

On Arithmetical Traits of Doubt Fuzzy T-Ideals beneath the Normalization is a T-Algebra

CT. Nagaraj^(a), M. Premkumar, Y. Immanuel^(b), Abdul Salam^(c), M. S Franklin Thamil Selvi^(d), M. I. Mary Metilda^(e) and J. Juliet Jeyapackiam^(f)

^(a)Department of Mathematics, Sree Sevugan Annamalai College, Devakottai-630303, India ^{*(1a)}[0000-0002-8637-063X]

^{(*)1a, b, d & e} Department of Mathematics, Sathyabama Institute of Science and Technology (Deemed To Be University) Chennai-600119, Tamilnadu, India. ^(B)[0000-0003-0719-375X]

^(c)Gulf Asian English School, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates.

^(f)Department of Mathematics, Jayaraj Annapackiam CSI College of Engineering Nazareth, Tuticorin-628617, India.

^(a)mathsnagaraj.ct@gmail.com, ^{(*)1a}mprem.maths3033@gmail.com, ^(b)y_immanuel@yahoo.com,

^(c)abdulsalam.maths@gmail.com, ^(d)thamizanand@gmail.com, ^(e)metilda81@gmail.com

^(f)jeyasjjeyas@gmail.com, Corresponding Author Email Id: ^{(*)1a}mprem.maths3033@gmail.com^[0000-0003-4656-3370]

Article History:

Received: 06-07-2024

Revised: 21-08-2024

Accepted: 03-09-2024

Abstract:

The normal doubt fuzzy T-ideal and poset under the set of inclusion principle in T-algebra are defined in this article, along with several algebraic properties and instances that are covered in detail.

Keywords: Doubt Fuzzy Set (DFS), Doubt Fuzzy Subset (DFSb), T-Algebra, T-Ideal, Doubt Fuzzy T-ideal (DFTI), Normal Doubt Fuzzy T-ideal (NDFTI).

Classification of Subject : MSC2020-zbMATH-03B52

I. Introduction

Abu Ayub Ansari[1] introduced the novel idea of T-F β SA of β -algebras in 2014. Prasanna, A., et al. [2&3]. outlined the new FBI Normalization notation in B-Algebra and presented the idea of FBGI Normalization in BG-Algebra in 2018. Priya's FPSIs and FPSSAs for PS-algebras were standardized in 2015[4]. In 2015, Rajam[5] presented the idea of L-FTI in β -algebras. In 2016, Sithar Selvam[6] learned about the FPMSA Normalization study. Tamil created FSA and FTI in TM-Algebras in 2011[7]. Zadeh[8] introduced fuzzy sets for the first time in 1965.

This work describes the normal fuzzy T-ideal and poset under the set of inclusion principle over T-algebra and explores some algebraic characteristics.

II. Preliminaries

Basic Reference: 2.1 [8]

Let X be a non-empty set. A *FSb* of the set X is a mapping $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Basic Reference: 2.2[7]

A FS μ in a BP-algebra X is called a *FTI* of X if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\mu(0) \geq \mu(x)$

$$(ii) \quad \mu(x * z) \geq \min\{\mu((x * y) * z), \mu(y)\}, \forall x, y \in X.$$

III On Arithmetical Traits of Doubt Fuzzy T-Ideals beneath the Normalization of T-Algebra

Definition: 3.1

Let $DFTI$ Ω of $\tilde{A}$ is called to be $NDFTI$ if $\exists \theta \in \tilde{A}$ s.t $\Omega(0) = 1$.

Example: 3.1.1

Let $\tilde{A} = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$ be a T-Algebra

*	0	a	b	c	d
0	0	a	b	c	d
a	0	0	0	0	a
b	0	c	0	c	d
c	0	a	b	0	a
d	0	0	0	0	0

Then $(\tilde{A}, *, 0)$ is a T-Algebra. Define DFS Ω in $\tilde{A}$ by $\Omega(0) = 0.9$,

$\Omega(a) = 0.7, \Omega(b) = 0.8, \Omega(c) = 0.6$ and $\Omega(d) = 0.5$.

$\Rightarrow$ Then Ω is a $NDFTI$ of $\tilde{A}$.

Remark: 3.2

Let $NDFTI$ Ω of $\tilde{A}$ if and only if $\Omega(0) = 1$.

Theorem: 3.3

Let any $DFTI$ Ω of $\tilde{A}$, we can generate the $NDFTI$ of $\tilde{A} \subset \Omega$.

Proof:

Let Ω be a $DFTI$ of $\tilde{A}$.

Define a DFS Ω^n of $\tilde{A}$ as $\Omega^n(\tilde{a}) = \Omega(\tilde{a}) + \Omega^c(0), \forall \tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$.

Let $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{A}$

$$(i) \quad \Omega^n(0) = \Omega(0) + \Omega^c(0) \leq \Omega(\tilde{a}) + \Omega^c(0) = \Omega^n(\tilde{a})$$

$$\Rightarrow \Omega^n(0) \leq \Omega^n(\tilde{a})$$

$$(ii) \quad \Omega^n(\tilde{a} * \tilde{c}) = \Omega((\tilde{a} * \tilde{b}) * \tilde{c}) + \Omega^c(0) \leq \max\{\Omega((\tilde{a} * \tilde{b}) * \tilde{c}), \Omega(\tilde{b})\} + \Omega^c(0)$$

$$= \max\{[\Omega((\tilde{a} * \tilde{b}) * \tilde{c}) + \Omega^c(0)], [\Omega(\tilde{b}) + \Omega^c(0)]\}$$

$$= \max\{\Omega^n((\tilde{a} * \tilde{b}) * \tilde{c}), \Omega^n(\tilde{b})\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Omega^n(\tilde{a} * \tilde{c}) \leq \max\{\Omega^n((\tilde{a} * \tilde{b}) * \tilde{c}), \Omega^n(\tilde{b})\}$$

$$\text{Also } \Omega^n(0) = \Omega(0) + \Omega^c(0) = \Omega(0) + 1 - \Omega(0) = 1.$$

$$\therefore \Omega^n \text{ is a } NDFTI \text{ of } \tilde{A}.$$

Lemma: 3.4

Let Ω^n be an *DFS* in $\tilde{A}$ defined by $\Omega^n(\tilde{a}) = \Omega(\theta) + \Omega^c(0)$, $\forall \tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$. If $\exists$ element $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$ in s.t $\Omega^n(\tilde{a}) = 0$, then $\Omega(\tilde{a}) = 0$.

Lemma: 3.5

Let Ω be *DFTI* of $\tilde{A}$. Then,

- (i) if Ω itself is *DFTI* then $\Omega(\tilde{a}) = \Omega^n(\tilde{a})$.
- (ii) if Ω is a *DFTI* of X then $(\Omega^n(\tilde{a}))^n = \Omega^n(\tilde{a})$.

Proposition: 3.6

Let Ω *DFTI* $\tilde{A}$. If Ω contains the *NDFTI* of $\tilde{A}$, generated by any other *DFTI* of $\tilde{A}$ then Ω is normal .

Proof:

Let δ be a *DFTI* of $\tilde{A}$.

by the. 3.3, let δ^n is a *DFTI* of $\tilde{A}$

$$\therefore \delta^n(0) = 1 \text{ (lem. 3.4)}$$

Let Ω be a *DFTI* of $\tilde{A}$ s.t $\delta^n \subset \Omega$.

$$\Rightarrow \Omega(\tilde{a}) \leq \delta^n(\tilde{a}), \forall \tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$$

$$\text{Put } \tilde{a} = 0 \Rightarrow \Omega(0) \leq \delta^n(0) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \Omega(0) \leq 1 \therefore \Omega \text{ is normal}$$

Theorem: 3.7

A set $N_\Omega = \{\tilde{a} \in X / \Omega(\tilde{a}) = \Omega(0)\}$. Let Ω and δ be *NDFTI* of $\tilde{A}$. If $\Omega \subset \delta$ then $N_\Omega \subset N_\delta$.

Proof:

Let $\tilde{a} \in N_\Omega$

$$\text{Since } \Omega \subset \delta, \delta(\tilde{a}) \leq \Omega(\tilde{a}) = \Omega(0) = 1 = \delta(0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{a} \in N_\delta$$

$$\therefore N_\Omega \subset N_\delta$$

Theorem: 3.8

Let Ω be the *DFTI* of $\tilde{A}$. Let $f: [0, \Omega(0)] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be an increasing function. Let's define a *DFS* $\Omega_f: \tilde{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\Omega_f(\tilde{a}) = f(\Omega(\tilde{a}))$, $\forall \tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$. Therefore

- (i) If Ω_f is a *DFTI* of $\tilde{A}$
- (ii) If $f(\Omega(0)) = 1$, then Ω_f is normal
- (iii) If $f(t) \leq t$, $\forall t \in [0, \Omega(0)]$ then $\Omega \subset \Omega_f$.

Proof:

Let Ω be *DFTI* of $\tilde{A}$.

Let $f: [0, \Omega(0)] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be an increasing function.

Define a *DFS* $\Omega_f: \tilde{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\Omega_f(\tilde{a}) = f(\Omega(\tilde{a})), \forall \tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$.

$$(i) \quad (a) \quad \Omega_f(0) = f(\Omega(0)) \leq f(\Omega(\tilde{a})) = \Omega_f(\tilde{a}) \\ \Rightarrow \Omega_f(0) \leq \Omega_f(\tilde{a})$$

$$(b) \quad \Omega_f(\tilde{a} * \tilde{c}) = f(\Omega(\tilde{a} * \tilde{c})) \leq f\{\max\{\Omega((\tilde{a} * \tilde{b}) * \tilde{c}), \Omega(\tilde{b})\}\} \\ = \max\{f(\Omega(\tilde{a} * \tilde{b}) * \tilde{c}), f(\Omega(\tilde{b}))\} \\ = \max\{\Omega_f((\tilde{a} * \tilde{b}) * \tilde{c}), \Omega_f(\tilde{b})\}$$

$\Rightarrow \Omega_f$ is a *FTI*.

$$(ii) \quad \text{If } f(\Omega(0)) = 1 \Rightarrow \Omega_f(0) = 1$$

$\Rightarrow \Omega_f$ is normal

$$(iii) \quad \text{Let } f(t) \leq t, \forall t \in [0, \Omega(0)]$$

$$\text{Then } \Omega_f(\tilde{a}) = f(\Omega(\tilde{a})) \leq \Omega(\tilde{a}), \forall \tilde{a} \in \tilde{A} \therefore \Omega \subseteq \Omega_g.$$

Definition: 3.9

Let $\vartheta = \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau}\right)$ is the *NDFTI* of $\tilde{A}$ then the ϑ is called a Poset according to the principle of inclusion.

Definition: 3.10

Let $s > 0$ be a real number. If $\beta \in [0, 1]$, β^s be the positive root in case $s < 1$. We define $\Omega^s: K \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\Omega^s(\tilde{a}) = (\Omega(\tilde{a}))^s, \forall \tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$.

Theorem: 3.11

Let, $\Omega \in \vartheta$ be a constant s.t it is a maximum element of $(\vartheta, \subseteq)$. Then, Ω only accept the values of 0 & 1.

Proof: Let, $\Omega \in \vartheta$. Then $\Omega(0) = 1$,

Let, $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$ s.t $\Omega(\tilde{a}) \neq 1$.

We claim that $\Omega(0) = 0$. If not, then $\exists b \in X$ s.t $0 < \Omega(b) < 1$.

We now define a *DFS*, $\pi: \tilde{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\pi(\tilde{a}) = \frac{1}{2}\{\Omega(\tilde{a}) + \Omega(b)\}, \forall \tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$.

Then Ω obviously is well defined

$$\text{Now, (i)} \quad \pi(0) = \frac{1}{2}\{\Omega(0) + \Omega(b)\} \\ \leq \frac{1}{2}\{\Omega(\tilde{a}) + \Omega(b)\} = \pi(\tilde{a}) \\ \Rightarrow \pi(0) \leq \pi(\tilde{a})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(ii) \quad \pi(\tilde{a} * \hat{c}) &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \Omega(\tilde{a} * \hat{c}) + \Omega(b) \} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \{ \max \{ \Omega((\tilde{a} * \hat{c}) * \hat{c}), \Omega(b) \} + \Omega(b) \} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \{ \max \{ \{ \Omega((\tilde{a} * \hat{c}) * \hat{c}) + \Omega(b) \}, \{ \Omega(b) + \Omega(b) \} \} \} \\
&= \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \{ \Omega((\tilde{a} * \hat{c}) * \hat{c}) + \Omega(b) \}, \frac{1}{2} \{ \Omega(b) + \Omega(b) \} \right\} \\
&= \max \{ \pi((\tilde{a} * \hat{c}) * \hat{c}), \pi(b) \} \\
&\Rightarrow \pi(\tilde{a} * \hat{c}) \leq \max \{ \pi((\tilde{a} * \hat{c}) * \hat{c}), \pi(b) \}. \\
&\Rightarrow \pi \text{ is a } FTI. \\
&\Rightarrow \pi^n \text{ is a } NFTI.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^n(\tilde{a}) &= \pi(\tilde{a}) + \pi^c(0) \\
&= \pi(\tilde{a}) + (1 - \pi(0)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \{ \pi(\tilde{a}) + \pi(b) \} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \{ \pi(0) + \pi(b) \} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \Omega(\tilde{a}) + 1 - \frac{1}{2} (1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \Omega(\tilde{a}) + \frac{1}{2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (\Omega(\tilde{a}) + 1) \leq \Omega(\tilde{a}), \forall \tilde{a} \in X \\
\therefore \pi^n(0) &= \frac{1}{2} (\Omega(0) + 1) = 1
\end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \pi^n$ is normal $\Rightarrow \pi^n \in \vartheta$

Also $\pi^n(\tilde{a}) < \Omega(\tilde{a}), \forall \tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$.

The contradiction the fact that of Ω is normal.

$\Rightarrow \Omega(\tilde{a}) = 0, \forall \tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$.

Theorem: 3.12

Let Ω is a *DFTI* of X , then so is Ω^s and $N_{\Omega^s} = N_{\Omega}$.

Proof:

Let $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \tilde{A}$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) \quad \Omega^s(0) &= (\Omega(0))^s \\
&\leq (\Omega(\tilde{a}))^s \\
&= \Omega^s(\tilde{a}).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\Rightarrow \quad \Omega^s(0) \geq \Omega^s(\tilde{a}) \\
 \text{(ii)} \quad &\Omega^s(\tilde{a} * \hat{c}) = (\Omega(\tilde{a} * \hat{c}))^s \\
 &\leq (\max\{\Omega((\tilde{a} * \hat{b}) * \hat{c}), \Omega(\hat{b})\})^s \\
 &= \max\left\{(\Omega((\tilde{a} * \hat{b}) * \hat{c}))^s, (\Omega(\hat{b}))^s\right\} \\
 &= \max\{\Omega^s((\tilde{a} * \hat{b}) * \hat{c}), \Omega^s(\hat{b})\} \\
 &\Rightarrow \Omega^s(\tilde{a} * \hat{c}) \leq \max\{\Omega^s((\tilde{a} * \hat{b}) * \hat{c}), \Omega^s(\hat{b})\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \Omega^s$ is a *DFTI*.

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_{\Omega}^s &= \{\tilde{a} \in J / \Omega^s(\tilde{a}) = \Omega^s(0)\} \\
 &= \{\tilde{a} \in J / \Omega(\tilde{a}) = \Omega(0)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow N_{\Omega}^s = N_{\Omega}.$$

III. Conclusion

Hence we have to this paper discussed about the *NDFTI* and Poset under the set of inclusion principle over T-Algebra. This idea can be further extended to normalization of intuitionistic FS, normalization of interval valued FS, and normalization of bipolar FSs for new findings in future studies.

References

- [1] M. Abu Ayub Ansari and M. Chandramouleeswaran, T-Fuzzy β –subalgebras of β –algebras, International J. of Maths. Sci. and Engg. Appls. (IJMSEA), 8 (2014), no. 1, 177-187.
- [2] A. Prasanna, M. Premkumar and A. Solairaju, Normalization of fuzzy B-Ideals in B-Algebra, International Journal of Matheamtics Trends and Technology, 53 (2018), no.4, 277-283.
- [3] A. Prasanna, M. Premkumar and S. Ismail Mohideen, Normalization of fuzzy BG-Ideals in BG-Algebra, International Journal of Matheamtics Trends and Technology, 53 (2018), no.4, 270-276.
- [4] PriyaT and Ramachandran T, Normalization of Fuzzy PS-ideals and Fuzzy PS-sub algebras of PS-algebras, Research journal's Journal of Mathematics, 1,4(2014),1-12.
- [5] K. Rajam and M. Chadramouleeswaran, L-Fuzzy T-ideals in β –Algebras, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 9 (2015), no. 145, 7221-7228. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ams.2015.59581>.
- [6] P.M. Sithar Selvam and K.T. Nagalakshmi, A study on Normalization of fuzy PMS-Algebra, International journal of Trend in Reesrach and Development, 3 (2016), no.6, 49-55.
- [7] A. Tamilarasi and K. Megalai, Fuzzy Subalgebras and fuzzy T-ideals in TM-Algebras, Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 7 (2011), no. 2, 107-111. <https://doi.org/10.3844/jmssp.2011.107.111>.
- [8] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. and Control, 8 (1965), 338-353. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0019-9958\(65\)90241-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0019-9958(65)90241-x).