

Lukasiewicz Fuzzy BM-Algebra and BM-Ideal

T. Gokila¹, Dr. M. Mary Jansirani²

¹Research Scholar; School of Sciences; Division of Mathematics; SRM- Institute of Science and Technology (Deemed to be University); Irungalur, Trichy – 621105, Tamil Nadu

²Associate Professor; School of Science; Division of Mathematics; SRM-Institute of Science and Technology (Deemed to be University); Irungalur, Trichy – 621105, Tamil Nadu

Article History:

Received: 24-05-2024

Revised: 13-07-2024

Accepted: 26-07-2024

Abstract:

Introduction: *Fuzzy* Sets is a mathematical framework that expands the traditional concept of sets by enabling elements to have degrees of membership. This enables partial membership based on degree of likeness. In classical set theory, an element can be represented as a crisp set, indicated by x , which either belongs to or does not belong to the set. In contrast, an *Fuzzy* Sets allows for various levels of membership. The level of membership has a value somewhere between 0 and 1, with 0 representing non-participation and 1 representing full participation. The shape of the member function varies according to the application and intended behaviour. Jan Lukasiewicz was a logical thinker and philosopher. He contributed to the advancement of proportional logic. Lukasiewicz or Lukas logic is an uncommon and highly appreciated logic that follows the Lukas t-norm and t-conorm operations to compute the intersection and union of *Fuzzy* Sets. This logic enables reasoning with unclear or incomplete knowledge, making it appropriate for a variety of applications including ambiguity and imprecision.

Objectives: Incorporation of Lukas logic theory to *Fuzzy* set in *BM*-algebra for the betterment of algorithms to address a variety of real-world issues, including risk management, decision making, managing public transit, diagnosing medical conditions and more.

Methods: Applying *BM*-algebra to *Fuzzy* set theory and incorporating Lukas logic theory with the inclusion of certain attributes, in order to facilitate the production of Lukas *Fuzzy BM*-algebra and *BM*-ideal, wherein the characteristics and attributes of the Lukas *Fuzzy BM*-algebra and *BM*-ideal are examined, and the relationships between them are demonstrated by a few examples.

Results:

Theorem 3.5. Every Lukas *Fuzzy* set L_U^ε is a Lukas *Fuzzy BM*-algebra of \mathfrak{G} iff it satisfies: $L_U^\varepsilon(p * q) \geq \min\{L_U^\varepsilon(p), L_U^\varepsilon(q)\}$, $\forall p, q \in \mathfrak{G}$.

Theorem 3.6. Show that ε -Lukas *Fuzzy* set L_U^ε in \mathfrak{G} is an ε -Lukas *Fuzzy BM*-algebra of \mathfrak{G} , if U is a *Fuzzy* sub algebra of \mathfrak{G} . An example has been provided to show that the converse is not true.

Theorem 4.3. Every Lukas *Fuzzy* set L_U^ε of a *Fuzzy* set U in \mathfrak{G} is a Lukas *Fuzzy BM*-ideal of \mathfrak{G} if and only if it satisfies

$$(i) \forall p \in \mathfrak{G}, \forall u_a \in (0,1], [p/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon \Rightarrow [0/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon$$

$$(ii) \forall p, q \in \mathfrak{G}, L_U^\varepsilon(p) \geq \min\{L_U^\varepsilon(p * q), L_U^\varepsilon(q)\}$$

Conclusions: The application of *BM*-algebra within Lukasiewicz *Fuzzy* logic operation can optimize public transportation system by scheduling time and routing

based on passengers need. It also improves service reliability using operational constraints taken from the field.

This study give rise to the notion of Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy BM*-algebra and Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy BM*-ideal along with some of their properties are investigated. In addition to the characterization of both Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy BM*-algebra and *BM*-ideal, the relations of *Fuzzy* subalgebra, *Fuzzy* ideal, Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy* set, Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy BM*-algebra and Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy BM*-ideal are discussed. Some examples are provided based on those relations. In the future, we will construct an algorithm for the advancement of transportation, making use of the ideas and results of this study.

Keywords: *BM*-Algebra, *Fuzzy* subalgebra, Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy* set, Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy BM*-Algebra, Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy BM*-Ideal.

1. Introduction

In 1966, *BCK/BCI*-algebra are developed by Y. Imai, K. Iseki and S. Tanaka [4]. There were other algebraic structures besides *BCI* and *BCK* algebras. These structures belong to universal algebra that describes fragments of propositional calculus. Such algebraic structures are *BCC/BCH/B/BE*-algebras, etc. These algebras can be explored both theoretically and practically in Mathematics and Computer science. In 2006, a specialized *B*-algebra, called *BM*-algebras was delivered by [2]. The concept of Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy* subalgebra in *BCK/BCI*-algebras was built by Jun using the thoughts of Lukaszczyk *t*-norm [8]. Later, he extended it to Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy* ideal in *BCK/BCI*-algebras in 2023 [7]. In 2002, Jun and Ahn designed the concept of Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy* set in *BE*-algebras to be Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy BE*-algebras and *BE*-filters [10] along with the discussion of relationship between Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy BE*-algebra and Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy BE*-filters. Their capacity to handle partial truth values, include fuzzy principles and integrate them into the decision-making process leads to a variety of applications. To build an advanced algorithm for the solution of real-life problems, we can explore various algebraic structures.

This study led to explore the concept of Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy BM*-algebra and *BM*-ideal using the notion of Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy* set to the given *Fuzzy* set in *BM*-algebra and investigated some of their properties, characterizations and relations with some examples.

2. Objectives

Definition 2.1

The set \mathfrak{G} be a non-empty set. The ***BM*-algebra** satisfies the given axioms:

$$(BM_1) \dot{p} * 0 = \dot{p}$$

$$(BM_2)(\dot{r} * \dot{p}) * (\dot{r} * \dot{q}) = \dot{q} * \dot{r}, \text{ for all } \dot{p}, \dot{q}, \dot{r} \in \mathfrak{G}$$

under binary operation " $*$ " with a constant element " 0 "

Remark 2.2

Every *BM*-algebra satisfies

$$(i) (\dot{p} * \dot{p}) = 0$$

- (ii) $(0 * (0 * \dot{p})) = \dot{p}$
- (iii) $(0 * (\dot{p} * \dot{q})) = \dot{q} * \dot{p}$
- (iv) $(\dot{p} * \dot{r}) * (\dot{q} * \dot{r}) = \dot{p} * \dot{q}$
- (v) $(\dot{p} * \dot{q}) = 0 \Leftrightarrow (\dot{q} * \dot{p}) = 0$ for all $\dot{p}, \dot{q}, \dot{r} \in \mathfrak{G}$.

Definition 2.3

A BM-algebra \mathfrak{G} is called **subalgebra** of \mathfrak{G} if \mathfrak{S} be a subset of \mathfrak{G} then $\dot{p} * \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{S}, \forall \dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{S}$

Definition 2.4

A BM-algebra \mathfrak{G} is called **ideal** of \mathfrak{G} if \mathfrak{S} be a subset of \mathfrak{G} then $0 \in \mathfrak{S}$ and $\dot{p} * \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{S}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{S} \Rightarrow \dot{p} \in \mathfrak{S}, \forall \dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}$

Definition 2.5

Zadeh's *Fuzzy* set U in \mathfrak{G} takes the form

$$U(\dot{q}) = \begin{cases} u \in (0,1] & \text{if } \dot{q} = \dot{p} \\ 0 & \text{if } \dot{q} \neq \dot{p} \end{cases}$$

is regarded as **Fuzzy point** with support \dot{p} and value u . It is viewed by $[\dot{p}/u]$.

Definition 2.6

A *Fuzzy point* $[\dot{p}/u]$ in every *Fuzzy set* U in \mathfrak{G} is

- (i) **contained** in U , noted by $[\dot{p}/u] \in U$ if $U(\dot{p}) \geq u$.
- (ii) **quasi-coincident** with U , noted by $[\dot{p}/u]qU$ if $U(\dot{p}) + u > 1$

Definition 2.7

A *Fuzzy set* U is called **Fuzzy subalgebra** of a BM-algebra \mathfrak{G} if it satisfies

$$(FA_1) U(\dot{p} * \dot{q}) \geq \min\{U(\dot{p}), U(\dot{q})\}, \forall \dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}$$

Definition 2.8

A *Fuzzy set* U is called **Fuzzy ideal** of a BM-algebra \mathfrak{G} if it satisfies

$$(FI_1) U(0) \geq U(\dot{p})$$

$$(FI_2) U(\dot{p}) \geq \min\{U(\dot{p} * \dot{q}), U(\dot{q})\}, \forall \dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}$$

3. Methods

Definition 3.1

An ε – **LukasZ Fuzzy Set** of *Fuzzy set* U in \mathfrak{G} is a function from the BM-algebra \mathfrak{G} to $[0,1]$ and $\varepsilon \in [0,1]$.

$$L_U^\varepsilon: \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow [0,1], \dot{p} \mapsto \max\{0, U(\dot{p}) + \varepsilon - 1\} \quad (3.1)$$

Remark 3.2

If U is a *Fuzzy set* in \mathfrak{G} , then its ε -LukasZ *Fuzzy set* L_U^ε satisfies

$$U(\dot{p}) \geq U(\dot{q}) \Rightarrow L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) \geq L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q}), \forall \dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G} \quad (3.2)$$

Proof

Suppose U be a *Fuzzy* set in \mathfrak{G} and $U(\dot{p}) \geq U(\dot{q})$ then

$$\begin{aligned} L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) &= \max\{0, U(\dot{p}) + \varepsilon - 1\} \\ &\geq \max\{0, U(\dot{q}) + \varepsilon - 1\} = L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) \geq L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})$.

Definition 3.3

An ε -Lukas *Fuzzy* set L_U^ε in \mathfrak{G} is called an **ε - Lukas *Fuzzy* subalgebra of \mathfrak{G}** or **ε - Lukas *Fuzzy* *BM – algebra* of \mathfrak{G}** if it satisfies

$$(LFA_1) [\dot{p}/u_a], [\dot{q}/u_b] \in L_U^\varepsilon \Rightarrow [(\dot{p} * \dot{q})/\min\{u_a, u_b\}] \in L_U^\varepsilon \quad (3.3)$$

for all $\dot{p}, \dot{q} \in G, \varepsilon \in (0,1)$ and $u_a, u_b \in (0,1]$.

Example 3.4

Let $\mathfrak{G} = \{0, \dot{p}_1, \dot{p}_2, \dot{p}_3\}$ be a set and Table 3.1 shows the Cayley table of \mathfrak{G} under " $*$ "

$*$	0	\dot{p}_1	\dot{p}_2	\dot{p}_3
0	0	\dot{p}_1	\dot{p}_2	\dot{p}_3
\dot{p}_1	\dot{p}_1	0	0	\dot{p}_2
\dot{p}_2	\dot{p}_2	0	0	\dot{p}_1
\dot{p}_3	\dot{p}_3	\dot{p}_2	\dot{p}_1	0

TABLE 3.1 Cayley table with respect to " $*$ "

Then \mathfrak{G} is a *BM – algebra*.

Defining a *Fuzzy* set U in \mathfrak{G} as follows:

$$U: \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow [0,1], \dot{p} \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.88 & \text{if } \dot{p} = 0 \\ 0.69 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \{\dot{p}_1, \dot{p}_2\}. \\ 0.77 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \dot{p}_3 \end{cases}$$

If it is taken that $\varepsilon = 0.61$, then the Lukas *Fuzzy* set L_U^ε of U in \mathfrak{G} is provided as follows:

$$L_U^\varepsilon: \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow [0,1], \dot{p} \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.49 & \text{if } \dot{p} = 0 \\ 0.3 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \{\dot{p}_1, \dot{p}_2\} \\ 0.36 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \dot{p}_3 \end{cases}$$

Typically, it is verified that L_U^ε is a Lukas *Fuzzy* *BM-algebra* of \mathfrak{G} .

Definition 3.5

A Lukas *Fuzzy* set L_U^ε in \mathfrak{G} is called **Lukas *Fuzzy* *BM-ideal* of \mathfrak{G}** if it satisfies

$$(LFI_1) L_U^\varepsilon(0) \text{ is an upper bound of } \{L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) | \dot{p} \in \mathfrak{G}\} \quad (3.4)$$

$$(LFI_2) [(\dot{p} * \dot{q})/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon, [\dot{q}/u_b] \in L_U^\varepsilon \Rightarrow [\dot{p}/\min\{u_a, u_b\}] \in L_U^\varepsilon \quad (3.5)$$

for all $\dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $u_a, u_b \in (0,1]$.

Example 3.6

Suppose the set $\mathfrak{G} = \{0, \dot{p}_1, \dot{p}_2, \dot{p}_3\}$ be a *BM-algebra* with respect to a binary operation

" * " given by Table 3.2

*	0	\dot{p}_1	\dot{p}_2	\dot{p}_3
0	0	\dot{p}_1	\dot{p}_2	\dot{p}_3
\dot{p}_1	\dot{p}_1	0	\dot{p}_3	\dot{p}_2
\dot{p}_2	\dot{p}_2	\dot{p}_3	0	\dot{p}_1
\dot{p}_3	\dot{p}_3	\dot{p}_2	\dot{p}_1	0

TABLE 3.2 Cayley table with respect to " * "

Then \mathfrak{G} is a *BM-algebra*. Fuzzy set U in \mathfrak{G} is defined as follows:

$$U: \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow [0,1], \dot{p} \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.91 & \text{if } \dot{p} = 0 \\ 0.78 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \{\dot{p}_1, \dot{p}_2\} \\ 0.83 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \dot{p}_3 \end{cases}$$

If it is taken that $\varepsilon = 0.54$, then the Lukaszczyk Fuzzy set L_U^ε of U in \mathfrak{G} is provided as below

$$L_U^\varepsilon: \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow [0,1], \dot{p} \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.45 & \text{if } \dot{p} = 0 \\ 0.32 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \{\dot{p}_1, \dot{p}_2\} \\ 0.37 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \dot{p}_3 \end{cases}$$

Typically, it is verified that L_U^ε is a Lukaszczyk Fuzzy *BM-ideal* of \mathfrak{G} .

4. Results

Theorem 4.1

Every Lukaszczyk Fuzzy set L_U^ε is a Lukaszczyk Fuzzy *BM-algebra* of \mathfrak{G} iff it satisfies:

$$L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p} * \dot{q}) \geq \min\{L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})\}, \forall \dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G} \quad (4.1)$$

Proof

Suppose U be a Fuzzy set in \mathfrak{G} .

For instance, L_U^ε is a Lukaszczyk Fuzzy *BM-algebra* of \mathfrak{G} .

Let $\dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}$ and it is clear that $[\dot{p}/L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p})] \in L_U^\varepsilon$ and $[\dot{q}/L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})] \in L_U^\varepsilon$.

From (3.3), it is evident that

$$[(\dot{p} * \dot{q})/\min\{L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})\}] \in L_U^\varepsilon,$$

and hence $L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p} * \dot{q}) \geq \min\{L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})\}$ for all $\dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}$.

Conversely, suppose that L_U^ε satisfies (4.1).

Also let $\dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $u_a, u_b \in (0,1]$ be such that $[\dot{p}/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon, [\dot{q}/u_b] \in L_U^\varepsilon$.

Then $L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) \geq u_a$ and $L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q}) \geq u_b$,

which imply from (4.1) that

$$L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p} * \dot{q}) \geq \min\{L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})\} \geq \min\{u_a, u_b\}.$$

Thus $[(\dot{p} * \dot{q})/\min\{u_a, u_b\}] \in L_U^\varepsilon$.

Therefore L_U^ε is a Lukaszczyk Fuzzy BM-algebra of \mathfrak{G} .

Theorem 4.2

Show that ε -Lukaszczyk Fuzzy set L_U^ε in \mathfrak{G} is an ε -Lukaszczyk Fuzzy BM-algebra of \mathfrak{G} , if U is a Fuzzy subalgebra of \mathfrak{G} .

Proof

For instance, U is a Fuzzy subalgebra of \mathfrak{G} .

Let $\dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $u_a, u_b \in (0,1]$ be such that $[\dot{p}/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon, [\dot{q}/u_b] \in L_U^\varepsilon$.

Then $L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) \geq u_a$ and $L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q}) \geq u_b$.

Thus

$$L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p} * \dot{q}) = \max\{0, U(\dot{p} * \dot{q}) + \varepsilon - 1\} \quad [\because (3.1)]$$

$$\geq \max\{0, \min\{U(\dot{p}), U(\dot{q})\} + \varepsilon - 1\} \quad [\because (4.1)]$$

$$= \max\{0, \min\{U(\dot{p}) + \varepsilon - 1, U(\dot{q}) + \varepsilon - 1\}\}$$

$$= \min\{\max\{0, U(\dot{p}) + \varepsilon - 1\}, \max\{0, U(\dot{q}) + \varepsilon - 1\}\}$$

$$= \min\{L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})\} \quad [\because (3.1)]$$

$$\geq \min\{u_a, u_b\}.$$

So, $[(\dot{p} * \dot{q})/\min\{u_a, u_b\}] \in L_U^\varepsilon$.

Hence L_U^ε is a ε -Lukaszczyk Fuzzy BM-algebra of \mathfrak{G} .

The subsequent example demonstrates why the reverse portion of Theorem 4.2 is false.

Example 4.3

Suppose the set $\mathfrak{G} = \{0, \dot{p}_1, \dot{p}_2\}$ be a BM-algebra and Table 4.1 shows binary operation " $*$ " in \mathfrak{G}

$*$	0	\dot{p}_1	\dot{p}_2
0	0	\dot{p}_2	\dot{p}_1
\dot{p}_1	\dot{p}_1	0	\dot{p}_2
\dot{p}_2	\dot{p}_2	\dot{p}_1	0

TABLE 4.1 Cayley table with respect to " * "

Defining a *Fuzzy* set U in \mathfrak{G} as follows:

$$U: \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow [0,1], \dot{p} \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.72 & \text{if } \dot{p} = 0 \\ 0.51 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \dot{p}_1 \\ 0.43 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \dot{p}_2 \end{cases}.$$

Provided that $\varepsilon = 0.49$, then the ε -Lukas *Fuzzy* set L_U^ε of U in \mathfrak{G} is formed as follows:

$$L_U^\varepsilon: \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow [0,1], \dot{p} \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.21 & \text{if } \dot{p} = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \dot{p}_1 \\ 0 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \dot{p}_2 \end{cases}$$

Typically, it is verified that L_U^ε is an ε -Lukas *Fuzzy BM-algebra* of \mathfrak{G} . But U is not a *Fuzzy* subalgebra of \mathfrak{G} because of

$$U(0 * \dot{p}_1) = U(\dot{p}_2) = 0.43 \not\geq 0.51 = \min\{U(0), U(\dot{p}_1)\}.$$

Theorem 4.4

Every Lukas *Fuzzy* set L_U^ε of a *Fuzzy* set U in \mathfrak{G} is a Lukas *Fuzzy*

BM-ideal of \mathfrak{G} if and only if it satisfies

$$(i) \forall \dot{p} \in \mathfrak{G}, \forall u_a \in (0,1], [\dot{p}/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon \Rightarrow [0/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon \quad (4.2)$$

$$(ii) \forall \dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}, L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) \geq \min\{L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p} * \dot{q}), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})\} \quad (4.3)$$

Proof

For instance, L_U^ε is a Lukas *Fuzzy BM-ideal* of \mathfrak{G} . Let $\dot{p} \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $u_a \in (0,1]$ be such that $[\dot{p}/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon$.

Utilising (3.4), leads to $L_U^\varepsilon(0) \geq L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) \geq u_a$, and so $[0/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon$.

Note that $[(\dot{p} * \dot{q})/L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p} * \dot{q})] \in L_U^\varepsilon$, $[\dot{q}/L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})] \in L_U^\varepsilon$ for all $\dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}$.

From (3.5), it is evident that

$$[\dot{p}/\min\{L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p} * \dot{q}), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})\}] \in L_U^\varepsilon,$$

and hence $L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) \geq \min\{L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p} * \dot{q}), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})\}$ for all $\dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}$.

Conversely, let us consider L_U^ε satisfies (4.2) and (4.3).

Since $[\dot{p}/L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p})] \in L_U^\varepsilon$ for all $\dot{p} \in \mathfrak{G}$,

we have $[0/L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p})] \in L_U^\varepsilon$ and so $L_U^\varepsilon(0) \geq L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p})$ for all $\dot{p} \in \mathfrak{G}$ by (4.2).

Hence, $L_U^\varepsilon(0)$ is an upper bound of $\{L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) | \dot{p} \in \mathfrak{G}\}$.

Also let $\dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $u_a, u_b \in (0,1]$ be such that $[(\dot{p} * \dot{q})/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon$, $[\dot{q}/u_b] \in L_U^\varepsilon$.

Then $L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p} * \dot{q}) \geq u_a$ and $L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q}) \geq u_b$,

which imply from (4.3) that

$$L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) \geq \min\{L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p} * \dot{q}), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})\} \geq \min\{u_a, u_b\}.$$

Thus $[\dot{p}/\min\{u_a, u_b\}] \in L_U^\varepsilon$.

Therefore L_U^ε is a Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy BM-ideal* of \mathfrak{G} .

5. Discussion

Remark 5.1

Prove that ε -Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy set* L_U^ε satisfies

$$L_U^\varepsilon(0) \geq L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}), \forall \dot{p} \in \mathfrak{G}, \text{ if } U \text{ is a Fuzzy sub algebra of } \mathfrak{G}, \text{ then it}$$

Proof

Let U be a *Fuzzy subalgebra* of \mathfrak{G} .

$$\text{Then, } U(0) = U(\dot{p} * \dot{p}) \geq \min\{U(\dot{p}), U(\dot{p})\} = U(\dot{p}).$$

Therefore $U(0) \geq U(\dot{p})$ for all $\dot{p} \in \mathfrak{G}$.

From (3.2), it is evident that

$$L_U^\varepsilon(0) \geq L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) \text{ for all } \dot{p} \in \mathfrak{G}.$$

Remark 5.2

Every *Fuzzy subalgebra* U of \mathfrak{G} is said to be ε -Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy set* L_U^ε if

$$[\forall \dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}] [L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) = L_U^\varepsilon(0) \Leftrightarrow L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p} * \dot{q}) \geq L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})].$$

Proof

Let U be a *Fuzzy subalgebra* of \mathfrak{G} .

For instance, $L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) = L_U^\varepsilon(0)$ for all $\dot{p} \in \mathfrak{G}$.

Then

$$L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p} * \dot{q}) \geq \min\{L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})\} = \min\{L_U^\varepsilon(0), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})\} = L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q}).$$

Combining the results of Theorem 4.2 and Remark 3.2 leads to

$$L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p} * \dot{q}) \geq L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q}) \text{ for all } \dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}.$$

Conversely, suppose that $L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p} * \dot{q}) \geq L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})$ for all $\dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}$.

Utilising (BM_1) leads to

$$L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) = L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p} * 0) \geq L_U^\varepsilon(0).$$

Combining the results of above inequality and Remark 5.1 leads to

$$L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) = L_U^\varepsilon(0) \text{ for all } \dot{p} \in \mathfrak{G}.$$

Remark 5.3

Every *Fuzzy subalgebra* U of *BM-algebra* \mathfrak{G} is said to be an ε -Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy set* L_U^ε if

$$[\forall \dot{p} \in \mathfrak{G}] [L_U^\varepsilon(0 * \dot{p}) \geq L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p})].$$

Proof

Let U be a \mathcal{F} uzzy subalgebra of BM -algebra \mathfrak{G} . Then,

$$U(0 * \dot{p}) \geq \min\{U(0), U(\dot{p})\} = U(\dot{p}) \text{ for all } \dot{p} \in \mathfrak{G}.$$

From (3.2), it is evident that

$$L_U^\varepsilon(0 * \dot{p}) \geq L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) \text{ for all } \dot{p} \in \mathfrak{G}.$$

Remark 5.4

If U is a \mathcal{F} uzzy sub algebra of \mathfrak{G} , then prove that ε -Lukas \mathcal{F} uzzy set L_U^ε satisfies

$$[\dot{p}/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon, [\dot{q}/u_b] \in L_U^\varepsilon \Rightarrow [(0 * (\dot{p} * \dot{q}))/\min\{u_a, u_b\}] \in L_U^\varepsilon, \forall \dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G} \text{ and } u_a, u_b \in (0,1].$$

Proof

Let U be a \mathcal{F} uzzy subalgebra of BM -algebra \mathfrak{G} .

It is given that $\dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $u_a, u_b \in (0,1]$ which implies $[\dot{p}/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon, [\dot{q}/u_b] \in L_U^\varepsilon$.

Then $L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) \geq u_a$ and $L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q}) \geq u_b$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} L_U^\varepsilon(0 * (\dot{p} * \dot{q})) &= \max\{0, U(0 * (\dot{p} * \dot{q})) + \varepsilon - 1\} \\ &= \max\{0, U(\dot{q} * \dot{p}) + \varepsilon - 1\} \\ &\geq \max\{0, \min\{U(\dot{q}), U(\dot{p})\} + \varepsilon - 1\} \\ &\geq \max\{0, \min\{U(\dot{q}) + \varepsilon - 1, U(\dot{p}) + \varepsilon - 1\}\} \\ &\geq \min\{\max\{0, U(\dot{q}) + \varepsilon - 1\}, \max\{0, U(\dot{p}) + \varepsilon - 1\}\} \\ &\geq \min\{L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q}), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p})\} \geq \min\{u_b, u_a\}. \end{aligned}$$

So $[(0 * (\dot{p} * \dot{q}))/\min\{u_a, u_b\}] \in L_U^\varepsilon$.

Lemma 5.5

Every Lukas \mathcal{F} uzzy BM -ideal L_U^ε of \mathfrak{G} satisfies the condition if $\dot{p} \leq \dot{q}$ and

$\dot{q} * \dot{p} = 0$, then

$$[\dot{q}/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon \Rightarrow [\dot{p}/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon, \forall \dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}, \forall u_a \in (0,1] \quad (5.1)$$

Proof

Let $\dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $u_a \in (0,1]$ be such that $\dot{p} \leq \dot{q}$, $\dot{q} * \dot{p} = 0$ and $[\dot{q}/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon$

then $(\dot{p} * \dot{q}) = 0$ and $L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q}) \geq u_a$ so,

$$L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) \geq \min\{L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p} * \dot{q}), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})\} = \min\{L_U^\varepsilon(0), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})\} = L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q}) \geq u_a.$$

Hence $[\dot{p}/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon$.

Lemma 5.6

Every Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy BM-ideal* L_U^ε of \mathfrak{G} fulfils the condition if $\dot{p} * \dot{q} \leq \dot{r}$ and $\dot{r} * (\dot{p} * \dot{q}) = 0$, then

$$[\dot{q}/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon, [\dot{r}/u_b] \in L_U^\varepsilon \Rightarrow [\dot{p}/\min\{u_a, u_b\}] \in L_U^\varepsilon \quad (5.2)$$

for all $\dot{p}, \dot{q}, \dot{r} \in \mathfrak{G}$, and $u_a, u_b \in (0, 1]$

Proof

Let $\dot{p}, \dot{q}, \dot{r} \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $u_a, u_b \in (0, 1]$ be such that $\dot{p} * \dot{q} \leq \dot{r}$, $\dot{r} * (\dot{p} * \dot{q}) = 0$,

$[\dot{q}/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon$ and $[\dot{r}/u_b] \in L_U^\varepsilon$.

Then, $(\dot{p} * \dot{q}) * \dot{r} = 0$, $L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q}) \geq u_a$ and $L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{r}) \geq u_b$.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) &\geq \min\{L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p} * \dot{q}), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})\} \\ &\geq \min\{\min\{L_U^\varepsilon((\dot{p} * \dot{q}) * \dot{r}), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{r})\}, L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})\} \\ &= \min\{\min\{L_U^\varepsilon(0), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{r})\}, L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})\} \\ &= \min\{L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{r}), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})\} \geq \min\{u_b, u_a\} \end{aligned}$$

and so $[\dot{p}/\min\{u_a, u_b\}] \in L_U^\varepsilon$.

Remark 5.7

If L_U^ε is a Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy ideal* of \mathfrak{G} , then it satisfies the following inequalities

$$(i) \quad [\dot{p} \leq \dot{q} \text{ and } \dot{q} * \dot{p} = 0 \Rightarrow L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) \geq L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})] \quad (5.3)$$

$$(ii) \quad [\dot{p} * \dot{q} \leq \dot{r} \text{ and } \dot{r} * (\dot{p} * \dot{q}) = 0 \Rightarrow L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) \geq \min\{L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q}), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{r})\}] \quad (5.4)$$

for all $\dot{p}, \dot{q}, \dot{r} \in \mathfrak{G}$

Theorem 5.8

Every Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy set* L_U^ε in \mathfrak{G} is a Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy BM-ideal* of \mathfrak{G} if U is a *Fuzzy ideal* of *BM-algebra* \mathfrak{G} .

Proof

For instance, L_U^ε is a Lukaszczyk *Fuzzy set* of a *Fuzzy ideal* U in \mathfrak{G} .

Let $\dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}$ and $u_a, u_b \in (0, 1]$ be such that $[(\dot{p} * \dot{q})/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon$, $[\dot{q}/u_b] \in L_U^\varepsilon$.

Then $L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p} * \dot{q}) \geq u_a$ and $L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q}) \geq u_b$.

Thus

$$L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p}) = \max\{0, U(\dot{p}) + \varepsilon - 1\} \quad [\because (3.1)]$$

$$\geq \max\{0, \min\{U(\dot{p} * \dot{q}), U(\dot{q})\} + \varepsilon - 1\} \quad [\because (4.3)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \max\{0, \min\{U(\dot{p} * \dot{q}) + \varepsilon - 1, U(\dot{q}) + \varepsilon - 1\}\} \\
 &= \min\{\max\{0, U(\dot{p} * \dot{q}) + \varepsilon - 1\}, \max\{0, U(\dot{q}) + \varepsilon - 1\}\} \\
 &= \min\{L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{p} * \dot{q}), L_U^\varepsilon(\dot{q})\} \quad [\because (3.1)] \\
 &\geq \min\{u_a, u_b\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So, $[\dot{p}/\min\{u_a, u_b\}] \in L_U^\varepsilon$.

Hence L_U^ε is a Lukasz *Fuzzy BM-ideal* of \mathfrak{G} .

The subsequent example demonstrates why the reverse portion of Theorem 5.8 is false.

Example 5.9

Consider the *BM*-algebra set \mathfrak{G} in Example 3.4 and *Fuzzy* set U in \mathfrak{G} defined by

$$U: \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow [0,1], \dot{p} \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.81 & \text{if } \dot{p} = 0 \\ 0.42 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \dot{p}_1 \\ 0.57 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \dot{p}_2 \\ 0.31 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \dot{p}_3 \end{cases}.$$

Then U is not a *Fuzzy* ideal of \mathfrak{G} .

Since $U(\dot{p}_1) = 0.42 \not\geq 0.57 = \min\{U(\dot{p}_1 * \dot{p}_2), U(\dot{p}_2)\}$

Given that $\varepsilon = 0.55$, then the Lukasz *Fuzzy* set L_U^ε of U in \mathfrak{G} is provided as below:

$$L_U^\varepsilon: \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow [0,1], \dot{p} \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.36 & \text{if } \dot{p} = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \dot{p}_1 \\ 0.12 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \dot{p}_2 \\ 0 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \dot{p}_3 \end{cases}.$$

and it is a Lukasz *Fuzzy BM-ideal* of \mathfrak{G} .

Theorem 5.10

Every Lukasz *Fuzzy BM-ideal* of \mathfrak{G} is a Lukasz *Fuzzy BM-algebra* of \mathfrak{G} .

Proof

For instance, L_U^ε is a Lukasz *Fuzzy BM-ideal* of \mathfrak{G} . Let $\dot{p}, \dot{q} \in \mathfrak{G}$ and

$u_a, u_b \in (0,1]$ be such that $[\dot{p}/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon$, $[\dot{q}/u_b] \in L_U^\varepsilon$.

Since $\dot{p} * \dot{q} \leq \dot{p}$ and $\dot{p} * (\dot{p} * \dot{q}) = 0$

we have $[(\dot{p} * \dot{q})/u_a] \in L_U^\varepsilon$ by (5.1).

Hence $[\dot{p}/\min\{u_a, u_b\}] \in L_U^\varepsilon$ by (3.5), and so $[(\dot{p} * \dot{q})/\min\{u_a, u_b\}] \in L_U^\varepsilon$ by (5.1).

Therefore L_U^ε is a Lukasz *Fuzzy BM-algebra* of \mathfrak{G} .

The subsequent example demonstrates why the reverse portion of Theorem 5.10 is false.

Example 5.11

A set in *BM-algebra* $\mathfrak{G} = \{0, \dot{p}_1, \dot{p}_2\}$ be considered and the Table 5.1 is built under the " * " operation

*	0	\dot{p}_1	\dot{p}_2
0	0	\dot{p}_1	\dot{p}_2
\dot{p}_1	\dot{p}_1	0	\dot{p}_1
\dot{p}_2	\dot{p}_2	\dot{p}_1	0

TABLE 5.1 Cayley table with respect to " * "

Defining a *Fuzzy* set U in \mathfrak{G} as follows

$$U: \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow [0,1], \dot{p} \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.84 & \text{if } \dot{p} = 0 \\ 0.72 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \dot{p}_1. \\ 0.51 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \dot{p}_2 \end{cases}$$

Given that $\varepsilon = 0.58$, the ε -Lukas *Fuzzy* set L_U^ε of U in \mathfrak{G} is provided as below

$$L_U^\varepsilon: \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow [0,1], \dot{p} \mapsto \begin{cases} 0.42 & \text{if } \dot{p} = 0 \\ 0.3 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \dot{p}_1 \\ 0.09 & \text{if } \dot{p} = \dot{p}_2 \end{cases}$$

Typically, it is verified that L_U^ε is an ε -Lukas *Fuzzy BM-algebra* of \mathfrak{G} . But L_U^ε is not a Lukas *Fuzzy BM-ideal* of \mathfrak{G} because of

$$U(\dot{p}_2) = 0.09 \not\geq 0.3 = \min\{U(\dot{p}_2 * \dot{p}_1), U(\dot{p}_1)\}.$$

References

- [1] A. Paad and A. Jafari, n-fold obstinate and n-fold fantastic (pre)filters of EQ-algebras, J. Algebra Relat. Topics, (1) 9 (2021), 31–50.
- [2] C. B. Kim, H. S. Kim, On BM-algebra, Sci. Math, Japan, 63 (2006), 421-427.
- [3] H. S. Kim and Y. H. Kim, On BE-algebras, Sci. Math. Japan, 66 (2007), 113-116.
- [4] K. Iseki and S. Tanaka, An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras, Math. Japon. 23 (1978), 1–26.
- [5] K. Iseki, On BCI-algebras, Math. Seminar Notes, 8 (1980), 125–130.
- [6] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, (3) 8 (1965), 338–353.
- [7] Y.B. Jun, Lukasiewicz Fuzzy Ideals in BCK-Algebras and BCI-Algebras, Journal of Algebra and Related Topics, Vol. 11, No 1, (2023), pp 1-14.
- [8] Y. B. Jun, Lukasiewicz Fuzzy sub algebras in BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. (2) 23 (2022), 213–223.
- [9] Y. B. Jun, S. M. Hong, S. J. Kim and S. Z. Song, Fuzzy ideals and Fuzzy sub algebras of BCK-algebras, J. Fuzzy Math. 7 (1999), 411–418.
- [10] Y. B. Jun, S. S. Ahn, Lukasiewicz Fuzzy BE-algebras and BE-filters, European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 15(2002), 924-937.