Reference source not found.**). By relaxing the requirement of the triangle inequality, *G*-metric space(GMS) extends the scope of metric

¹ poojayadav.math.rs@igu.ac.in

¹ mkhaneja15@gmail.com

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 32 No. 9s (2025)

spaces. Vector *G*-metric space(VGMS) is GMS in which the metric is lattice valued. This research paper outlines FPT for self-transformations in VGMS, with the help of CC.

We lay out a series of definitions and examples that will be applicable in the forthcoming portion. For an additional comprehensive analysis of the results regarding the vector lattice and GMS. we refer (Error! Reference source may to not found., Error! Error! Reference source not found., Reference source not found., Error! Reference not found., source Error! Reference source not found. Error! Reference not found.. source **Error!** Reference source not found.. Error! Reference not found.. source Error! Reference source not found., Error! Reference source not found.).

Definition 1.1[5] Let \Re be a non-null set and consider $\{\chi_{\hbar}\}_{\mu=1}^{m}$ as a collection of non-void subsets of \Re with $\mu = \bigcup_{\hbar=1}^{m} \chi_{j}$. A transformation $C: \mu \to \mu$ is termed a cyclic transformation if

$$C(\chi_{\hbar}) \subseteq \chi_{\hbar+1}$$
 $\hbar = 1, 2, ..., m$, where $\chi_{m+1} = \chi_1$.

Definition 1.2Error! Reference source not found. let \Re be a non-null set and V be a vector lattice, consider a function $G: \Re \times \Re \times \Re \to V$ that obeys the properties mentioned below:

(i)
$$G(b_1, b_2, b_3) = 0$$
 if $b_1 = b_2 = b_3$,

(ii)
$$0 < G(b_1, b_1, b_2)$$
 $\forall b_1, b_2 \in \Re \text{ with } b_1 \neq b_2$,

(iii)
$$G(b_1, b_1, b_2) \leq G(b_1, b_2, b_3)$$
 $\forall b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \Re \text{ with } b_3 \neq b_2$

(iv)
$$G(b_1, b_2, b_3) = G(b_1, b_3, b_2) = G(b_2, b_3, b_1) = \cdots$$
 (tri-variable symmetry)

$$(v)G(b_1,b_2,b_3) \leq G(b_1,\sigma,\sigma) + G(\sigma,b_2,b_3) \qquad \forall b_1,b_2,b_3,\sigma \in \Re.$$

The triplet (\Re, G, V) is defined as vector G-metric space(VGMS).

Definition 1.3Error! Reference source not found. A VGMS (\mathfrak{R}, G, V) is called symmetric VGMS if $G(\zeta, b, b) = G(b, \zeta, \zeta) \quad \forall \zeta, b \in \mathfrak{R}$.

Example 1.4 Let \Re be a non-null set and take V as a vector lattice. Then the function $G: \Re \times \Re \times \Re \to V$ is determined by

$$G(\mu, \flat, \zeta) = \frac{1}{2^n} (|\mu - \flat|, |\flat - \zeta|, |\zeta - \mu|)$$

 $\forall \mu, b, \zeta \in \Re$ and $\forall n$. Then (\Re, G, V) is a VGMS on \Re .

Example 1.5 Let $\Re = [1, \infty)$, V be a vector lattice, G_1 and G_2 be two vector G-metrics on \Re . The transformation $G: \Re \times \Re \times \Re \to V$ is determined by

$$G(\mu, b, \sigma) = (G_1(\mu, b, \sigma) + G_2(\mu, b, \sigma))^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

 $\forall \mu, b, \sigma \in \Re$. Then (\Re, G, V) is a VGMS on \Re .

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 32 No. 9s (2025)

Definition 1.6Error! Reference source not found. In a VGMS (\Re, G, V) , $\langle \varsigma_n \rangle \in \Re$ is called V convergent to some $\varsigma \in V$ if $\exists \langle \mu_n \rangle \in V$ satisfying $\mu_n \downarrow 0$ and $G(\varsigma, \varsigma_n, \varsigma_m) \leq \mu_n \ \forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Definition 1.7Error! Reference source not found. In a VGMS (\Re, G, V) , $\langle \varsigma_n \rangle \in \Re$ is called *V*-Cauchy sequence(VCS) if $\exists \langle \mu_n \rangle \in V$ satisfying $\mu_n \downarrow 0$ and $G(\varsigma_n, \varsigma_m, \varsigma_\mu) \leq \mu_n$ holds for all $n, m, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Definition 1.8Error! Reference source not found. In a VGMS (\mathfrak{R}, G, V) , the term V-complete implies that every VCS in \mathfrak{R} is V-convergence to a limit in \mathfrak{R} .

Definition 1.9[5] A cyclic transformation C on μ is said to be cyclic contraction if $\exists 0 \le \rho < 1$ such that

$$G(C(\mu), C(b), C(b)) \leq \rho G(\mu, b, b)$$

 $\forall \mu \in \chi_q \text{ and } b \in \chi_{q+1}, q = 1,2, ... m.$

2 Main Results

In this section, we establish some FPT and corollaries for self-transformations in VGMS (\Re, G, V) by employing the methodology of CC.

Theorem 2.1 Let (\mathfrak{R}, G, V) be symmetric and G- complete VGMS and $\{\chi_q\}_{q=1}^m$ as a collection of non-empty subset of \mathfrak{R} with $\mu = \bigcup_{q=1}^m \chi_q$. Let $C: \mu \to \mu$ be cyclic transformation satisfying

$$C(\chi_q) \subseteq \chi_{q+1} \quad q = 1, 2, ..., m, \quad where \quad \chi_{m+1} = \chi_1.$$

Suppose that \exists constant ∂_1 , ∂_2 , ∂_3 , ∂_4 , ∂_5 , ∂_6 and ∂_7 with $0 \le \partial_1 + \partial_2 + \partial_3 + \partial_4 + \partial_5 + \partial_6 + \partial_7 < 1$ such that the transformation C satisfies

$$G(C(\mu), C(b), C(r)) \leq \partial_1 G(\mu, b, r) + \partial_2 G(\mu, C(\mu), C(\mu)) + \partial_3 G(b, C(b), C(b))$$

$$+ \partial_4 G(r, C(r), C(r)) + \partial_5 G(\mu, C(b), C(b)) + \partial_6 G(b, C(r), C(r))$$

$$+ \partial_7 G(r, C(\mu), C(\mu))$$

$$(1)$$

 $\forall \mu \in \chi_q \text{ and } b, r \in \chi_{q+1}, q = 1, 2, \dots m.$ Then χ has FP in $\mu = \bigcap_{q=1}^m \chi_q$ which is unique.

Proof: We can write eq (1) as

$$G(C(\mu), C(b), C(b)) \leq \partial_1 G(\mu, b, b) + \partial_2 G(\mu, C(\mu), C(\mu)) + \partial_3 G(b, C(b), C(b))$$

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 32 No. 9s (2025)

$$+\partial_{4}G(\flat,\mathsf{C}(\flat),\mathsf{C}(\flat)) + \partial_{5}G(\mu,\mathsf{C}(\flat),\mathsf{C}(\flat)) + \\ \partial_{6}G(\flat,\mathsf{C}(\flat),\mathsf{C}(\flat)) + \partial_{7}G(\flat,\mathsf{C}(\mu),\mathsf{C}(\mu))$$

$$G(\mathsf{C}(\mu),\mathsf{C}(\flat),\mathsf{C}(\flat)) \leq \partial_{1}G(\mu,\flat,\flat) + \partial_{2}G(\mu,\mathsf{C}(\mu),\mathsf{C}(\mu)) + (\partial_{3} + \partial_{4} + \partial_{6})G(\flat,\mathsf{C}(\flat),\mathsf{C}(\flat))$$

$$+\partial_{5}G(\mu,\mathsf{C}(\flat),\mathsf{C}(\flat)) + \partial_{7}G(\flat,\mathsf{C}(\mu),\mathsf{C}(\mu))$$
(2)

Interchanging the role of μ and b, we get

$$G(\mathsf{C}(\mathsf{b}),\mathsf{C}(\mu),\mathsf{C}(\mu)) \leq \partial_{1}G(\mathsf{b},\mu,\mu) + \partial_{2}G(\mathsf{b},\mathsf{C}(\mathsf{b}),\mathsf{C}(\mathsf{b})) + (\partial_{3} + \partial_{4} + \partial_{6})G(\mu,\mathsf{C}(\mu),\mathsf{C}(\mu))$$

$$+ \partial_{5}G(\mathsf{b},\mathsf{C}(\mu),\mathsf{C}(\mu)) + \partial_{7}G(\mu,\mathsf{C}(\mathsf{b}),\mathsf{C}(\mathsf{b}))$$

$$G(\mathsf{C}(\mu),\mathsf{C}(\mathsf{b}),\mathsf{C}(\mathsf{b})) \leq \partial_{1}G(\mu,\mathsf{b},\mathsf{b}) + \partial_{2}G(\mathsf{b},\mathsf{C}(\mathsf{b}),\mathsf{C}(\mathsf{b})) + (\partial_{3} + \partial_{4} + \partial_{6})G(\mu,\mathsf{C}(\mu),\mathsf{C}(\mu))$$

$$+ \partial_{5}G(\mathsf{b},\mathsf{C}(\mu),\mathsf{C}(\mu)) + \partial_{7}G(\mu,\mathsf{C}(\mathsf{b}),\mathsf{C}(\mathsf{b}))$$

$$(3)$$

Adding eq(2) and eq(3), we get

$$2G(\mathsf{C}(\mu),\mathsf{C}(\flat),\mathsf{C}(\flat)) \leq 2\partial_1 G(\mu,\flat,\flat) + (\partial_2 + \partial_3 + \partial_4 + \partial_6)G(\mu,\mathsf{C}(\mu),\mathsf{C}(\mu)) + (\partial_2 + \partial_3 + \partial_4 + \partial_6)G(\flat,\mathsf{C}(\flat),\mathsf{C}(\flat)) + (\partial_5 + \partial_7)G(\mu,\mathsf{C}(\flat),\mathsf{C}(\flat)) + (\partial_5 + \partial_7)G(\flat,\mathsf{C}(\mu),\mathsf{C}(\mu))$$

$$G(\mathsf{C}(\mu), \mathsf{C}(\flat), \mathsf{C}(\flat)) \leq \partial_1 G(\mu, \flat, \flat) + \frac{\partial_2 + \partial_3 + \partial_4 + \partial_6}{2} [G(\mu, \mathsf{C}(\mu), \mathsf{C}(\mu)) + G(\flat, \mathsf{C}(\flat), \mathsf{C}(\flat))] + \frac{\partial_5 + \partial_7}{2} [G(\mu, \mathsf{C}(\flat), \mathsf{C}(\flat)) + G(\flat, \mathsf{C}(\mu), \mathsf{C}(\mu))]$$

$$(4)$$

Putting $b = C(\mu)$ in eq (4)

$$G(C(\mu), C^{2}(\mu), C^{2}(\mu)) \leq \partial_{1}G(\mu, C(\mu), C(\mu)) + \frac{\partial_{2} + \partial_{3} + \partial_{4} + \partial_{6}}{2} [G(\mu, C(\mu), C(\mu)) + G(C(\mu), C^{2}(\mu), C^{2}(\mu))] + \frac{\partial_{5} + \partial_{7}}{2} [G(\mu, C^{2}(\mu), C^{2}(\mu)) + G(C(\mu), C(\mu), C(\mu))]$$
(5)

By using definition of VGMS, we get

$$G(\mu, \mathsf{C}^2(\mu), \mathsf{C}^2(\mu)) \le G(\mu, \mathsf{C}(\mu), \mathsf{C}(\mu)) + G(\mathsf{C}(\mu), \mathsf{C}^2(\mu), \mathsf{C}^2(\mu)) \tag{6}$$

Then eq(5) becomes

$$\begin{split} G(\mathsf{C}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^2(\mu),\mathsf{C}^2(\mu)) & \leq \partial_1 G(\mu,\mathsf{C}(\mu),\mathsf{C}(\mu)) + \frac{\partial_2 + \partial_3 + \partial_4 + \partial_6}{2} \\ & [G(\mu,\mathsf{C}(\mu),\mathsf{C}(\mu)) + \\ & G(\mathsf{C}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^2(\mu),\mathsf{C}^2(\mu))] + \\ & \frac{\partial_5 + \partial_7}{2} [G(\mu,\mathsf{C}(\mu),\mathsf{C}(\mu)) + \\ \end{split}$$

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 32 No. 9s (2025)

$$G(C(\mu), C^{2}(\mu), C^{2}(\mu))]$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{\sum_{i=2}^{7} \partial_{i}}{2}\right) G(C(\mu), C^{2}(\mu), C^{2}(\mu)) \leq \left(\partial_{1} + \frac{\sum_{i=2}^{7} \partial_{i}}{2}\right) G(\mu, C(\mu), C(\mu))$$

$$G(C(\mu), C^{2}(\mu), C^{2}(\mu)) \leq \frac{\partial_{1} + \frac{\sum_{i=2}^{7} \partial_{i}}{2}}{1 - \frac{\sum_{i=2}^{7} \partial_{i}}{2}} G(\mu, C(\mu), C(\mu))$$
(7)

Putting
$$q = \frac{\partial_1 + \frac{\sum_{i=2}^7 \partial_i}{2}}{1 - \frac{\sum_{i=2}^7 \partial_i}{2}}$$
. Since $0 \le \sum_{i=1}^7 \partial_i < 1$, so $0 \le q < 1$. Then eq(7) becomes $G(C(\mu), C^2(\mu), C^2(\mu)) \le qG(\mu, C\mu, C\mu)$

 $\forall \mu \in \chi_q$. Further,

$$G(C^{\rho}(\mu), C^{\rho+1}(\mu), C^{\rho+1}(\mu)) \leq q^{\rho}G(\mu, C\mu, C\mu) \quad \forall \rho \in \mathbb{N} \quad \mu \in \chi_q.$$

For ρ , $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu \in \chi_a$

$$\begin{split} G(\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+j}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+j}(\mu)) & \leq G(\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+1}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+1}(\mu)) + \\ & \qquad \qquad G(\mathsf{C}^{\rho+1}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+j}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+j}(\mu)) \\ & \leq G(\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+1}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+1}(\mu)) + \\ & \qquad \qquad G(\mathsf{C}^{\rho+1}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+2}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+2}(\mu)) \\ & \qquad \qquad + G(\mathsf{C}^{\rho+2}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+j}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+j}(\mu)) \\ & \qquad \qquad \vdots \end{split}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{j} G(\mathsf{C}^{\rho+i-1}(\mu), \mathsf{C}^{\rho+i}(\mu), \mathsf{C}^{\rho+i}(\mu))$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{j} q^{\rho+i-1} G(\mu, \mathsf{C}\mu, \mathsf{C}\mu)$$

$$G(\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu), \mathsf{C}^{\rho+j}(\mu), \mathsf{C}^{\rho+j}(\mu)) \leq \frac{q^{\rho}}{1-q} G(\mu, \mathsf{C}\mu, \mathsf{C}\mu)$$
(9)

This implies $\langle C^{\rho} \mu \rangle$ is a VCS in G-complete VGMS and it converges to $\mu_1 \in \Re$. So, \exists a sequence $\mu_{\rho} \in V$ such that $\mu_{\rho} \downarrow 0$ and $G(\mu_1, C^{\rho}(\mu), C^{\rho}(\mu)) \leq \mu_{\rho}$.

Now

$$G(\mu_1, C((\mu_1)), C(\mu_1)) \leq G(\mu_1, C^{\rho+1}(\mu), C^{\rho+1}(\mu)) + G(C^{\rho+1}(\mu), C(\mu_1), C(\mu_1))$$

$$\leq G(\mu_1, C^{\rho+1}(\mu), C^{\rho+1}(\mu)) + G(C(\mu_1), C^{\rho+1}(\mu), C^{\rho+1}(\mu))$$

By using (4), we get

$$G(\mu_1,\mathsf{C}(\mu_1),\mathsf{C}(\mu_1)) \leq G(\mu_1,\mathsf{C}^{\rho+1}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+1}(\mu)) + \partial_1 G(\mu_1,\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu)) + \partial_1 G(\mu_1,\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu)) + \partial_1 G(\mu_1,\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu)) + \partial_1 G(\mu_1,\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu)) + \partial_1 G(\mu_1,\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu)) + \partial_1 G(\mu_1,\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{$$

(8)

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 32 No. 9s (2025)

$$\frac{\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4}+\partial_{6}}{2} [G(\mu_{1},C\mu_{1},C\mu_{1}) + G(C^{\rho}(\mu),C^{\rho+1}(\mu),C^{\rho+1}(\mu))]$$

$$+ \frac{\partial_{5}+\partial_{7}}{2} [G(\mu_{1},C^{\rho+1}(\mu),C^{\rho+1}(\mu)) + G(C^{\rho}(\mu),C\mu_{1},C\mu_{1})]$$

$$\leq G(\mu_{1},C^{\rho+1}(\mu),C^{\rho+1}(\mu)) + \partial_{1}G(\mu_{1},C^{\rho}(\mu),C^{\rho}(\mu)) + \frac{\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4}+\partial_{6}}{2} [G(\mu_{1},C\mu_{1}),C\mu_{1}) + G(C^{\rho}(\mu),\mu_{1},\mu_{1}) + G(\mu_{1},C^{\rho+1}(\mu),C^{\rho+1}(\mu))] + \frac{\partial_{5}+\partial_{7}}{2} [G(\mu_{1},C^{\rho+1}(\mu),C^{\rho+1}(\mu),C^{\rho+1}(\mu))]$$

$$G(\mu_{1},C(\mu_{1}),C(\mu_{1})) \leq G(\mu_{1},C^{\rho+1}(\mu),C^{\rho+1}(\mu)) + \partial_{1}G(\mu_{1},C^{\rho}(\mu),C^{\rho}(\mu)) + \frac{\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4}+\partial_{6}}{2} [G(\mu_{1},C\mu_{1},C\mu_{1}) + G(\mu_{1},C^{\rho}(\mu),C^{\rho}(\mu))] + G(\mu_{1},C^{\rho+1}(\mu),C^{\rho+1}(\mu))] + \frac{\partial_{5}+\partial_{7}}{2} [G(\mu_{1},C^{\rho+1}(\mu),C^{\rho+1}(\mu)) + G(\mu_{1},C\mu_{1},C\mu_{1})]$$

$$\leq \mu_{\rho+1} + \partial_{1}\mu_{\rho} + \frac{\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4}+\partial_{6}}{2} [G(\mu_{1},C\mu_{1},C\mu_{1}) + \mu_{\rho} + \mu_{\rho+1}]$$

$$+ \frac{\partial_{5}+\partial_{7}}{2} [\mu_{\rho+1} + \mu_{\rho} + G(\mu_{1},C\mu_{1},C\mu_{1})]$$

$$\leq \mu_{\rho} + \partial_{1}\mu_{\rho} + \frac{\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4}+\partial_{6}}{2} [G(\mu_{1},C\mu_{1},C\mu_{1}) + \mu_{\rho} + \mu_{\rho}]$$

$$(1 - \frac{\sum_{i=2}^{7} \partial_i}{2}) G(\mu_1, C(\mu_1), C(\mu_1)) \leq \frac{2\partial_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{7} \partial_i}{2} \mu_{\rho}$$

$$G(\mu_1, C(\mu_1), C(\mu_1)) \leq \frac{2\partial_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{7} \partial_i}{2 - \sum_{i=2}^{7} \partial_i} \mu_{\rho} \downarrow 0$$

It follows that $\mu_1 = C\mu_1$.

Now, we assert that μ_1 is unique FP. If ∂_1 is another FP of C, then $\forall \mu_1 \in \chi_q$ and $\partial_1 \in \chi_{q+1}$, j = 1, 2, ... m, we have

$$\begin{split} G(\mu_1,\partial_1,\partial_1) &= G(\mathsf{C}\mu_1,\mathsf{C}\partial_1,\mathsf{C}\partial_1) \\ &\leq \partial_1 G(\mu_1,\partial_1,\partial_1) + \partial_2 G(\mu_1,\mathsf{C}\mu_1,\mathsf{C}\mu_1) + \partial_3 G(\partial_1,\mathsf{C}\partial_1,\mathsf{C}\partial_1) \end{split}$$

 $+\frac{\partial_5+\partial_7}{2}[\mu_\rho+\mu_\rho+G(\mu_1,\mathsf{C}\mu_1,\mathsf{C}\mu_1)]$

(10)

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 32 No. 9s (2025)

$$\begin{split} &+\partial_4 G(\partial_1,\mathsf{C}\partial_1,\mathsf{C}\partial_1) + \partial_5 G(\mu_1,\mathsf{C}\partial_1,\mathsf{C}\partial_1) + \partial_6 G(\partial_1,\mathsf{C}\partial_1,\mathsf{C}\partial_1) \\ &+\partial_7 G(\partial_1,\mathsf{C}\mu_1,\mathsf{C}\mu_1) \\ &\leq \partial_1 G(\mu_1,\partial_1,\partial_1) + \partial_5 G(\mu_1,\partial_1,\partial_1) + \partial_7 G(\partial_1,\mu_1,\mu_1) \\ &\leq \partial_1 G(\mu_1,\partial_1,\partial_1) + \partial_5 G(\mu_1,\partial_1,\partial_1) + \partial_7 G(\mu_1,\partial_1,\partial_1) \\ &\leq (\partial_1 + \partial_5 + \partial_7) G(\mu_1,\partial_1,\partial_1). \end{split}$$

Since $0 \le \partial_1 + \partial_5 + \partial_7 < \sum_{i=1}^7 \partial_i < 1$. So $G(\mu_1, \partial_1, \partial_1) = 0$ this implies $\mu_1 = \partial_1$. Thus C has FP in μ which is unique.

Setting $\partial_2 = \partial$, $\partial_3 = \rho$ and $\partial_i = 0$ for i = 1,3,...,7 in the previous theorem, we yield the subsequent corollary.

Corollary 2.2: Let (\mathfrak{R}, G, V) be G – complete and symmetric VGMS and $\{\chi_q\}_{q=1}^m$ be family of the non-empty subset of μ with $\mu = \bigcup_{q=1}^m \chi_q$. Let $C: \mu \to \mu$ be a transformation satisfying

$$C(\chi_q) \subseteq \chi_{q+1} \quad q = 1, 2, ..., m, \quad where \quad \chi_{m+1} = \chi_1.$$

Suppose that \exists constant ∂ , \Re with $0 < \partial + \rho < 1$ such that the transformation C satisfies

$$G(C\mu, Cb, Cb) \leq \partial G(\mu, C\mu, C\mu) + \rho G(b, Cb, Cb)$$

 $\forall \mu \in \chi_q \text{ and } b, r \in \chi_{q+1}, q = 1, 2, \dots m.$ Then χ has FP in $\mu = \bigcap_{q=1}^m \chi_q$ which is unique.

Example 2.3: The transformation $C: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ defined by

$$C\mu = \begin{cases} \frac{\mu}{4} & 0 \le \mu < \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{\mu}{5} & \frac{1}{2} \le \mu < 1 \end{cases}$$

is a discontinuous transformation with 0 as unique FP.

Setting $\partial_1 = \partial$ and $\partial_i = 0$ for i = 2, ..., 7 in the theorem 2.1, we yield the subsequent corollary.

Corollary 2.4:(Banach Contraction Principle) Let (\Re, G, V) be G – complete and symmetric VGMS and $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^m$ be family of non-empty subset of μ with $\mu = \bigcup_{q=1}^m \chi_q$. Let $\mathbb{C}: \mu \to \mu$ be a transformation satisfying

$$C(\chi_q) \subseteq \chi_{q+1} \quad q = 1, 2, ..., m, \quad where \quad \chi_{m+1} = \chi_1.$$

Suppose that \exists constant ∂ with $0 < \partial < 1$ such that the transformation ζ satisfies

$$G(C\mu, Cb, Cr) \leq \partial G(\mu, b, r)$$

 $\forall \mu \in \chi_q \text{ and } b, r \in \chi_{q+1}, q = 1,2, \dots m.$ Then χ has FP in $\mu = \cap_{q=1}^m \chi_q$ which is unique.

Theorem 2.5 Let (\Re, G, V) be G – complete and symmetric VGMS and $\{\chi_q\}_{q=1}^m$ be family of non-empty subset of μ with $\mu = \bigcup_{q=1}^m \chi_q$. Let $C: \mu \to \mu$ be a transformation satisfying

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 32 No. 9s (2025)

$$C(\chi_q) \subseteq \chi_{q+1} \quad q = 1, 2, ..., m, \quad where \quad \chi_{m+1} = \chi_1.$$

Suppose that there exist constant ∂_1 , ∂_2 , ∂_3 , ∂_4 with $0 < \partial_1 + \partial_2 + \partial_3 + \partial_4 < \frac{1}{2}$ such that the transformation C satisfies

$$G(C\mu, C\flat, C\flat) \leq \{\partial_1[G(\mu, C\mu, C\mu) + G(\flat, C\flat, C\flat)], \partial_2[G(\mu, C\flat, C\flat) + G(\flat, C\mu, C\mu)], \partial_3[G(\mu, C\mu, C\mu) + G(\mu, C\flat, C\flat)],$$

$$\partial_4[G(\flat, C\mu, C\mu) + G(\flat, C\flat, C\flat)]\} \tag{11}$$

 $\forall \mu \in \chi_q \text{ and } b, r \in \chi_{q+1}, q = 1, 2, \dots m.$ Then χ has FP in $\mu = \bigcap_{q=1}^m \chi_q$ which is unique.

Proof: Interchanging the role of μ and b in (11), we get

$$G(\mathsf{C}\flat, \mathsf{C}\mu, \mathsf{C}\mu) \leq \{\partial_{1}[G(\flat, \mathsf{C}\flat, \mathsf{C}\flat) + G(\mu, \mathsf{C}\mu, \mathsf{C}\mu)], \partial_{2}[G(\flat, \mathsf{C}\mu, \mathsf{C}\mu) + G(\mu, \mathsf{C}\flat, \mathsf{C}\flat)], \partial_{3}[G(\flat, \mathsf{C}\flat, \mathsf{C}\flat) + G(\flat, \mathsf{C}\mu, \mathsf{C}\mu)],$$

$$\partial_{4}[G((\mu, \mathsf{C}\flat, \mathsf{C}\flat) + G(\mu, \mathsf{C}\mu, \mathsf{C}\mu)]\} \tag{12}$$

Adding eq(11) and eq(12), we get

$$2G(C\mu, Cb, Cb) \leq \{2\partial_{1}[G(\mu, C\mu, C\mu) + G(b, Cb, Cb)], 2\partial_{2}[G(\mu, Cb, Cb) + G(b, C\mu, C\mu)], (\partial_{3} + \partial_{4})[G(\mu, C\mu, C\mu) + G(\mu, Cb, Cb)], (\partial_{3} + \partial_{4})([G(b, C\mu, C\mu) + G(b, Cb, Cb)]\}$$

$$G(C\mu, Cb, Cb) \leq \{\partial_{1}[G(\mu, C\mu, C\mu) + G(b, Cb, Cb)], \partial_{2}[G(\mu, Cb, Cb) + G(b, C\mu, C\mu)], \frac{\partial_{3} + \partial_{4}}{2}[G(\mu, C\mu, C\mu) + G(\mu, Cb, Cb)], (\partial_{3} + \partial_{4}}{2}[G(b, C\mu, C\mu) + G(b, Cb, Cb)]\}$$

$$\leq \{\frac{2\partial_{1} + \partial_{3} + \partial_{4}}{2}G(\mu, C\mu, C\mu) + \frac{2\partial_{1} + \partial_{3} + \partial_{4}}{2}G(b, Cb, Cb) + \frac{2\partial_{2} + \partial_{3} + \partial_{4}}{2}G(\mu, Cb, Cb) + \frac{2\partial_{2} + \partial_{3} + \partial_{4}}{2}G(b, C\mu, C\mu)\}$$

$$\leq \{\frac{2\partial_{1} + \partial_{3} + \partial_{4}}{2}G(\mu, C\mu, C\mu) + \frac{2\partial_{2} + \partial_{3} + \partial_{4}}{2}G(b, C\mu, C\mu)\}$$

$$\leq \{\frac{2\partial_{1} + \partial_{3} + \partial_{4}}{2}[G(\mu, C\mu, C\mu) + G(b, Cb, Cb)] + \frac{2\partial_{2} + \partial_{3} + \partial_{4}}{2}G(b, C\mu, C\mu)\}$$

$$\leq \{\frac{2\partial_{1} + \partial_{3} + \partial_{4}}{2}[G(\mu, C\mu, C\mu) + G(b, Cb, Cb)] + \frac{2\partial_{2} + \partial_{3} + \partial_{4}}{2}G(b, C\mu, C\mu)\}$$

$$(13)$$

Putting $b = C\mu$ in eq(13), we get

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 32 No. 9s (2025)

$$\frac{2\theta_2 + \theta_3 + \theta_4}{2} G(\mu, \mathsf{C}^2 \mu, \mathsf{C}^2 \mu) \} \tag{14}$$

By using (VGM5), we get

$$G(\mu, \mathsf{C}^2\mu, \mathsf{C}^2\mu) \le G(\mu, \mathsf{C}\mu, \mathsf{C}\mu) + G(\mathsf{C}\mu, \mathsf{C}^2\mu, \mathsf{C}^2\mu) \tag{15}$$

Then eq(14) becomes

$$\begin{split} G(\mathsf{C}\mu,\mathsf{C}^{2}\mu,\mathsf{C}^{2}\mu) & \leq \{ \frac{2\partial_{1} + \partial_{3} + \partial_{4}}{2} [G(\mu,\mathsf{C}\mu,\mathsf{C}\mu) + G(\mathsf{C}\mu,\mathsf{C}^{2}\mu,\mathsf{C}^{2}\mu)] + \\ & \frac{2\partial_{2} + \partial_{3} + \partial_{4}}{2} G(\mu,\mathsf{C}\mu,\mathsf{C}\mu) + G(\mathsf{C}\mu,\mathsf{C}^{2}\mu,\mathsf{C}^{2}\mu) \} \\ & \leq \{ \sum_{i=1}^{4} \partial_{i} G(\mu,\mathsf{C}\mu,\mathsf{C}\mu) + \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{4} \partial_{i} G(\mathsf{C}\mu,\mathsf{C}^{2}\mu,\mathsf{C}^{2}\mu) \} \end{split}$$

$$(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{4} \partial_i)G(C\mu, C^2\mu, C^2\mu) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{4} \partial_i(G(\mu, C\mu, C\mu))$$

$$G(C\mu, C^2\mu, C^2\mu) \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{4} \partial_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{4} \partial_i}G(\mu, C\mu, C\mu)$$

$$(16)$$

Putting $q = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^4 \partial_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^4 \partial_i}$. Since $0 \le \sum_{i=1}^4 \partial_i < \frac{1}{2}$, so $0 \le q < 1$. Then eq(16) becomes

$$G(C\mu, C^2\mu, C^2\mu) \le qG(\mu, C\mu, C\mu) \tag{17}$$

 $\forall \mu \in \chi_q$. Further

$$G(C^{\rho}\mu, C^{\rho+1}\mu, C^{\rho+1}\mu) \leq q^{\rho}G(\mu, C\mu, C\mu) \quad \forall \rho \in \mathbb{N} \quad \mu \in \chi_q.$$

For ρ , $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu \in \chi_q$

$$\begin{split} G(\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+j}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+j}(\mu)) & \leq G(\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+1}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+1}(\mu)) + \\ & \qquad \qquad G(\mathsf{C}^{\rho+1}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+j}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+j}(\mu)) \\ & \leq G(\mathsf{C}^{\rho}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+1}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+1}(\mu)) + \\ & \qquad \qquad G(\mathsf{C}^{\rho+1}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+2}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+2}(\mu)) \\ & \qquad \qquad + G(\mathsf{C}^{\rho+2}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+j}(\mu),\mathsf{C}^{\rho+j}(\mu)) \\ & \qquad \qquad \vdots \end{split}$$

$$\leq \textstyle \sum_{i=1}^{j} \, G(\mathbb{C}^{\rho+i-1}(\mu),\mathbb{C}^{\rho+i}(\mu),\mathbb{C}^{\rho+i}(\mu))$$

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 32 No. 9s (2025)

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{j} q^{\rho+i-1} G(\mu, C\mu, C\mu)$$

$$G(C^{\rho}(\mu), C^{\rho+j}(\mu), C^{\rho+j}(\mu)) \leq \frac{q^{\rho}}{1-q} G(\mu, C\mu, C\mu)$$

$$\tag{18}$$

This implies $\{C^{\rho}\mu\}$ is a VCS in G-complete VGMS and so it converges to $\mu_1 \in \partial$.

Now

$$G(\mu_{1}, C\mu_{1}, C\mu_{1}) \leq G(\mu_{1}, C^{\rho+1}\mu, C^{\rho+1}\mu) + G(C^{\rho+1}\mu, C\mu_{1}, C\mu_{1})$$

$$\leq G(\mu_{1}, C^{\rho+1}\mu, C^{\rho+1}\mu) + \frac{2\partial_{1}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4}}{2} [G(C^{\rho}\mu, C^{\rho+1}\mu, C^{\rho+1}\mu)$$

$$+G(\mu_{1}, C\mu_{1}, C\mu_{1})] + \frac{2\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4}}{2} [G(C^{\rho}\mu, C\mu_{1}, C\mu_{1})$$

$$+G(\mu_{1}, C^{\rho+1}\mu, C^{\rho+1}\mu)]$$
(19)

By using

$$\mu_1 = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} C^{\rho} \mu = \lim_{\rho \to \infty} C^{\rho+1} \mu.$$

Then eq(19) becomes

$$G(\mu_{1}, \zeta \mu_{1}, \zeta \mu_{1}) \leq 0 + \frac{2\partial_{1} + \partial_{3} + \partial_{4}}{2} [0 + G(\mu_{1}, \zeta \mu_{1}, \zeta \mu_{1})]$$

$$+ \frac{2\partial_{2} + \partial_{3} + \partial_{4}}{2} [G(\mu_{1}, \zeta \mu_{1}, \zeta \mu_{1}) + 0]$$

$$\leq \sum_{l=1}^{4} \partial_{l} G(\mu_{1}, \zeta \mu_{1}, \zeta \mu_{1})$$
(20)

Since $0 \le \sum_{i=1}^4 \partial_i < 1$, it follows that $\mu_1 = C\mu_1$.

Now, we assert that μ_1 is unique FP. If ∂_1 is another FP of C, then $\forall \mu_1 \in \chi_q$ and $\partial_1 \in \chi_{q+1}$, j = 1, 2, ... m, we have

$$\begin{split} G(\mu_{1},\partial_{1},\partial_{1}) &= G(\mathsf{C}\mu_{1},\mathsf{C}\partial_{1},\mathsf{C}\partial_{1}) \\ & \leq \{\partial_{1}[G(\mu_{1},\mathsf{C}\mu_{1},\mathsf{C}\mu_{1}) + G(\partial_{1},\mathsf{C}\partial_{1},\mathsf{C}\partial_{1})], \partial_{2}[G(\mu_{1},\mathsf{C}\partial_{1},\mathsf{C}\partial_{1}) + \\ & G(\partial_{1},\mathsf{C}\mu_{1},\mathsf{C}\mu_{1})], \partial_{3}[G(\mu_{1},\mathsf{C}\mu_{1},\mathsf{C}\mu_{1}) + G(\mu_{1},\mathsf{C}\partial_{1},\mathsf{C}\partial_{1})], \\ & \partial_{4}[G(\partial_{1},\mathsf{C}\mu_{1},\mathsf{C}\mu_{1}) + G(\partial_{1},\mathsf{C}\partial_{1},\mathsf{C}\partial_{1})] \} \\ & \leq \{\partial_{1}(0),\partial_{2}[G(\mu_{1},\partial_{1},\partial_{1}) + G(\partial_{1},\mu_{1},\mu_{1})], \partial_{3}G(\mu_{1},\partial_{1},\partial_{1}), \\ & \partial_{4}G(\mu_{1},\partial_{1},\partial_{1}) \} \\ & \leq \{2\partial_{2}G(\mu_{1},\partial_{1},\partial_{1}),\partial_{3}G(\mu_{1},\partial_{1},\partial_{1}),\partial_{4}G(\mu_{1},\partial_{1},\partial_{1}) \} \\ & \leq (2\partial_{2}+\partial_{3}+\partial_{4})G(\mu_{1},\partial_{1},\partial_{1}). \end{split}$$

Since $0 \le 2\partial_2 + \partial_3 + \partial_4 < 1$. So C has FP in μ which is unique.

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 32 No. 9s (2025)

3 Conclusion

Within this manuscript, we illustrate FPT for self-transformations in V-complete VGMS by utilizing cyclic contraction. These outcomes are expected to inspire researchers to explore problem-solving opportunities in diverse areas such as differential equations and functional analysis.

Refrences

- [1] B. C. Dhage, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 84(1992), 329-336.
- [2] B. C. Dhage, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 86(1994), 503-508.
- [3] D. W. Boyd, J. S. W. Wong, On nonlinear contractions, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 20(1969), 458-464.
- [4] H. Aydi, W. Shatanawi, C. Vetro, On generalized weakly G-contraction mapping in G-metric Spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 62(2011), 4222-4229.
- [5] E. Karapinar, I. M. Erhan, Cyclic contraction and fixed point theorems, *Filomat*, 26(2012), 777-782.
- [6] H. Aydi, Generalized cyclic contraction in G-metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* In press.
- [7] L. K. Dey, Fixed Point Theorems in Vector Metric Spaces, *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, 4(2010), 2395-2400.
- [8] Luxemburg, Zannen, Riesz Spaces, North-Holland Pub. Co., Amsterdam, 1971.
- [9] M. A. Alghamdi, A. Petrusel, N. Shahzad, A fixed point theorems for cyclic generalized contraction in metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 122(2012).
- [10] N. Bilgili, E. Karapınar, Cyclic contractions via auxiliary functions on G-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 49 (2013).
- [11] P. Yadav, M. Kamra, Common Fixed Point Theorems for Four Transformations in Vector S-Metric Spaces, *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 3081(2024), 020006-1-020006-11. doi.org/10.1063/5.0196129.
- [12] P. Yadav, M. Kamra, Some fixed point results on a vector valued S-metric space, *J. Tianjin Univ. Sci. Technol.*, 55(2022), 209-228. DOI 10.17605/OSF.IO/XUHGK.
- [13] P. Yadav, M. Kamra, Rajpal, Common fixed point theorems for self mappings on a complete vector S-metric space, *Spec Ugdym.*, 43(2022), 6439-6450.
- [14] R. P. Pathak, R. Bhardwaj, Fixed point and common fixed point theorems in vector metric spaces, *Math. Theory Model.*, 3(2013).
- [15] R. Saadati, S. M. Vaezpour, P. Vetro, B.E. Rhoades, Fixed point theorems in generalized partially ordered G-metric spaces, Math. Compat. Modelling, 52(2010), 797-801.
- [16] W. Shatanawi, Some fixed point theorems in ordered G-metric spaces and applications, *Abst. Appl. Anal.*, 2011(2011), Article ID:126205.
- [17] W. A. Kirk, P. S. Srinavasan, P. Veeramani, Fixed point for mappings satisfying cyclical contraction condition, *Fixed point theory*, 4(2003), 79-89.
- [18] Z. Mustafa, B. I. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, 7(2006), 289-297.

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 32 No. 9s (2025)

[19] Z. Mustafa, B. I. Sims, Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in complete *G*-metric spaces, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2009. Article ID 917175.