

## On Strongly $\Upsilon$ -Clean Rings

Zubaida M. Ibraheem<sup>1,\*</sup>, Shahla M. Khalil<sup>2</sup>, Raida D. Mahmood<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>Department of Mathematics College of Computer Sciences and Mathematics

University of Mosul, Mosul, Iraq

z.mohammed@uomosul.edu.iq, shahlasamer@uomosul.edu.iq, raida1961@uomosul.edu.iq

### Article History:

*Received:* 30-01-2024

*Revised:* 25-03-2024

*Accepted:* 18-04-2024

### Abstract:

For any element  $z$  of a ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is said to be  $\Upsilon$ -clean if  $z = a + e$ , where  $a$  is  $\Upsilon$ -regular and  $e$  is an idempotent, further if  $ae = ea$ , the element  $z$  is called strongly  $\Upsilon$ -clean. If all the elements of a ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $\Upsilon$ -clean (resp. strongly  $\Upsilon$ -clean), then  $\mathcal{R}$  is called an  $\Upsilon$ -clean (strongly  $\Upsilon$ -clean). In this paper, some examples, and properties of  $\Upsilon$ -clean and strongly  $\Upsilon$ -clean are introduced and investigate the behavior of these properties and discuss some relations between  $\Upsilon$ -clean (resp., strongly  $\Upsilon$ -clean) rings and other rings.

**Keywords:** regular ring, clean ring,  $\Upsilon$ -regular, strongly regular.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this article, all rings have an identity element, and it is associative. Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a ring, then an element  $a \in \mathcal{R}$  is regular (strongly regular) if  $a \in a\mathcal{R}a$  ( $a \in a^2\mathcal{R}$ ) [1].  $\mathcal{R}$  is called  $\Upsilon$ -regular (resp. strongly  $\Upsilon$ -regular) if  $r = r b^\Upsilon r$  (resp.  $r = r^2 b^\Upsilon$ ) for some  $b \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $1 \neq \Upsilon \in Z^+$  [2]. An element  $a \in \mathcal{R}$  is known as clean if  $a$  equal the sum of idempotent elements and unit element. A ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is known as clean if for all  $a \in \mathcal{R}$  clean [3][4][5]. An element  $z$  of a ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $\Upsilon$ -clean if  $z = r + e$ , when  $r$  is regular and  $e$  is idempotent [6]. Any regular ring and clean rings must be  $\Upsilon$ -clean clearly. In general,  $\Upsilon$ -clean rings may not be regular and not be clean [7]. The symbols  $Id(\mathcal{R}), J(\mathcal{R}), reg(\mathcal{R})$  and  $U(\mathcal{R})$  stand respectively for of all idempotent elements, a Jacobson radical, a set of regular elements, and a unit element.

## 2. $\Upsilon$ -clean rings

Some properties over  $\Upsilon$ -clean rings are given in this section, and with discussing some relations over  $\Upsilon$ -clean rings and other types of rings.

### Definition 2.1. [8]

A ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is said to be  $\Upsilon$ -clean if for any  $x \in \mathcal{R}, x = r + e$  where  $r \in \Upsilon$ -regular ring and  $e \in Id(\mathcal{R})$ .

### Example 2.2.

1-Any Boolean ring is  $\Upsilon$ -clean ring.

2-All fields are  $\Upsilon$ -clean rings, in addition  $Z_p$ , for each prime number  $p$ .

The following proposition investigates a condition on ideal  $I$  of a ring  $\mathcal{R}$  which gives the guarantee that the  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $\mathbb{Y}$ -clean when  $\mathcal{R}/I$  is  $\mathbb{Y}$ -clean.

**Proposition 2.3.**

Suppose  $\mathcal{R}$  be a ring &  $I$  is a regular ideal over  $\mathcal{R}$ . So  $\mathcal{R}/I$  is  $\gamma$ -clean if and only if  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $\mathbb{Y}$ -clean.

**Proof:** Assume that  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $\mathbb{Y}$ -clean ring, then by [5, Theorem 4.1],  $\mathcal{R}/I$  is  $\gamma$ -clean. Now, suppose that  $\mathcal{R}/I$  is  $\mathbb{Y}$ -clean ring and  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ . Now, by choose  $x' \in (\mathcal{R}/I)$  and  $\mathbb{Y}'$  is  $\mathbb{Y}$ -regular element in  $(\mathcal{R}/I)$  and  $e' \in Id(\mathcal{R}/I)$ , such that  $x' = r' + e'$ . Since  $I$  is regular ideal and  $I \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $I$  is lifting idempotent modulo ideal and by [9, Lemma 2.4], then the  $\mathbb{Y}$ -regular element is also lifting idempotent modulo  $I$ . Thus we can assume that  $r$  is  $\mathbb{Y}$ -regular element in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Now  $x - r$  is also idempotent modulo  $I$  and  $I$  is regular, that is  $x - r$  is idempotent element, therefore  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $\mathbb{Y}$ -clean ring.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4. [7, The. 2.4]**

The center of  $\mathbb{Y}$ -regular ring is also  $\mathbb{Y}$ -regular.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5. [8, The. 4.4]**

Suppose  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $\mathbb{Y}$ -clean ring and it have only idempotents 0 and 1. So the center of  $\mathcal{R}$  is just also  $\mathbb{Y}$ -clean.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.6.**

Suppose  $\mathcal{R}$  is ring in which 2 is invertible, then  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $\mathbb{Y}$ -clean iff each element of  $\mathcal{R}$  can be written as the sum of a  $\mathbb{Y}$ -regular and the square root of 1.

**Proof:** Suppose that  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $\mathbb{Y}$ -clean and  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $\frac{x+1}{2} \in \mathcal{R}$ . Now, we take  $\frac{x+1}{2} = r + e$ , where  $r$  is  $\mathbb{Y}$ -regular element and  $e \in Id(\mathcal{R})$  so  $x = (2e - 1) + 2r$ . But there exists  $b \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $\mathbb{Y} \in Z^+$  with  $\mathbb{Y} \neq 1$  such that  $r b^{\mathbb{Y}} r = r$ . Thus  $(r + r) \frac{b^{\mathbb{Y}}}{2} (r + r) = \frac{r b^{\mathbb{Y}} r}{2} + \frac{r b^{\mathbb{Y}} r}{2} + \frac{r b^{\mathbb{Y}} r}{2} + \frac{r b^{\mathbb{Y}} r}{2} = \frac{1}{2} (4 r b^{\mathbb{Y}} r) = 2r$ . Thus  $2r$  is  $\mathbb{Y}$ -regular element since

$$\begin{aligned} (2e - 1)^2 &= (2e - 1)(2e - 1) = 4e^2 - 2e - 2e + 1 \\ &= 4e - 4e + 1 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

So  $x$  can be written as the sum of a regular and the square root of 1.

Conversely, if  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ , then  $2x - 1 = t + r$ , where  $t^2 = 1$  and  $r = r b^{\mathbb{Y}} r, b \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $\emptyset \neq \mathbb{Y} \in Z^+$

Thus,  $x = \frac{t+1}{2} + \frac{r}{2}$  Now,  $\left(\frac{t+1}{2}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{t+1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{t+1}{2}\right) = \frac{t^2+t+t+1}{4} = \frac{1+2t+1}{4} = \frac{2(t+1)}{4} = \frac{t+1}{2}$ . Thus  $\frac{t+1}{2} \in Id(\mathcal{R})$  since  $\frac{r}{2} (y^{\mathbb{Y}} + y^{\mathbb{Y}}) \frac{r}{2} = \frac{r y^{\mathbb{Y}} r}{4} + \frac{r y^{\mathbb{Y}} r}{4} = \frac{r}{2}$ , it follows that  $\frac{r}{2}$  is  $\mathbb{Y}$ -clean. Hence  $x$  is  $\mathbb{Y}$ -clean. Therefore  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $\mathbb{Y}$ -clean.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.**

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  is ring. So  $x \in \mathcal{R}$  be  $\forall$ -clean iff  $1 - x$  be a  $\forall$ -clean, when  $\forall = 2n + 1$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $x \in \mathcal{R}$  is  $\forall$ -clean. So  $x = r + e$ , where  $r = r b^\forall r$  and  $e \in Id(\mathcal{R})$ . Thus  $1 - x = -r + (1 - e)$ , then there exists  $b \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $r = r b^\forall r$ . Hence  $(-r)(-b)^\forall(-r) = -r$  since  $\forall$  is odd positive integer and  $-r$  is  $\forall$ -regular and  $(1 - e) \in Id(\mathcal{R})$ . Therefore  $1 - x$  is  $\forall$ -clean.

**Conversely:** Let  $1 - x$  is  $\forall$ -clean, then  $1 - x = e + r$ , where  $e \in Id(\mathcal{R})$  and  $r$  is  $\forall$ -regular. Then,  $x = 1 - (e + r) = (1 - e) + (-r)$ , as a previous part we have  $(1 - e) \in Id(\mathcal{R})$  and  $(-r)$  is  $\forall$ -regular, which implies that  $x$  is a sum of idempotent &  $\forall$ -regular. Therefore  $x$  be a  $\forall$ -clean.  $\square$

In [2], a ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is known as "quasi-commutative" if for any  $a, b \in \mathcal{R}$ , where  $1 \neq a$ , there is natural number  $m$  so that  $ab = b^m a$ .

**Corollary 2.8.**

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a quasi-commutative ring and  $x \in J(\mathcal{R})$ . Then  $x$  is  $\forall$ -clean element.

**Proof:** Let  $x \in J(\mathcal{R})$ . Then  $1 - x \in U(\mathcal{R})$  so,  $1 - x$  is regular element in  $\mathcal{R}$ . Hence  $1 - x$  is  $\forall$ -clean. Since  $\mathcal{R}$  is quasi-commutative ring. Then by Proposition (2.6), we have  $x$  is  $\forall$ -clean element.

Now, to give the relation between  $\forall$ -clean and  $r$ -clean.

**Remark 2.9.[5]**

Every  $\forall$ -clean ring be  $r$ -clean ring. Conversely is not always true as the following

**Example:**

$(\mathbb{Z}_4, +, \cdot)$  be  $r$ -clean and it is not  $\forall$ -clean, because  $2 \in \mathbb{Z}_4$  is not  $\forall$ -regular.

In [8, Theorem 3.5], proved that every  $r$ -clean is  $\forall$ -clean, if  $\mathcal{R}$  is quasi-commutative ring.

**Proposition 2.10.**

Suppose  $\mathcal{R}$  is ring and  $Id(\mathcal{R}) = \{0,1\}$ . So  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $\forall$ -clean iff its clean ring.

**Proof:** Suppose  $\mathcal{R}$  is clean ring,  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then  $x = e + u$ , where  $e \in Id(\mathcal{R})$  and  $u \in U(\mathcal{R})$ . To prove  $x$  is  $\forall$ -clean, we must prove that  $u$  is  $\forall$ -regular, since  $u \in U(\mathcal{R})$ , then there is  $v \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $uv = vu = 1.1 \in \mathcal{R}$ . Now  $u = u.1. u = u^2$ , that is  $u \in Id(\mathcal{R})$  thus  $u \in reg(\mathcal{R})$  and hence  $u$  is  $\forall$ -regular.

Conversely, let  $\mathcal{R}$  be  $\forall$ -clean ring and  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then  $x$  is  $\forall$ -clean and there exists  $e \in Id(\mathcal{R})$  and  $r$  is  $\forall$ -regular element such that  $x = e + r$ . Now if  $r = 0$ , then  $x = e = (1 - e) + (2e - 1)$ , since  $(1 - e)^2 = 1 - 2e + e^2 = 1 - e$ , then  $(1 - e) \in Id(\mathcal{R})$  and since  $(2e - 1)^2 = 4e^2 - 4e + 1 = 1$ , then  $(2e - 1) \in U(\mathcal{R})$  and hence  $x$  is clean element. Therefore  $\mathcal{R}$  is clean ring, now, assume that  $r \neq 0$ ,  $r = r b^\forall r$  for some  $b \in R$  and  $\forall \neq 1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Hence

$(r b^{\forall})^2 = (r b^{\forall})(r b^{\forall}) = r b^{\forall} \in Id(\mathcal{R})$  so by hypothesis  $r b^{\forall} = 0$  or  $r b^{\forall} = 1$ , if  $r b^{\forall} = 0$ , then  $r = r b^{\forall} r$  contradiction

Thus,  $r b^{\forall} = 1$ , similarly  $b^{\forall} r = 1$ , that is  $r \in U(\mathcal{R})$ . Hence  $x$  is clean element and therefore  $\mathcal{R}$  is clean.  $\square$

### 3. Strongly $\forall$ -clean rings:

We start the section by recalling the definition of the strongly  $\forall$ -clean ring.

#### Definition 3.1.

Each element  $z$  in a ring  $\mathcal{R}$  is known as strongly  $\forall$ -clean if  $z$  can be written as  $z = r + e$ , where  $r$  is  $\forall$ -clean element &  $e \in Id(\mathcal{R})$  and  $re = er$ .

#### Example 3.2.

Every  $\forall$ -clean commutative ring is strongly  $\forall$ -clean.

#### Proposition 3.3.

If  $\mathcal{R}$  be a commutative strongly  $\forall$ -clean ring, then,  $x - x^2 = a + 2re$ , where  $r$  is  $\forall$ -regular element and  $e \in Id(\mathcal{R})$ . and  $a = (r - r^2)$ .

**Proof:** Assume that  $x = r + e$ , where  $r$  is  $\forall$ -regular element and  $e \in Id(\mathcal{R})$ , that is  $x = r b^{\forall} r + e, 1 \neq \forall \in Z^+$ , with  $er = re$

$$= r^2 b^{\forall} + e = r + e$$

Now,  $x^2 = (r b^{\forall} r + e)^2 = (r^2 b^{\forall} + e)^2$

$$= (r^2 b^{\forall})^2 + 2 r^2 b^{\forall} e + e^2$$

$$= r^2 + 2re + e^2$$

Then  $x - x^2 = r + e - r^2 - 2re - e^2$

$$= (r - r^2) + 2re = a + 2re. \square$$

#### Proposition 3.4.

A direct product  $\mathcal{R} = \pi_{i \in I} \mathcal{R}_i$  is strongly  $\forall$ -clean if and only if so each of  $\{\mathcal{R}_i\}_{i \in I}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mathcal{R}_i$  be strongly  $\forall$ -clean for each  $i \in I$  set  $x = (x_i)_{i \in I} \in \pi_{i \in I} \mathcal{R}_i$  for each  $i$ , write  $x_i = e_i + r_i$ , where  $e_i \in Id(\mathcal{R}_i), r_i = r_i b^{\forall} r_i$  and  $e_i r_i = r_i e_i, \forall \neq 1 \in Z^+$ . Thus  $x = (r_i b^{\forall} r_i)_{i \in I} + (e_i)_{i \in I}$  that is  $(r_i b^{\forall} r_i)$  is  $\forall$ -regular for all  $i \in I$  and  $(e_i)_{i \in I} \in Id(\pi_{i \in I} \mathcal{R}_i)$ . Therefore  $\pi_{i \in I} \mathcal{R}_i$  is strongly  $\forall$ -clean ring. The conversely is true.  $\square$

#### Proposition 3.5.

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  is ring. So  $\mathcal{R}$  is strongly  $\forall$ -clean iff  $eRe$  is strongly  $\forall$ -clean.

**Proof:** Assume that  $a \in eRe \subseteq \mathcal{R}$ , then there exists  $\forall$ -regular element  $r$  and  $e \in Id(\mathcal{R})$  such that  $a = r + e$ . Now,  $ea e = ere + eee = ere + e$

$$= e(r b^{\forall} r)e + e$$

$$\begin{aligned} eae &= erb^{\forall}re + e = erb^{\forall}er + e = ereb^{\forall}e^2r + e \\ &= ereb^{\forall}ere + e \end{aligned}$$

and  $(ereb^{\forall}ere)e = e(ereb^{\forall}ere)$ . That is  $ere$  is  $\forall$ -regular element in  $e\mathcal{R}e$ , and  $e \in Id(\mathcal{R})$ . Therefore  $e\mathcal{R}e$  is strongly  $\forall$ -clean element.

**Conversely:** Suppose that  $e\mathcal{R}e$  is strongly  $\forall$ -clean, then let  $x \in e\mathcal{R}e$ , as  $x = e + a$ ;  $e \in Id(\mathcal{R})$  and  $a$  is  $\forall$ -regular and  $ea = ae$ .

Then  $e \in e\mathcal{R}e$  and  $a \in e\mathcal{R}e$ , Thus  $aw = e = wa$ , where  $w \in e\mathcal{R}e$  since  $ab^{\forall}a \in e\mathcal{R}e \subset \mathcal{R}$ . Hence  $ab^{\forall}a \in R$ , and therefore  $\mathcal{R}$  is strongly  $\forall$ -clean.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.**

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be strongly  $\forall$ -clean ring. Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $\forall$ -regular, where

$$\forall = 2n + 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

**Proof:** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a strongly  $\forall$ -clean ring. Then for every  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ , we can write  $x = a + e$ , where  $a$  is  $\forall$ -regular element and  $e \in Id(\mathcal{R})$  with

$$\begin{aligned} ae = ea. \text{ Assume } e &= ab^n = b^n a, \text{ where } n \neq 1, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, ae = ea, \text{ then } e^2(ab^n ab^n) = \\ e \text{ and } ea = ae = a \text{ and hence } x &= a + e = ab^n a + ab^n = ab^n(a + 1) = e(a + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $ae = ae = a$ , then  $a - ae = 0$ . So  $a(1 - e) = 0$ . But  $a \neq 0$ , then  $1 - e = 0$ . Thus  $e = 1$ , and  $x = e(a + 1) = a + 1$

Now,  $1 - x = -a = -(ab^{\forall}a)$ , Thus  $1 - x$  is  $\forall$ -regular and therefore  $x$  is  $\forall$ -regular.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7.**

In a ring  $R$ , if  $x$  is strongly  $\forall$ -clean element in  $R$ , then  $x^m$  is strongly  $\forall$ -clean.

**Proof:** Assume that  $x \in \mathcal{R}$  be strongly  $\forall$ -clean. then  $x = r + e$ , where  $r$  is  $\forall$ -regular and  $re = er$ , to prove  $x^m$  is strongly  $\forall$ -clean by the mathematical induction.

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 &= (r + e)^2 = r^2 + er + re + e^2 \\ &= (r b^{\forall}r)^2 + 2er + e^2 \\ &= (r b^{\forall}r)^2 + e(2(r b^{\forall}r + e)) \end{aligned}$$

Now  $(r b^{\forall}r)^2$  is  $\forall$ -regular and  $e(2(r b^{\forall}r + e)) \in Id(\mathcal{R})$

That is  $x^2$  is strongly  $\forall$ -clean. Suppose that  $x^{m-1}$  is strongly  $\forall$ -clean, that is  $x^{m-1} =$

$$(r + e)^{m-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{k} r^k e^{m-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{k} r^k e$$

$$= r^{m-1} + e((e - 1)r^{m-2} + \frac{e(e - 1)(e - 2)}{2!} r^{m-3}e + \dots + e^{m-2}) = r^{m-1} + e^{m-1}$$

Hence,  $x^m = xx^{m-1} = r^m + e \left( r^{m-1} + \frac{e(e-1)}{2!} r^{m-2}e + \dots + e^{m-1} \right) = r^m + e^m$ . Thus  $r^m$  is  $\forall$ -regular and  $e^m \in Id(\mathcal{R})$  and since  $re = er$  so  $r^m e^m = e^m r^m$ . Therefore  $x^m$  is strongly  $\forall$ -clean.  $\square$

#### 4. Conclusions

The main results of this work are:

- When  $\mathcal{R}$  is ring with 2 is invertible. Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $\forall$ -clean if and only if each element of  $\mathcal{R}$  can be written as the sum of a  $\forall$ -regular and the square root of 1.
- When  $\mathcal{R}$  is ring. So  $x \in \mathcal{R}$  be  $\forall$ -clean if and only if  $1 - x$  be a  $\forall$ -clean with  $\forall = 2n + 1$  and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .
- When  $\mathcal{R}$  is ring and  $Id(\mathcal{R}) = \{0, 1\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $\forall$ -clean if and only if its clean ring.
- When  $\mathcal{R}$  is ring. Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is strongly  $\forall$ -clean if and only if  $e\mathcal{R}e$  is strongly  $\forall$ -clean.
- When  $\mathcal{R}$  is a ring. If  $x$  is strongly  $\forall$ -clean element in , then  $x^m$  is strongly  $\forall$ -clean.

#### References

- [1] Rege, M.B. (1986), "On Von Neumann regular ring and  $SF$ -rings", Math. Japan 31(6), 927-936.
- [2] Mohammed, A.J and Salih, S.M. (2006) "On  $\gamma$ -regular rings" J. Edu. sci, vol.18, No.4, 118-139.
- [3] Weixing Chen, (2008), "Notes on Clean Rings and Clean Elements", Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics 32, 00-6.
- [4] Kosan, T., Wang, Z., & Zhou, Y. (2016). Nil-clean and strongly nil-clean rings. Journal of pure and applied algebra, 220(2), 633-646.
- [5] Khashan, H.A. (2016), "NR-Clean Rings", Vietnam Journal of Mathematics, 44(4), 749-759.
- [6] Ashrafi, N. and Nasibi, E., (2013), "  $r$ -clean Rings" to appear in mathematical Report, vol.15(65), No.2.
- [7] Abdul-Jabbar, A. M., (2009) "On  $\gamma$ -regular rings and generalized  $\gamma$ -regular rings", J. Duhok University, Vol.12, No.2, 8-15.
- [8] Esa, S. S., & Faris, H. S. (2017). "On  $\gamma$ -Clean Rings". Science Journal of University of Zakho, 5(3), 285-287.