ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 31 No. 7s (2024)

# Common Fixed Point Results for Contractive Mappings in Bicomplex Valued B-Metric Spaces

## Md. Azizul Hoque

Department of Mathematics, Sreegopal Banerjee College, Mogra, Dist-Hooghly, PIN- 712148, West Bengal, India. Email: mhoque3@gmail.com

Article History: Abstract:

**Received:** 01-06-2024

**Revised:** 03-07-2024

Accepted: 29-07-2024

In this article, we extend and generalised the results of Ahmad et.al., and to establish the existence and uniqueness of common fixed points for pair of self mappings on a closed ball in bicomplex valued b-metric space. Our results generalised well known results in the literature.

**Keywords:** Common fixed point, bicomplex valued metric space.

2010 MSC:47H09;47H10;30G35;46N9;54H25.

#### 1. Introduction

The theory of bicomplex numbers have been studied for quite a long time, which probably began with the works [3,4,5]. The algebra of bicomplex numbers are widely used in the literature as it becomes a viable commutative alternative [4,5] to the non commutative skew field of quaternions (both are four-dimensional and generalization of complex numbers). The commutativity in the former is gained at the cost of the fact that the ring of these numbers contains zero-divisors and so can not form a field .It is well known that the fixed point theory plays a very important role in theory and applications, in particular, whose importance comes from finding roots of algebraic equation and numerical analysis. Banach contraction principle in [15] gives appropriate and simple conditions to establish the existence and uniqueness of a solution of an operator equation T x = x. Later, a number of papers were devoted to the improvement and generalization of that result. Most of these results deal with the generalizations of the different contractive conditions in metric spaces [7,10,11,17]. There have been a number of generalizations of metric spaces such as vector valued metric spaces, G - metric spaces, pseudometric spaces, fuzzy metric spaces, D -metric spaces, cone metric spaces, and modular metric spaces. Bakhtin [14] introduced the notion of b-metric space which is a generalized form of metric spaces. Azam et al. [2,9] introduced the notion of complex-valued metric space which is a generalization of classical metric space and established sufficient conditions for the existence of common fixed points of a pair of mappings satisfying a contractive condition. The concept of complex valued b-metric spaces was introduced in 2013 by Rao et al. [18]. In sequel, Mukheimer [16] proved some common fixed point theorems in complex valued b-metric spaces. Recently Junesang Choi et al. [1] introduced the notion of bi-complex valued metric space which is a generalization of classical metric space and proved certain common fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible mappings satisfying (CLRg) (or (E.A)) property in the bicomplex valued metric spaces. In 2019 Jebril et.al.[8] proved some important theorems on common fixed point theorems under rational contractions for pair of mappings in bicomplex valued metric spaces. In this

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 31 No. 7s (2024)

article, we extend and generalised the results of Ahmad et.al.[13], Dubey et al. [17] and Rao et al. [18] and to establish the existence and uniqueness of common fixed points for pair of self mappings on a closed ball in bicomplex valued b-metric space which extends a recent results of, I.Beg, S.K.Datta and D.Pal [6], Md. A.Hoque [12] and several others.Here in the following, the set of bicomplex numbers ,complex numbers and real numbers are denoted by  $\mathbb{C}_2$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathbb{C}_0$  respectively.  $\mathbb{C}_2$  becomes a real commutative algebra with the identity

 $1=1+i_1$ .  $0+i_2$ .  $0+i_1i_2$ . 0, the set of bicomplex number is defined as

$$\mathbb{C}_2 = \{\xi = a_0 + a_1 i_1 + i_2. \ a_2 + i_1 i_2. \ a_3 \colon a_0, a_1, \ a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{C}_0 \ and \ {i_1}^2 = {i_2}^2 = -1\}.$$

**Definition 1:**Let  $\xi_1=u_1+i_2\ u_2\in\mathbb{C}_2$  and  $\xi_2=v_1+i_2\ v_2\in\mathbb{C}_2$  define partial order relation  $\lesssim_{i_2}$  on  $\mathbb{C}_2$  as follows (see, e.g. [6]):  $\xi_1\lesssim_{i_2}\xi_2$  if and only if  $u_1\lesssim v_1$  and  $u_2\lesssim v_2$  .....(1)

where  $\lesssim$  is the partial order on  $\mathbb{C}_1$  (see,e.g [2]).

Thus  $\xi_1 \lesssim_{i_2} \xi_2$  if any one of the following properties holds:

 $[bo_1]$  if  $u_1 = v_1$  and  $u_2 = v_2$ ;

 $[bo_2]$  if  $u_1 < v_1$  and  $u_2 = v_2$ ;

 $[bo_3]$  if  $u_1 = v_1$  and  $u_2 < v_2$ ;

 $[bo_4]$  if  $u_1 < v_1$  and  $u_2 < v_2$ .

We write  $\xi_1 \nleq_{i_2} \xi_2$  if  $\xi_1 \lesssim_{i_2} \xi_2$  and  $\xi_1 \neq \xi_2$  i.e , one of  $[bo_2]$ ,  $[bo_3]$  and  $[bo_4]$  is satisfied and we write  $\xi_1 \prec_{i_2} \xi_2$  if only  $[bo_4]$  is satisfied.

The norm  $||.||: \mathbb{C}_2 \to \mathbb{C}_0^+$  (the set of all non negative real numbers) of a bicomplex number is defined as  $||\xi|| = \mathbb{C}_1 \sqrt{a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2}$ .....(2)

For any two bicomplex numbers  $\xi_1$ ,  $\xi_2 \in \mathbb{C}_2$ , one can easily verify that

$$0 \lesssim_{i_2} \xi_1 \lesssim_{i_2} \xi_2 \Rightarrow ||\xi_1|| \leq ||\xi_2||; ||\xi_1 + |\xi_2|| \leq ||\xi_1|| + ||\xi_2||; ||\xi_1, \xi_2|| \leq \sqrt{2} ||\xi_1|| \cdot ||\xi_2|| \text{ and } ||a| \xi|| \leq a||\xi|| \text{ where } a \in \mathbb{C}_0^+.$$

## **Bicomplex metric space:**

Choi et al. [1] define the bicomplex valued metric space as:

**Definition 2**:Let X be a non empty set. Suppose the mapping d:  $X \times X \to \mathbb{C}_2$  satisfies the following conditions:

- [1]  $0 \lesssim_{i_2} d(x,y)$  for all  $x,y \in X$ ;
- [2] d(x,y)=0 if and only if x=y;
- [3] d(x,y)=d(y,x) for all  $x,y \in X$ ;
- [4]  $d(x,y) \lesssim_{i_2} d(x,z) + d(z,y)$  for all  $x,y \in X$ .

Then (X,d) is called a bicomplex valued metric space.

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 31 No. 7s (2024)

**Definition 3**:[1] A sequence in a nonempty set X is a function x:  $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}_2$ , which is expressed by its range set  $\{x_n\}$  where  $x(n)=x_n$  ( $n\in\mathbb{N}$ ). Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in bicomplex valued metric space (X,d). The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is said to converge to  $x\in X$  if and only if for any  $0<_{i_2}\mathcal{E}\in\mathbb{C}_2$ , there exists  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  depending on  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $d(x_n,x)<_{i_2}\mathcal{E}$  as n>N. A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a bicomplex valued metric space (X,d) is said to be Cauchy sequence if and only if for any  $0<_{i_2}\mathcal{E}\in\mathbb{C}_2$ , there exists  $N\in\mathbb{N}$  depending on  $\mathcal{E}$  such that  $d(x_n,x_m)<_{i_2}\mathcal{E}$  as n,m>N. A bicomplex valued metric space is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X converges in X.

**Definition 4**. Let X be a nonempty set and let  $s \ge 1$  be a given real number. A function

d:  $X \times X \to \mathbb{C}_2$  is called a bicomplex valued b -metric on X if for all x, y,  $z \in X$  the following conditions are satisfied: (i)  $0 \leq_{i_2} (x, y)$  and d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y;

(ii)d 
$$(x, y) = d(y, x)$$
;

(iii) 
$$d(x, y) \lesssim_{i_2} s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)].$$

The pair (X, d) is called a complex valued b -metric space.

Example: If X = [0, 1], define the mapping d:  $X \times X \to \mathbb{C}_2$  by

 $d(x, y) = (1 + i_1 + i_2 + i_1 i_2)|x - y|^2$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then (X, d) is bicomplex valued b-metric space with s = 2.

#### 2. Main Results

**Theorem 1**: Let (X,d) be a complete bicomplex valued metric space with coefficient  $s \ge 1$  and  $x_0 \in X$ .  $0 < r \in \mathbb{C}$  and A,B,C,D and E are non negative reals such that

$$A+\sqrt{2}B+\sqrt{2}C+\sqrt{2}sD+\sqrt{2}sE<1$$
. Let S,T:X $\rightarrow$ X are mapping satisfying

$$d(Sx,Ty) \lesssim_{i_2} Ad(x,y) + B \frac{d(x,Sx)d(y,Ty)}{1+d(x,y)} + C \frac{d(y,Sx)d(x,Ty)}{1+d(x,y)} + D \frac{d(x,Sx)d(x,Ty)}{1+d(x,y)} + E \frac{d(y,Sx)d(y,Ty)}{1+d(x,y)}$$
(1.1)

for all  $x,y \in \overline{B(x_0,r)}$ . If  $||d(x_0,Sx_0)|| \lesssim_{i_2} (1-\lambda)|r|$  where  $\lambda = \max\{\frac{A+\sqrt{2}sD}{1-B-\sqrt{2}sD}, \frac{A+\sqrt{2}sE}{1-\sqrt{2}B-\sqrt{2}sE}\}$ , (1.2)

Then there exist a unique point  $u \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that u=Su=Tu.

Proof: Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point in X and define  $x_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1}$  where n=0,1,2.... We will prove that  $x_n \in \overline{B(x_0,r)}$  for all n $\in \mathbb{N}$  by mathematical induction. Using inequality (1.2) and the fact that  $\lambda = \max\{\frac{A+\sqrt{2}sD}{1-B-\sqrt{2}sD}, \frac{A+\sqrt{2}sE}{1-\sqrt{2}B-\sqrt{2}sE}\} < 1$  we have

 $\|d(x_0, Sx_0)\| \lesssim_{i_2} |r|$ . It implies that  $x_1 \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$ . Let  $x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

If k=2n+1 where  $n=0,1,2...,\frac{k-1}{2}$  or k=2n+2 where  $n=0,1,2,...,\frac{k-2}{2}$ , we obtain by using inequality (1.1)

$$\begin{split} d(\mathbf{x}_{2n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+2}) &= d(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}_{2n}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}_{2n+1}) \\ &\lesssim_{i_2} A d(\mathbf{x}_{2n}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+1}) + B \frac{d(\mathbf{x}_{2n+1}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}_{2n+1}) d(\mathbf{x}_{2n}, \mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}_{2n})}{1 + d(\mathbf{x}_{2n}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+1})} + C \frac{d(\mathbf{x}_{2n+1}, \mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}_{2n}) d(\mathbf{x}_{2n}, \mathbf{T}\mathbf{x}_{2n+1})}{1 + d(\mathbf{x}_{2n}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+1})} \end{split}$$

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 31 No. 7s (2024)

$$+D\frac{d(x_{2n},Tx_{2n+1})d(x_{2n},Sx_{2n})}{1+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})} + E\frac{d(x_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1})d(x_{2n+1},Sx_{2n})}{1+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})}$$

$$\lesssim_{i_2} A d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1}) + B\frac{d(x_{2n+1},x_{2n+2})d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})}{1+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})} + D\frac{d(x_{2n},x_{2n+2})d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})}{1+d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})}$$

This implies

$$\|d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\| \le A \|d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})\|$$

$$+\sqrt{2}B\frac{\|\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{2n+1},\mathbf{x}_{2n+2})\|\|\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{2n},\mathbf{x}_{2n+1})\|}{\|\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{2n},\mathbf{x}_{2n+1})\|}+\sqrt{2}D\frac{\|\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{2n},\mathbf{x}_{2n+2})\|\|\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{2n},\mathbf{x}_{2n+1})\|}{\|\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{2n},\mathbf{x}_{2n+1})\|}$$

Since 
$$||1 + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})|| > ||d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})||$$

Hence 
$$\|d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\| \le A\|d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})\| + \sqrt{2}B\|d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\| + \sqrt{2}D\|d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})\|$$

$$\leq A \| \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{2n}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+1}) \| + \sqrt{2}B \| \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{2n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+2}) \|$$
$$+ \sqrt{2}sD\{ \| \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{2n}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+1}) \| + \| \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{2n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+2}) \|$$

$$(1-\sqrt{2}B - \sqrt{2}sD)\|\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{2n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+2})\| \le (A + \sqrt{2}sD)\|\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{2n}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+1})\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|d(\mathbf{x}_{2n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+2})\| \le \frac{A + \sqrt{2}sD}{1 - \sqrt{2}B - \sqrt{2}sD} \|d(\mathbf{x}_{2n}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+1})\|$$
(1.3)

Similarly we get,

$$\|d(\mathbf{x}_{2n+2}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+3})\| \le \frac{A + \sqrt{2}sE}{1 - \sqrt{2}B - \sqrt{2}sE} \|d(\mathbf{x}_{2n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+2})\|$$
(1.4)

Putting  $\lambda = \max\{\frac{A+\sqrt{2}sD}{1-B-\sqrt{2}sD}, \frac{A+\sqrt{2}sE}{1-\sqrt{2}B-\sqrt{2}sE}\}$ , we obtain

$$\|d(x_k, x_{k+1})\| \le \lambda^k \|d(x_0, x_1)\| \tag{1.5}$$

For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Gives 
$$\mathbf{x}_{k+1} \in \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}_0, r)$$
 hence  $\mathbf{x}_n \in \overline{\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}_0, r)} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$   
and  $\|\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_{n+1})\| \le \lambda^n \|\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1\| \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  (1.6)

without loss of generality, we take m > n, then

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 31 No. 7s (2024)

$$\begin{split} \| \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{m}}) \| & \leq s \| \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}+1}) \| + s \| \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}+1},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{m}}) \| \\ & \leq s \| \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}+1}) \| + s^2 \| d(x_{n+1},x_{n+2}\| + s^2 \| d(x_{n+2},x_{m}\| \\ & \leq s \| \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}+1}) \| + s^2 \| d(x_{n+1},x_{n+2}\| + \dots + s^{m-n-1} \| d(x_{m-2},x_{m-1}\| \\ & + s^{m-n} \| d(x_{m-1},x_{m}\| + s^{m-$$

By using (1.6) we get,

$$\begin{split} \| \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{n}}, \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{m}}) \| & \leq s \lambda^{n} \| d(x_{0}, x_{1} \| + s^{2} \lambda^{n+1} \| d(x_{0}, x_{1} \| \\ & + s^{3} \lambda^{n+2} \| d(x_{0}, x_{1} \| + \dots + s^{m-n-1} \lambda^{m-2} \| d(x_{0}, x_{1} \| + s^{m-n} \lambda^{m-1} \| d(x_{0}, x_{1} \| \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} s^{i} \lambda^{n+i-1} \| d(x_{0}, x_{1} \| \\ & \leq \frac{s \lambda^{n}}{1-\lambda s} \| d(x_{0}, x_{1} \| \to 0 \text{ as m,n} \to \infty \end{split}$$

This implies that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  as a cauchy sequence in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)}$ . Therefore there exists a point  $u \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  with  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = u$ .

We prove that u=Su. Let us consider

$$\begin{split} \|d(u,Su)\| &\leq s \|d(u,x_{2n+2})\| + s \|d(x_{2n+2},Su)\| \\ &\leq s \|d(u,x_{2n+2})\| + s \|d(Tx_{2n+1},Su)\| \\ &\leq s \|d(u,x_{2n+2})\| + s \|d(Su,Tx_{2n+1})\| \\ &\leq s \|d(u,x_{2n+2})\| + As \|d(x_{2n+1},u)\| + sB\sqrt{2} \frac{\|d(x_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1})\|\|d(u,Su)\|}{\|1+d(u,x_{2n+1})\|} \\ &+ sC\sqrt{2} \frac{\|d(x_{2n+1},Su)\|\|d(u,Tx_{2n+1})\|}{\|1+d(x_{2n+1},Su)\|} + sD\sqrt{2} \frac{\|d(u,Su)\|\|d(u,Tx_{2n+1})\|}{\|1+d(u,x_{2n+1})\|} + sE\sqrt{2} \frac{\|d(x_{2n+1},Tx_{2n+1})\|\|d(x_{2n+1},Su)\|}{\|1+d(u,x_{2n+1})\|} \end{split}$$

Notice that,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|d(u,x_{2n+2})\| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|d(x_{2n+1},u)\| + \lim_{n\to\infty} \|d(x_{2n+1},Su)\| = 0$$

Hence ||d(u, Su)|| = 0 that is u=Su

Similarly, u=Tu

For uniqueness assume that  $u^*$  in  $\overline{B(x_0,r)}$  is a another common fixed point of S and T. Then

$$\|d(u,u^*)\| \leq \|d(Su,Tu^*)\|$$

$$\leq A\|d(u,u^*)\| + B\sqrt{2} \frac{\|d(u,Su)\|\|d(u^*,Tu^*)\|}{\|1+d(u,u^*)\|} + C\sqrt{2} \frac{\|d(u^*,Su)\|\|d(u,Tu^*)\|}{\|1+d(u,u^*)\|} + D\sqrt{2} \frac{\|d(u,Su)\|\|d(u,Tu^*)\|}{\|1+d(u,u^*)\|} + E \frac{\|d(u^*,Su)\|\|d(u^*,Tu^*)\|}{\|1+d(u,u^*)\|} \\ \leq A\|d(u,u^*)\| + C\sqrt{2}\|d(u^*,u)\| \qquad \text{[as } \|1+d(u,u^*)\| > \|d(u,u^*)\| \text{]}$$

$$\therefore \ \|d(u,u^*)\| \leq (A+C\sqrt{2})\|d(u,u^*)\|$$

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 31 No. 7s (2024)

This is a contradiction because  $(A + C\sqrt{2}) < 1$ . Hence  $u = u^*$ . Therefore u is a unique common fixed point of T and S.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Remark 1.1**: The result of theorem 1.1 remains true if the condition (1.2) is replaced by the condition  $||d(x_0, Tx_0)|| \le (1 - \lambda)|r|$ .

**Corollary 1.1**: Let (X,d) be a complete bi-complex valued b-metric space with coefficient  $s \ge 1$  and degenerated 1+d(x,y),  $||1+d(x,y)|| \ne 0$  and  $x_0 \in X$ . Let  $0 \le r \in \mathbb{C}$  and A,B,C,D are non negative reals such that  $A+\sqrt{2}B+\sqrt{2}C+\sqrt{2}sD < 1$ . Let S,T:X $\to X$  are mappings satisfying:

$$d(Sx,Ty) \lesssim_{i_2} Ad(x,y) + B \frac{d(x,Sx)d(y,Ty)}{1 + d(x,y)} + C \frac{d(y,Sx)d(x,Ty)}{1 + d(x,y)} + D \frac{d(x,Sx)d(x,Ty)}{1 + d(x,y)}$$

for all 
$$x,y \in \overline{B(x_0,r)}$$
. If  $||d(x_0,Sx_0)|| \le (1-\lambda)|r|$ , where  $\lambda = \max\{\frac{A+\sqrt{2}sD}{1-\sqrt{2}B-\sqrt{2}sD},\frac{A}{1-\sqrt{2}B}\}$ ,

then there exist a unique point  $u \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that u=Su=Tu.

Proof: We can prove this result by applying theorem 1.1 by setting E=0.

**Corollary 1.2:** Let (X,d) be a complete bi-complex valued b-metric space with coefficient  $s \ge 1$  and degenerated 1+d(x,y),  $||1+d(x,y)|| \ne 0$  and  $x_0 \in X$ . Let  $0 \le r \in \mathbb{C}$  and A,B,C and E are non negative reals such that  $A+\sqrt{2}B+\sqrt{2}C+\sqrt{2}sE<1$ . Let S,T:X $\to$ X are mappings satisfying:

$$d(Sx,Ty) \lesssim_{i_2} Ad(x,y) + B \frac{d(x,Sx)d(y,Ty)}{1 + d(x,y)} + C \frac{d(y,Sx)d(x,Ty)}{1 + d(x,y)} + E \frac{d(y,Sx)d(y,Ty)}{1 + d(x,y)}$$

for all 
$$x,y \in \overline{B(x_0,r)}$$
. If  $||d(x_0,Sx_0)|| \le (1-\lambda)|r|$ , where  $\lambda = \max\{\frac{A}{1-\sqrt{2}B},\frac{A+\sqrt{2}sE}{1-\sqrt{2}B-\sqrt{2}sE}\}$ ,

then there exist a unique point  $u \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that u=Su=Tu.

Proof: We can prove this result by applying theorem 1.1 by setting D=0.

**Corollary 1.3**: Let (X,d) be a complete bi-complex valued b-metric space with coefficient  $s \ge 1$  and degenerated 1+d(x,y),  $||1+d(x,y)|| \ne 0$  and  $x_0 \in X$ . Let  $0 \le r \in \mathbb{C}$  and A,B,C be three non negative reals such that  $A+\sqrt{2}B+\sqrt{2}C < 1$ . Let S,T:X $\to$ X are mappings satisfying:

$$\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Sx},\mathrm{Ty}) \lesssim_{i_2} \mathrm{Ad}(\mathrm{x},\mathrm{y}) + \mathrm{B}\frac{d(x,Sx)d(y,Ty)}{1+d(x,y)} + C\frac{d(y,Sx)d(x,Ty)}{1+d(x,y)}$$

for all  $x,y \in \overline{B(x_0,r)}$ . If  $||d(x_0,Sx_0)|| \le (1-\lambda)|r|$ , where  $\lambda = \frac{A}{1-\sqrt{2}B}$  then there exist a unique point  $u \in \overline{B(x_0,r)}$  such that u=Su=Tu.

Proof: We can prove this result by applying corollary 1.2 by setting E=0.

**Corollary 1.4**: Let (X,d) be a complete bi-complex valued b-metric space with coefficient  $s \ge 1$  and degenerated 1+d(x,y),  $||1+d(x,y)|| \ne 0$  and  $x_0 \in X$ . Let  $0 \le r \in \mathbb{C}$  and A,B are non negative reals such that  $A+\sqrt{2}B < 1$ . Let  $S,T:X\to X$  are mappings satisfying:

$$d(Sx,Ty) \lesssim_{i_2} Ad(x,y) + B\frac{d(x,Sx)d(y,Ty)}{1+d(x,y)} \quad \text{for all } x,y \in \overline{B(x_0,r)}. \text{ If } ||d(x_0,Sx_0)|| \leq (1-\lambda)|r|,$$

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 31 No. 7s (2024)

where  $\lambda = \frac{A}{1 - \sqrt{2}B}$  then there exist a unique point  $u \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that u = Su = Tu.

Proof: We can prove this result by applying corollary 1.3 by setting C=0.Our result is the extension of theorem (3.1) of [6] to the closed ball in complex valued b-metric space.

**Corollary 1.5:** Let (X,d) be a complete bicomplex valued metric space with coefficient  $s \ge 1$  and  $x_0 \in X$ .  $0 \le r \in \mathbb{C}$  and A,B,C,D and E are non negative reals such that

 $A+\sqrt{2}B+\sqrt{2}C+\sqrt{2}sD+\sqrt{2}sE<1$ . Let T:X $\rightarrow$ X are mapping satisfying

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}(T^n \mathbf{x}, T^n \mathbf{y}) \lesssim_{i_2} \mathrm{Ad}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + \mathrm{B} \frac{d(x, T^n x) d(y, T^n y)}{1 + d(x, y)} + C \frac{d(y, T^n x) d(x, T^n y)}{1 + d(x, y)} \\ + D \frac{d(x, T^n x) d(x, T^n y)}{1 + d(x, y)} + E \frac{d(y, T^n x) d(y, T^n y)}{1 + d(x, y)} \end{split}$$

for all 
$$x,y \in \overline{B(x_0,r)}$$
. If  $\|d(x_0,T^nx_0)\| \lesssim_{i_2} (1-\lambda)|r|$  where  $\lambda = \max\{\frac{A+\sqrt{2}sD}{1-B-\sqrt{2}sD},\frac{A+\sqrt{2}sE}{1-\sqrt{2}B-\sqrt{2}sE}\}$ ,

Then there exist a unique point  $u \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that u=Tu.

Proof: For some fixed n, we obtain  $u \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  such that  $T^n u = u$ .

The uniqueness follows from

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{d}(\mathrm{Tu,u}) &= d(TT^n u, T^n u) \lesssim_{i_2} \mathrm{A} \ d(Tu,u) + \ B \frac{d(Tu,T^n Tu) d(u,T^n u)}{1 + d(Tu,u)} + C \frac{d(u,T^n Tu) d(Tu,T^n u)}{1 + d(Tu,u)} \\ &+ D \frac{d(Tu,T^n Tu) d(Tu,T^n u)}{1 + d(Tu,u)} + E \frac{d(u,T^n Tu) d(u,T^n u)}{1 + d(Tu,u)} \\ &\lesssim_{i_2} \mathrm{A} \ d(Tu,u) + C \frac{d(u,T^n Tu) d(Tu,T^n u)}{1 + d(Tu,u)} + D \frac{d(Tu,T^n Tu) d(Tu,T^n u)}{1 + d(Tu,u)} \\ &\lesssim_{i_2} \mathrm{A} \ d(Tu,u) + C \frac{d(u,Tu) d(Tu,u)}{1 + d(Tu,u)} \end{split}$$

Taking norm in above, we get

$$||d(Tu,u)|| \le A||d(Tu,u)|| + C\sqrt{2} \frac{||d(u,Tu)|| ||d(Tu,u)||}{||1+d(Tu,u)||}$$
  

$$\le A||d(Tu,u)|| + C\sqrt{2}||d(Tu,u)|| \qquad \text{[as } ||1+d(u,u)|| > ||d(u,u)|| \text{]}$$

$$\therefore \|d(Tu, u)\| \le (A + C\sqrt{2})\|d(Tu, u)\|$$

This is a contradiction . So  $u=T^nu=Tu$  . Therefore the fixed point of T is unique.

**Theorem 2**: Let (X,d) be a bi-complex valued complete metric space and  $T,S:X \to X$  be a self map satisfying the following conditions  $d(S(x),T(y)) \lesssim_{i_2} \alpha \max[d(x,y),\frac{d(x,S(x))d(y,T(y))}{1+d(Sx,Ty)}]$  .... (2.1) for all  $x,y \in X$ , where  $\alpha$  is a real with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let  $x \in X$  be arbitrary. We define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X as follows  $x_{2k+1} = S(x_{2k})$  and  $x_{2k+2} = T(x_{2k+1})$  for k=0,1,2...

Then 
$$d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) = d(S(x_{2k}), T(x_{2k+1}))$$

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 31 No. 7s (2024)

$$\lesssim_{i_{2}} \alpha \max[d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}), \frac{d(x_{2k}, S(x_{2k}))d(x_{2k+1}, T(x_{2k+1}))}{1 + d(Sx_{2k}, Tx_{2k+1})}$$

$$\therefore d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \lesssim_{i_{2}} \alpha d(x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \tag{2.2}$$
Similarly, 
$$d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3}) = d(T(x_{2k+1}), S(x_{2k+2}))$$

$$= d(S(x_{2k+2}), T(x_{2k+1}))$$

$$\lesssim_{i_{2}} \alpha \max[d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1}), \frac{d(x_{2k+2}, S(x_{2k+2}))d(x_{2k+1}, T(x_{2k+1}))}{1 + d(Sx_{2k+2}, Tx_{2k+1})}]$$

$$\lesssim_{i_{2}} \alpha d(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+1}) = \alpha d(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \tag{2.3}$$

Then from (2.2) and (2.3), we get,

$$\mathrm{d}(x_{n+1},x_{n+2}) \lesssim_{i_2} \alpha \ \mathrm{d}(x_n,x_{n+1}) \lesssim_{i_2} \alpha^2 d(x_{n-1},x_n) \dots \lesssim_{i_2} \alpha^{n+1} \mathrm{d}(x_0,x_1) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now for all  $m,n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have,

$$d(x_n, x_{m+n}) \lesssim_{i_2} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d(x_{m+n-1}, x_{m+n})$$
  
$$\lesssim_{i_2} \alpha^n d(x_0, x_1) + \alpha^{n+1} d(x_0, x_1) + \dots + \alpha^{m+n-1} d(x_0, x_1)$$

$$\therefore d(x_n, x_{m+n}) \lesssim_{i_2} \alpha^n (1 + \alpha + \alpha^2 + \dots + \alpha^{m-1}) d(x_0, x_1)$$

$$\therefore \| d(x_n, x_{m+n}) \| \le \alpha^n \frac{1 - \alpha^m}{1 - \alpha} d(x_0, x_1) \to 0 \text{ as m,n} \to \infty$$

∴  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since X is complete there exist  $x \in X$  such that  $x_n \to x$  as  $x_n \to \infty$ .

Thus  $\lim_{n\to\infty} S(x_{2n}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} T(x_{2n+1}) = x$ .

Thus from (2.1), we have  $d(Sx,x) \lesssim_{i_2} d(Sx,Tx_{2k+1}) + d(Tx_{2k+1},x)$ 

$$\lesssim_{i_2} \alpha \max[d(x, x_{2k+1}), \frac{d(x, S(x))d(x_{2k+1}, T(x_{2k+1}))}{1 + d(Sx, Tx_{2k+1})}]) + d(x_{2k+2}, x)$$
  
$$\lesssim_{i_2} \alpha d(x, x_{2k+1}) + d(x_{2k+2}, x)$$

$$\therefore \| d(Sx, x) \| \le \alpha \| d(x, x_{2k+1}) \| + \| d(x_{2k+2}, x) \| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Thus 
$$\|d(Sx, x)\| = 0$$
. So  $S(x) = x$ .

similarly, We can prove T(x)=x.

Thus x is a common fixed point of S and T.

Now for uniqueness let us assume that  $x^* \in X$  is another fixed point of S and T.

Then 
$$d(x, x^*) = d(Sx, Tx) \lesssim_{i_2} \alpha \max[d(x, x^*), \frac{d(x, S(x))d(x^*, T(x^*))}{1 + d(Sx, Tx^*)}]$$
  
 $\lesssim_{i_2} \alpha d(x, x^*)$   
 $\Rightarrow (1-\alpha) d(x, x^*) \lesssim_{i_2} 0$ 

$$\Rightarrow (1-\alpha) \| \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x}, x^*) \| \le 0 \Rightarrow \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x}, x^*) = 0 \Rightarrow x = x^*.$$

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 31 No. 7s (2024)

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Theorem 3**: Let (X, d) be a complete bicomplex valued b -metric space with the coefficient  $s \ge 1$  and let S, T:  $X \to X$  be mappings satisfying

$$d(Sx,Ty) \lesssim_{i_2} Ad(x,y) + B \frac{d(x,Sx)d(y,Ty)}{d(x,Ty) + d(y,Sx) + d(x,y)}$$
 .....(3.1)

for all  $x, y \in X$ , such that  $x \neq y$ ,  $d(x, Ty) + d(y, Sx) + d(x, y) \neq 0$ , where A,B are nonnegative reals with A+ $\sqrt{2}s$  B < 1 or d(Sx, Ty) = 0 if d(x, Ty) + d(y, Sx) + d(x, y) = 0. Then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point in X and define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that  $x_{2n+1} = Sx_{2n}$  and  $x_{2n+2} = Tx_{2n+1}$  where n=0,1,2.... (3.2). Now, we show that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy.

Let  $x = x_{2n}$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$  in (3.2); we have

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) = d(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})$$

$$\lesssim_{i_2} A d(\mathbf{x}_{2n}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+1}) + B \frac{d(\mathbf{x}_{2n+1}, T\mathbf{x}_{2n+1}) d(\mathbf{x}_{2n}, S\mathbf{x}_{2n})}{d(\mathbf{x}_{2n}, T\mathbf{x}_{2n+1}) + d(\mathbf{x}_{2n+1}, S\mathbf{x}_{2n}) + d(\mathbf{x}_{2n}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+1})}$$
(3.3)

$$\lesssim_{i_2} A d(\mathbf{x}_{2n}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+1}) + B \frac{d(\mathbf{x}_{2n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+2})d(\mathbf{x}_{2n}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+1})}{d(\mathbf{x}_{2n}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+2}) + d(\mathbf{x}_{2n+1}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+1}) + d(\mathbf{x}_{2n}, \mathbf{x}_{2n+1})}$$

This implies that

 $\|d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\| \le A \|d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})\|$ 

$$+\sqrt{2}B\frac{\|\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{2n+1},\mathbf{x}_{2n+2})\|\|\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{2n},\mathbf{x}_{2n+1})\|}{\|\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{2n},\mathbf{x}_{2n+2})\|+\|\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}_{2n},\mathbf{x}_{2n+1})\|}$$
(3.4)

$$As \|d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\| \le s(\|d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n})\| + \|d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2})\|)$$
(3.5)

Therefore  $\|d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\| \le A\|d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})\| + \sqrt{2}sB\|d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})\|$ 

$$\leq (A + \sqrt{2}sB) \|d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})\|$$
 (3.6)

Similarly we get,

$$\|d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3})\| \le (A + \sqrt{2}sB) \|d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\|$$
(3.7)

Since  $(A + \sqrt{2}sB) < 1$ . Therefore with  $(A + \sqrt{2}sB) = \lambda < 1$ , and for all  $n \ge 0$ , and consequently, we have

$$\|d(x_{2n+1},x_{2n+2})\| \le \lambda \|d(x_{2n},x_{2n+1})\| \le \lambda^2 \|d(x_{2n-1},x_{2n})\| \le \dots \le \lambda^{2n+1} \|d(x_0,x_1)\| \quad (3.8)$$

That is, 
$$\|d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})\| \le \lambda \|d(x_n, x_{n+1})\| \le \lambda^2 \|d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\| \le \dots \le \lambda^{n+1} \|d(x_0, x_1)\|$$
 (3.9)

Thus, for any m > n,  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \| \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{m}}) \| &\leq s \| \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}+1}) \| + s \| \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}+1},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{m}}) \| \\ &\leq s \| \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}+1}) \| + s^2 \| d(x_{n+1},x_{n+2}\| + s^2 \| d(x_{n+2},x_{m}\| \\ &\leq s \| \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}+1}) \| + s^2 \| d(x_{n+1},x_{n+2}\| + \dots + s^{m-n-1} \| d(x_{m-2},x_{m-1}\| + x_{m-1}\| + x_{m-1}\| d(x_{m-1},x_{m-1}\| + x_{m-1}\| + x_{m-1}\| + x_{m-1}\| d(x_{m-1},x_{m-1}\| + x_{m-1}\| + x_{m-1}\| + x_{m-1}\| d(x_{m-1},x_{m-1}\| + x_{m-1}\| + x_{m-1}\| + x_{m-1}\| + x_{m-1}\| d(x_{m-1},x_{m-1}\| + x_{m-1}\| + x_{m-1}\|$$

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 31 No. 7s (2024)

$$+s^{m-n}\|d(x_{m-1},x_m\|$$
 (3.10)

By using (3.9) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \|d(\mathbf{x}_{n}, \mathbf{x}_{m})\| &\leq s\lambda^{n} \|d(x_{0}, x_{1}\| + s^{2}\lambda^{n+1} \|d(x_{0}, x_{1}\| \\ &+ s^{3}\lambda^{n+2} \|d(x_{0}, x_{1}\| + \dots + s^{m-n-1}\lambda^{m-2} \|d(x_{0}, x_{1}\| + s^{m-n}\lambda^{m-1} \|d(x_{0}, x_{1}\| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-n} s^{i}\lambda^{n+i-1} \|d(x_{0}, x_{1}\| \\ &\leq \frac{s\lambda^{n}}{1-\lambda s} \|d(x_{0}, x_{1}\| \to 0 \text{ as m,n} \to \infty \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.11)$$

This implies that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  as a cauchy sequence in X.Since X is complete, there exists a point  $u \in X$  with  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = u$ .

Assume not, then there exists 
$$v \in X$$
 such that  $||d(u, Su)|| = ||v|| > 0$  (3.12)

So by using the triangular inequality and (3.1), we get

$$v=d(u, Su) \lesssim_{i_{2}} s \ d(u, x_{2n+2}) + s \ d(x_{2n+2}, Su)$$

$$\lesssim_{i_{2}} s \ d(u, x_{2n+2}) + s \ d(Tx_{2n+1}, Su)$$

$$\lesssim_{i_{2}} s \ d(u, x_{2n+2}) + s \ Ad(u, x_{2n+1}) + sB \frac{d(u, Su)d(x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1})}{d(u, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, Su) + d(u, x_{2n+1})}$$
(3.13)

which implies that

$$||v|| = ||d(u, Su)||$$

$$\leq s \|d(u, x_{2n+2})\| + As \|d(u, x_{2n+1})\| + sB\sqrt{2} \frac{\|d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})\|\|d(u, Su)\|}{\|d(u, Tx_{2n+1})\| + \|d(x_{2n+1}, Su)\| + \|d(u, x_{2n+1})\|}$$

Taking limit as  $n \to \infty$ , we get  $||v|| = ||d(u, Su)|| \le 0$ , a contradiction with (3.12). So ||v|| = 0Hence ||d(u, Su)|| = 0 that is u=Su.

Similarly, we obtain u=Tu.

For uniqueness assume that  $u^*$  in X is another common fixed point of S and T. Then

$$d(u, u^*) = d(Su, Tu^*) \lesssim_{i_2} A d(u, u^*) + \frac{d(u, Su)d(u^*, Tu^*)}{d(u, Tu^*) + d(u^*, Su) + d(u, u^*)}$$

So that 
$$||d(u, u^*)|| = ||d(Su, Tu^*)|| \le A||d(u, u^*)|| + B\sqrt{2} \frac{||d(u, Su)|| ||d(u^*, Tu^*)||}{||d(u, Tu^*)|| + ||d(u^*, Su)|| + ||d(u, u^*)||}$$

$$\leq A \|d(u, u^*)\|$$

Hence  $u = u^*$ . Therefore u is a unique common fixed point of T and S.

Now, we consider the second case: d(x, Ty) + d(y, Sx) + d(x, y) = 0. Put  $x = x_{2n}$  and  $y = x_{2n+1}$  in this expression we get  $(x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) + (x_{2n+1}, Sx_{2n}) + (x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) = 0$  (for any n) which implies  $(Sx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) = 0$  so that  $x_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = x_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+1} = x_{2n+2}$ . Thus we have  $x_{2n} = Sx_{2n} = x_{2n+1}$ ,

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 31 No. 7s (2024)

So there exist  $K_1$  and  $l_1$  such that  $K_1 = Sl_1 = l_1$  where  $K_1 = x_{2n+1}$  and  $l_1 = x_{2n}$ . Using foregoing arguments, one can also show that there exists  $K_2$  and  $l_2$  such that  $K_2 = Sl_2 = l_2$  where  $K_2 = x_{2n+2}$  and  $l_2 = x_{2n+1}$ . As  $(l_1, T l_2) + (l_2, S l_1) + (l_1, l_2) = 0$  (from definition) implies

d (Sl<sub>1</sub>, T l<sub>2</sub>)=0,therefore  $K_1$  =Sl<sub>1</sub>=Tl<sub>2</sub> =  $K_2$ . Thus we obtain that  $K_1$  =Sl<sub>1</sub>=S $K_1$  . similarly ,one can also have  $TK_2 = K_2$ . As  $K_1 = K_2$  implies  $SK_1 = TK_1 = K_1$ , therefore  $K_1 = K_2$  is common fixed point of S and T. For uniqueness assume that  $K_1^*$  in X is another common fixed point of S and T. Then we have  $SK_1^*$ = $TK_1^*$  = $K_1^*$ 

As  $d(K_1, TK_1^*) + d(K_1^*, SK_1, + d(K_1, K_1^*) = 0$ , therefore  $d(SK_1, TK_1^*) = d(K_1, K_1^*) = 0$ . This implies that  $K_1, =K_1^*$ .

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**Corollary 3.1**: Let (X, d) be a complete bicomplex valued b -metric space with the coefficient  $s \ge 1$  and let T:  $X \to X$  be mappings satisfying

$$d(Tx,Ty) \lesssim_{i_2} Ad(x,y) + B \frac{d(x,Tx)d(y,Ty)}{d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx) + d(x,y)} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X \text{, such that } x \neq y \text{,}$$

 $d(x, Ty)+d(y, Tx)+d(x, y) \neq 0$ , where A,B are nonnegative reals with A+ $\sqrt{2}s$  B < 1 or d(Tx, Ty) = 0 if d(x, Ty)+d(y, Tx)+d(x, y) = 0. Then has a unique common fixed point.

Proof: We can prove this result by applying theorem 3 by setting S=T.

**Corollary 3.2:** Let (X, d) be a complete bicomplex valued b -metric space with the coefficient  $s \ge 1$  and let  $T: X \to X$  be mappings satisfying (for some fixed n)

$$d(T^nx, T^ny) \lesssim_{i_2} Ad(x,y) + B \frac{d(x,T^nx)d(y,T^ny)}{d(x,T^ny) + d(y,T^nx) + d(x,y)} \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X, \text{ such that } x \neq y,$$

 $d(x, T^n y) + d(y, T^n x) + d(x, y) \neq 0$ , where A,B are nonnegative reals with A+  $\sqrt{2}s$  B < 1 or  $d(T^n x, T^n y) = 0$  if  $d(x, T^n y) + d(y, T^n x) + d(x, y) = 0$ . Then has a unique common fixed point.

### **References:**

- [1] J. Choi\_, S. K. Datta, T. Biswas and Md N. Islam: Some fixed point theorems in connectionWith two weakly compatible mappings in Bicomplex valued metric spaces, Honam Mathematical J. 39 (2017), No. 1, pp. 115-126.
- [2] A. Azam, F. Brain and M. Khan: Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 32 (3) (2011), 243-253.
- [3] C. Segre, Le rappresentazioni reali delle forme complesse e gli enti iperalgebrici, Math. Ann. 40 (1892), 413-467.
- [4] N. Spampinato, Estensione nel campo bicomplesso di due teoremi, del Levi-Civita e del Severi, per le funzioni olomorfe di due variablili bicomplesse I, II, Reale Accad. Naz. Lincei 22(6) (1935), 38-43, 96-102.
- [5] N. Spampinato, Sulla Rappresentazione delle funzioni do variabile bicomplessa totalmente derivabili, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 14(4) (1936), 305-325.
- [6] I. Beg, S.K. Datta and D. Pal: Fixed point in bicomplex valued metric spaces, Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 12(2021), No.2, 717-727.
- [7] A. Singh, M.S.Khan and B. Fisher: Some fixed point theorems for certain contractive mapping on metric and generalized metric space, Mathematical Moravia, 16-2(2012), 69-77.

ISSN: 1074-133X Vol 31 No. 7s (2024)

- [8] I.H. Jebril, S.K. Datta, R. Sarkar and N. Biswas: Common fixed point theorems under rational contractions for pair of mappings in bicomplex valued metric spaces, Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics, vol.22(2019), No.7, 1071-1082.
- [9] A. Azam, J. Amad and P.Kumam: Common fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings in complex valued metric spaces, J. Inequal.appl., 2013(578) (2013).
- [10] I.A. Bhahtim: The contraction principle in quasi metric spaces, Fuct. Anal., 30(1989), 26-37.
- [11] C.Klin-eam and C. Suanoom: Some common foxed point theorems for generalized contractive type mappings on complex valued metric spaces, Abstr.Appl.Anal.2013 (2013), Article ID 604215.
- [12] Md.A.Hoque: Some common fixed point theorem in bicomplex valued metric spaces, submitted for publication (2023).
- [13]J.Ahmad, A.Azam and S Saejung: Common fixed point results for contractive mapping in complex valued metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Appl.,2014(67) (2014).
- [14] I. A. Bakhtin, "The contraction principle in quasi metric spaces," Journal of Functional Analysis, vol. 30, pp. 26–37, 1989.
- [15] S. Banach, "Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrals," Fundamenta Mathematicae, vol. 3, pp. 133
- [16] A. A. Mukheimer, "Some common fixed point theorems in complex valued b-metric spaces," The Scientific World Journal, vol. 2014, Article ID 587825, 6 pages, 2014.
- [17] A. K. Dubey, R. Shukla, and R. P. Dubey, "Some fixed point theorems in complex valued b-metric spaces," Journal of Complex Systems, vol. 2015, Article ID 832467, 7 pages, 2015.
- [18] K. P. R. Rao, P. R. Swamy, and J. R. Prasad, "A common fixed point theorem in complex valued b-metric spaces," Bulletin of Mathematics and Statistics Research, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–8, 2013.