

Approximation of Functions by n -Euler Product Means of Fourier Series and its Conjugate

Md Hadish ¹, Rupesh Kumar Mishra ² and Shambhu Kumar Mishra ³

¹ Assistant Professor (Mathematics), Department of Applied Science and Humanities
 Government Engineering College West Champaran, Bihar, India

² Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Patliputra University Patna Bihar, India.

³ Professor, Department of Mathematics, Patliputra University Patna Bihar, India

E-mail: ¹h.ahmad043@gmail.com, ²rupeshmishra043@gmail.com, ³shambhumishra5@gmail.com

Article History:

Received: 22-08-2024

Revised: 07-10-2024

Accepted: 23-10-2024

Abstract:

Our research in this work produced new theorems on approximation of functions by n -Eulers E^q product means of Fourier series and its conjugate Fourier series. This new results generalize the results of [5], [7] and [9].

Key Words:

Approximation of function, Fourier series, Conjugate Fourier series, L^p space, Lebesgue Integral.

1 Introduction

Estimating functions using generalized Fourier series based on trigonometric polynomials has become increasingly important in the development of mathematics and engineering fields in recent years. For instance, a new L^2 -based technique for creating Finit Impulse Response digital filters to obtain an optimal approximation was devised by Psarakis and Moustakieds [2], utilising the features of approximation of functions.

In the development of digital filters, L^p -space, L^2 -space, and L^∞ -space are also very important. In the past few years, numerous researchers have grown interested in the inaccuracy of approximation of periodic functions belonging to distinct classes using different summability methods. Several scholars, including Hadish [6], Sonkar and Singh[8], Saxena and Prabhakar [5], Sonkar and Sagwan[7], and Sachin [9], have studied the following topics: $(C, I)(E, q)$, double Euler summability, triple E^I Euler summability, and triple E^q -euler summability, respectively. We investigated the approximation of functions in this direction using the n -Euler E^q product summability approach. The outcomes of [7], [8], and [9] were generalized by our result.

2 Definitions and Notations

Let g be a Lebesgue integrable function with period 2π on the interval $[0, 2\pi]$. The Fourier series of a function g is given by

$$g(x) \approx \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nx + b_n \sin nx) \quad (2.1)$$

$$\tilde{g}(x) \approx \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (b_m \cos mx - a_m \sin mx) \quad (2.2)$$

with n^{th} partial sum $g_n(x)$.

The $L^p[0, 2\pi]$ – space can be defined as

$$L^p[0, 2\pi] := \left\{ g: [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: \int_0^{2\pi} |g(x)|^p dx < \infty \right\}, p \geq 1.$$

Let $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} u_r$ be an infinite series and sequence $\{s_r\}$ is $(r+1)^{th}$ partial sum of given series, then the series $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} u_r$ is said to be (E, q) summable [1] to s if

$$t_r^{E^q} = \frac{1}{(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} q^{r-j} s_j \rightarrow s \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

The series $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} u_r$ is said to be $(E, q)(E, q)$ summable to s , if

$$t_r^{E^q E^q} = \frac{1}{(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} q^{j-h} s_h \rightarrow s \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

The series $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} u_r$ is said to be $(E, q)(E, q)(E, q)$ summable to s , if

$$t_r^{E^q E^q E^q} = \frac{1}{(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \sum_{l=0}^h \binom{h}{l} q^{h-l} s_l \rightarrow s \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Similarly,

the series $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} u_r$ is said to be $(E, q)(E, q)(E, q) \dots (E, q)$ summable to s i.e. n -euler E^q summable to s .

if

$$t_r^{E^q E^q \dots E^q} = \frac{1}{(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \sum_{l=0}^h \binom{h}{l} q^{h-l} \dots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} s_m$$

$$\rightarrow s \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

We also write,

$$J_r(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \sum_{l=0}^h \binom{h}{l} q^{h-l} \dots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} \frac{\sin\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right]$$

$$\tilde{J}_r(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \sum_{l=0}^h \binom{h}{l} q^{h-l} \dots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} \frac{\cos\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right]$$

$$\phi(t) = g(x+t) - 2g(t) + g(x-t)$$

and

$$\psi(t) = \frac{g(x+t) - g(x-t)}{2}.$$

3 Main Results

Saxena and Prabhakar[8] obtained novel findings in the domain of function approximation. The triple E^1 Summability approach is introduced by Sonkar and Sangwan[7], who used it to generalise the findings of Saxena and Prabhakar. The triple E^q summability approach was recently introduced by Devaiya and Sriwastva[9], and they used it to generalise the findings of Sonkar and Sangwan[7]. In this work, we establish the more broad setting and generalise the findings of Sachin Devaiya and Shailesh Kumar Srivastava[9].

3.1 Theorem

let p_r be a none increasing positive sequence of real constant such that

$$p_r = \sum_{z=0}^r p_z \rightarrow s \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.1)$$

and given function $\phi(t)$ satisfies,

$$\Phi(t) = \int_0^t |\phi(u)| du = o \left[\frac{t}{\alpha(\frac{1}{t})p_t} \right], \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+, \quad (3.2)$$

where $\alpha(t)$ is positive, monotonic and none - increasing function of t.

$$\log r = O[\alpha(r).p_r], \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty \quad (3.3)$$

The approximation of function g at $x = t$ by n- Euler product means of its Fourier series is given by

$$|t_r^{E^q E^q E^q \dots E^q} - g(x)| = O(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

3.2 Theorem

let p_r be a none-increasing positive sequence of real constant such that

$$p_r = \sum_{z=0}^r p_z \rightarrow s \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

and given function $\psi(t)$ satisfies,

$$\Psi(t) = \int_0^t |\psi(u)| du = o \left[\frac{t}{\alpha(\frac{1}{t})p_t} \right], \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\alpha(t)$ is positive, monotonic and none - increasing function of t.

$$\log r = O[\alpha(r).p_r], \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.5)$$

then approximation of function $\tilde{g}$ at $x=t$ by n- Euler product means of its conjugate fourier series is given by

$$|\tilde{t}_r^{E^q E^q E^q \dots E^q} - \tilde{g}(x)| = O(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

4 lemmas

Here few lemmas are given, Which are useful to prove our theorem

Lemma 4.1 $|J_r(t)| = O(r)$, for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{r}$.

Proof. Using $\sin rt \leq rt$ and $\sin \frac{t}{2} \geq \frac{t}{\pi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |J_r(t)| &= \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^r} \left| \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \cdots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{m+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right] \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^r} \left| \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \cdots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} \frac{\left(\frac{m+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)t}{\frac{t}{\pi}} \right\} \right] \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \cdots (2i+1) \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} \right\} \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \sum_{l=0}^h \binom{h}{l} q^{h-l} (2l+1) \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} q^{j-h} (2h+1) \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} q^{r-j} (2j+1) \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} (2r+1) \\
 &= O(r)
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2 $|J_r(t)| = O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$ for $\frac{1}{r} \leq t \leq \pi$

Proof. Using $\sin(rt) \leq 1$ and $\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \geq \frac{t}{\pi}$, We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |J_r(t)| &= \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^r} \left| \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \cdots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{m+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right] \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \cdots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} \frac{\pi}{t} \right\} \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2t(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \cdots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} \right\} \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2t(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} q^{j-h} \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2t(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} q^{r-j} \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2t} \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.3 $|\tilde{J}(t)| = O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$, for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{r}$.

Proof. Using $|\cos(rt)| \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\tilde{J}_r(t)| &= \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^r} \left| \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \cdots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{m+\frac{1}{2}}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right] \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \cdots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} \frac{\pi}{t} \right\} \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2t(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \cdots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} \right\} \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2t(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} q^{j-h} \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2t(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} q^{r-j} \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2t} \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.4 $|\tilde{J}(t)| = O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)$, for $\frac{1}{r} \leq t \leq \pi$.

Proof. Applying $\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \geq \frac{t}{\pi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|\tilde{J}_r(t)| = \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^r} \left| \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \cdots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} \frac{\cos\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right] \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^r} \left| \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \cdots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} \frac{\cos\left(m+\frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\frac{t}{\pi}} \right\} \right] \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2t(1+q)^r} \left| \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \cdots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} e^{imt} \right\} \right] \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2t(1+q)^r} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{\tau-1} \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \cdots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} e^{imt} \right\} \right] \right| \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2t(1+q)^r} \left| \sum_{j=\tau}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \cdots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} e^{imt} \right\} \right] \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2t(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^{\tau-1} \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \cdots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} \right\} \right] |e^{imt}| \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2t(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=\tau}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \cdots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \max_{0 \leq m \leq i} \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} e^{imt} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \frac{1}{2t(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^{\tau-1} \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \cdots q^{v-i} \right] \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2t(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=\tau}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \frac{q^{j-h}}{(1+q)^h} \cdots q^{v-i} \right] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2t(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^{\tau-1} \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} q^{j-h} \right] \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2t(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=\tau}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} q^{j-h} \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{2t(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^{\tau-1} \binom{r}{j} q^{r-j} + \frac{1}{2t(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=\tau}^r \binom{r}{j} q^{r-j} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2t(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} q^{r-j}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $|\tilde{J}_r(t)| = O(1/t)$.

5 Proof of Theorem 3.1

Proof. We have

$$s_r(g; x) - g(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{\phi(t) \sin\left(r + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)} dt,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_r^{E^q E^q E^q \dots E^q}(g; x) - g(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^r} \left| \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \cdots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \right. \right. \\
 &\left. \left. \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} \frac{\sin\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}} \right\} \right] \right| \\
 &= \int_0^\pi \phi(t) J_r(t) dt \\
 &= \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{r}} \phi(t) + \int_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\gamma} \phi(t) + \int_{\gamma}^\pi \phi(t) \right] J_r(t) dt \\
 &= R_1 + R_2 + R_3
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Applying Lemma 4.1, condition 3.2 and 3.3 and second mean value theorem is applying for second term integral, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |R_1| &\leq \int_0^{\frac{1}{r}} |\phi(t) J_r(t)| dt \\
 &= O(r) \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{r}} |\phi(t)| dt \right] \\
 &= O(r) \left[O\left(\frac{\frac{1}{r}}{\alpha(r).P_r}\right) \right] \\
 &= O\left(\frac{1}{\log r}\right) = O(1) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Apply Lemma 4.2, condition 3.2 and 3.3 and second mean value theorem is applying for second term integral, We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |R_2| &\leq \int_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\gamma} |\phi(t)J_r(t)|dt \\
 &= O \left[\int_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\gamma} |\phi(t)| \left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \right] \\
 &= O \left[\left\{ \frac{1}{t} \phi(t) \right\}_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\gamma} + \int_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\gamma} o \left\{ \frac{\phi(t)}{t^2} \right\} dt \right] \\
 &= O \left[o \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha \left(\frac{1}{t}\right) P_t} \right\}_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\gamma} + \int_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\gamma} o \left(\frac{\frac{1}{t}}{\alpha \left(\frac{1}{t}\right) P_t} \right) dt \right] \\
 &= O \left[o \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha(r)P_r} \right\} + \int_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\gamma} o \left(\frac{\frac{1}{u}}{\alpha(u)P_u} \right) du \right] \\
 &= O \left(\frac{1}{\alpha(r)P_r} \right) + O \left(\frac{\frac{1}{r}}{\alpha(r)P_r} \right) \int_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\gamma} 1 du \\
 &= O \left(\frac{1}{\log r} \right) + O \left(\frac{1}{\log r} \right) = O(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Applying Riemann-Lebesgue theorem and regularity condition of summability, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |R_3| &\leq \int_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\gamma} |\phi(t)J_r(t)|dt \\
 &= O(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Collecting (5.1)-(5.4), we get

$$|t_r^{E^q E^q E^q \dots E^q}(g; x) - g(x)| = O(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence complete the proof.

6 Proof of Theorem 3.2

Proof. We have

$$\tilde{s}_r(g; x) - \tilde{g}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\psi(t) \cos\left(r + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)} dt$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{t}_r^{E^q E^q \dots E^q}(g; x) - \tilde{g}(x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi(1+q)^r} \sum_{j=0}^r \left[\binom{r}{j} \frac{q^{r-j}}{(1+q)^j} \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} \dots \frac{q^{v-i}}{(1+q)^i} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \int_0^{\pi} \psi(t) \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^i \binom{i}{m} q^{i-m} \frac{\cos\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)t}{\sin\frac{t}{2}} \right\} dt \right] \\
 &= \int_0^{\pi} \psi(t) \tilde{f}_r(t) dt
 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{r}} \psi(t) + \int_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\gamma} \psi(t) + \int_{\gamma}^{\pi} \psi(t) \right] \tilde{f}_r(t) dt$$

$$= \tilde{R}_1 + \tilde{R}_2 + \tilde{R}_3, \text{ say.}$$

(6.1)

Applying 4.3, condition 3.4 and 3.5 and second mean value theorem is applying for second term integral, we have

$$|\tilde{R}_1| \leq \int_0^{\frac{1}{r}} |\psi(t) \tilde{f}_r(t)| dt$$

$$= \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{r}} |\psi(t)| \frac{1}{t} dt \right]$$

$$= O(r) \left[\int_0^{\frac{1}{r}} |\psi(t)| dt \right]$$

$$= O(r) \left[o \left(\frac{\frac{1}{r}}{\alpha(r) \cdot p_r} \right) \right]$$

$$= O \left(\frac{1}{\log r} \right) = O(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

(6.2)

Apply Lemma 4.4, condition 3.4 and 3.5 and second mean value theorem is applying for second term integral, we have

$$|\tilde{R}_2| \leq \int_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\gamma} |\psi(t) \tilde{f}_r(t)| dt$$

$$= O \left[\int_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\gamma} |\psi(t)| \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) dt \right]$$

$$= O \left[\left\{ \frac{1}{t} \Psi(t) \right\}_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\gamma} + \int_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\gamma} o \left\{ \frac{\psi(t)}{t^2} \right\} dt \right]$$

$$= O \left[o \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha(r) \cdot p_r} \right\} + \int_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\gamma} o \left(\frac{\frac{1}{t}}{\alpha(\frac{1}{t}) \cdot p_t} \right) dt \right]$$

$$= O \left[o \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha(r) p_r} \right\} + \int_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\gamma} o \left(\frac{\frac{1}{u}}{\alpha(u) p_u} \right) du \right]$$

$$= O \left(\frac{1}{\alpha(r) p_r} \right) + O \left(\frac{\frac{1}{r}}{\alpha(r) p_r} \right) \int_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\gamma} 1 du$$

$$= O \left(\frac{1}{\log r} \right) + O \left(\frac{1}{\log r} \right) = O(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.3)$$

Applying Riemann-Lebesgue theorem and regularity condition of summability, we have

$$|\tilde{R}_3| \leq \int_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\gamma} |\psi(t) \tilde{f}_r(t)| dt$$

$$= O(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

(6.4)

Collecting (6.1)-(6.4), we get

$$\left| \tilde{t}_r^{E^q E^q E^q \dots E^q}(g; x) - \tilde{g}(x) \right| = O(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence complete the proof.

7 Corollaries

Below some corollaries are given, which are derived from our theorems 3.1 and 3.2

7.1 Corollary

If we take $q=1$ in theorem 3.1 then n - Euler product summability $(E, q)(E, q)(E, q) \dots (E, q)$ reduce to $(E, 1)(E, 1)(E, 1) \dots (E, 1)$, then

$$|t_r^{E^1 E^1 E^1 \dots E^1}(g; x) - g(x)| = O(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

7.2 Corollary

If we take $q=1$ in theorem 3.2, then n euler product summaility

$(E, q)(E, q)(E, q) \dots (E, q)$ reduce to $(E, 1)(E, 1)(E, 1) \dots (E, 1)$, then

$$|\tilde{t}_r^{E^1 E^1 E^1 \dots E^1}(g; x) - \tilde{g}(x)| = O(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

7.3 Corollary

If we take $n=2$ in our results 3.1 then n – Euler product summability $(E, q)(E, q)(E, q) \dots (E, q)$ reduces to $(E, q)(E, q)$ double Euler summability,

then

$$|t_r^{E^q E^q}(g; x) - g(x)| = O(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

7.4 Corollary

If we take $n=2$ in our results 3.2 then n – Euler product summability $(E, q)(E, q)(E, q) \dots (E, q)$ reduces to $(E, q)(E, q)$ double Euler summability,

then

$$|\tilde{t}_r^{E^q E^q}(g; x) - \tilde{g}(x)| = O(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

7.5 Corollary

If we take $n=2$ and $q=1$ in our result 3.1, n – Euler product summability $(E, q)(E, q)(E, q) \dots (E, q)$ reduces to $(E, 1)(E, 1)$

then

$$|t_r^{E^1 E^1}(g; x) - g(x)| = O(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

7.6 Corollary

If we take $n=2$ and $q=1$ in our result 3.2, n – Euler product summability $(E, q)(E, q)(E, q) \dots (E, q)$ reduces to $(E, 1)(E, 1)$

then

$$|\tilde{t}_r^{E^1 E^1}(g; x) - \tilde{g}(x)| = O(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

7.7 Corollary

If we consider $n=3$ in our theorem 3.1, n – Euler product summability $(E, q)(E, q)(E, q) \dots (E, q)$ reduces to $(E, q)(E, q)(E, q)$ i.e. triple E^q summability

then

$$|t_r^{E^q E^q E^q}(g; x) - g(x)| = O(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

7.8 Corollary

If we consider $n=3$ in our theorem 3.2, n – Euler product summability $(E, q)(E, q)(E, q) \dots (E, q)$ reduces to $(E, q)(E, q)(E, q)$ i.e. triple E^q summability

then

$$|\tilde{t}_r^{E^q E^q E^q}(g; x) - \tilde{g}(x)| = O(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

7.9 Corollary

If we take $n=3$ and $q=1$ in our result 3.1, n – Euler product summability $(E, q)(E, q)(E, q) \dots (E, q)$ reduces to $(E, 1)(E, 1)(E, 1)$ i.e. triple E^1 summability

then

$$|t_r^{E^1 E^1 E^1}(g; x) - g(x)| = O(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

7.10 Corollary

If we take $n=3$ and $q=1$ in our result 3.2, n – Euler product summability $(E, q)(E, q)(E, q) \dots (E, q)$ reduces to $(E, 1)(E, 1)(E, 1)$ i.e. triple E^1 summability

then

$$|\tilde{t}_r^{E^1 E^1 E^1}(g; x) - \tilde{g}(x)| = O(1), \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

8 Particular case

8.1

In view of Corollary 7.3 and 7.4, theorem 1 and 2 [5] are particular cases of our Theorem 3.1 and 3.2 respectively.

8.2

In view of Corollary 7.5 and 7.6, theorem 1 and 2 [4] are particular cases of our Theorem 3.1 and 3.2 respectively.

8.3

In view of Corollary 7.7 and 7.8, theorem 3.1 and 3.2 [3] are particular cases of our Theorem 3.1 and 3.2 respectively.

8.4

In view of Corollary 7.9 and 7.10, theorem 3.1 and 3.2 [7] are particular cases of our Theorem 3.1 and 3.2 respectively.

9 Conclusion

The results of the paper are aimed to formulate the problem of approximation of function g and their conjugates $\tilde{g}$ by iterates of Euler sum of their Fourier series and conjugate Fourier series respectively.

Acknowledgments

The first author expresses his gratitude towards his parents for blessings. Second author express his gratitude towards his parents for blessing. All the authors are also grateful to the Hon'ble vice-chancellor, Patliputra University, Patna, Bihar, India, for motivation to this work.

References

- [1] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric series, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 3rd rev. ed., 2002.
- [2] E.Z. Psarakis and G.V. Moustakides, An L_2 -based method for the design of 1-D zero phase FIR digital filters, IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I, Fundam. Theory Appl. 44(7), 551-601(1997).
- [3] Hare Krishna Nigam and Md. Hadish, TRIGONOMETRIC APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTIONS BY HAUSDORFF-MATRIX PRODUCT OPERATORS, Vol. 24, No. 4 (2019), pp. 675-689 ISSN: 1229-1595(print), 2466-0973(online).
- [4] Kalpana Saxena, Manju Prabhakar, A STUDY ON $(E, q)(E, q)$ PRODUCT SUMMABILITY, International Journal of Advanced Technology and Engineering Research(IJATER).
- [5] K. Saxena and M. Prabhakar, A study of double Euler summability method of Fourier series and its conjugate series, Int. J.Sci. Innov.Math.Res. 4(1),46-52(2016).
- [6] Mohammed Hadish, A study on approximation of a conjugate function Using Cesáro-Matrix product operator, DOI:http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.103015.
- [7] S.Sonkar and P.Sagwan, Approximation of Fourier and its conjugate series by triple Euler product summability, J. Phys. conf. Ser. 1770(1), 012003(2021).
- [8] S. Sonkar and U. Singh, Degree of approximation of the conjugate of signals(functions) belonging to $Lip(\alpha, r)$ -class by $(C, 1)(E, q)$ means of conjugate trigonometric Fourier series, Journal of inequalities and applications 2012, 2012:278.
- [9] Sachin Devaiya and Shailesh Kumar Srivastava, APPROXIMATION OF FUNCTIONS AND CONJUGATE OF FUNCTIONS USING PRODUCT MEAN $(E, q)(E, q)(E, q)$, Vol. 11(Special Issue I)(2022) , 29–37.