

On Locally Invo-Regular Ring

Yasmine Mohammed Ismael
yasmine.23csp108@student.uomosul.edu.iq

Zubaida M.Ibraheem
z.mohammed@uomosul.edu.iq

University of Mosul, College of Computer Sciences and Mathematics

Article History:

Received: 15-10-2024

Revised: 22-11-2024

Accepted: 02-12-2024

Abstract:

An associative ring with identity D claimed to be locally Invo-regular (L.I.Reg.Rings) if, for any element f in D , either f or $1 - f$ is Invo-regular in D , that is $f = f v f$ or $1 - f = (1 - f) v (1 - f)$ for some involution element v in D , these rings due to Danchev [5]. In this article several instances, and properties of L.I.Reg.Rings are introduced and investigate the behavior of these properties, as well as certain relation between L.I.Reg.Rings and other rings are discussed.

Keywords: Invo-regular ring, local ring, locally Invo-Regular Rings.

1. Introduction

Every where the current article, all rings are assumed to be associative, having the identity element 1, in general differs from the zero element let D be a ring, then an element $f \in D$ is regular if $f \in f D f$ [1]. D is referred to unit-regular if, for each $\tau \in D$ there is $\mu \in U(D)$ such that $\tau = \tau \mu \tau$ [2], [3], [4]. A ring D is called Invo-Regular if, for each $\tau \in D$, there exists $v \in \text{Invo.}(D)$ such that $\tau = \tau v \tau$. and $\tau = v \tau \tau$, where $\tau \in \text{Idem.}(D)$ [5]. A ring D is said to clean if each $\tau \in D$ can be expressed as $\tau = \mu + \tau$, where $\mu \in U(D)$ and $\tau \in \text{Idem.}(D)$ [6]. A ring D is called a local ring if it has exactly one maximal ideal [7]. A ring D is said to be tripotent if any its element satisfies the equation $\tau^3 = \tau$. [8], [9].

$U(D)$, $\text{Idem}(D)$, $\text{Reg.}(D)$, $\text{Invo.}(D)$, $C(D)$ and $J(D)$ stands for the set of all unit elements (the group of units), all idempotent elements, a set of regular elements, the set of all involutions (square roots of units), central of D . and the Jacobson radical of D respectively.

2. L.I. Reg.Rings

This paragraph about certain properties over L.I.Reg.Rings. Danchev in [5] introduced the following basic notion.

Definition 2.1.

A ring D is claimed locally Invo-Regular (L.I.Reg.Ring) if, for any $f \in D$, either f or $1 - f$ is Invo-Regular element in D (either $f \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$ or $1 - f \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$), that is either $f = f v f$ or $1 - f = (1 - f) v (1 - f)$ for some $v \in \text{Invo.}(D)$.

Examples:

- Boolean rings.

- The field Z_3 and $Z_3 \times Z_3 \times \dots \times Z_3$ or $Z_3 \times Z_3 \times \dots \times Z_3 \times \dots$
- Z_4 is L.I.Reg.Ring but $Z_4 \times Z_4 \times \dots$ is not L.I.Reg.Rings.

Definition 2.2.

An ideal I is claimed Invo – Regular if for each element ℓ in I , there exists an element $v \in \text{Invo.}(D)$, such that $\ell = \ell v \ell$. The following characteristic of L.I.Reg.Rings.

Proposition 2.3.

If D is L.I.Reg.Ring, then for each element f in D we have:

1. $f = f\varphi$, where $\varphi \in \text{Idem.}(D)$.
2. When D is abelian ring, f is tripotent element, if D of characteristic 3.

Proof: 1- Let $f \in D$, then either $f = f v f$ or $(1 - f) = (1 - f) v (1 - f)$, if $f = f v f$, so $(v f)^2 = v f$, that is $v f \in \text{Idem.}(D)$, when $(1 - f) = (1 - f) v (1 - f)$, we have $(v(1 - f))^2 = v(1 - f)$, so $v(1 - f) \in \text{Idem.}(D)$.

2- If $f = f v f$, then $f = f^2 v$, implies that $f^2 = f^3 v$ and so $f^2 v = f^3 v$, thus $f = f^3$. Now, if $(1 - f) = (1 - f) v (1 - f)$, then $(1 - f) = (1 - f)^3 = 1 - 3f + 3f^2 - f^3$. since $3 = 0$, then $1 - f = 1 - f^3$, consequently, $f = f^3$. therefore D is tripotent.

Proposition 2.4.

Every surjective homeomorphic image of L.I.Reg.Ring is also L.I.Reg.Ring.

Proof: let $g: D \rightarrow S$ homomorphic function from L.I.Reg.Ring D onto ring S . let $\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{Y} \in S$, then, there exists $f \in D, v_1 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$, such that $g(f) = \mathfrak{K}, g(v_1) = \mathfrak{Y}$, to prove $\mathfrak{Y} \in \text{Invo}(S)$, let $v_1 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$, we must prove $(g(v_1))^2 = 1, (g(v_1))^2 = g^2(v_1) = g(v_1^2) = g(1) = 1_s$, since D is L.I.Reg.Ring then, either f or $(1 - f)$ is Invo-regular in D . If f is Invo-regular element, then there exists $v_1 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$, such that $f = f v_1 f$. Now $\mathfrak{K} = g(f) = g(f v_1 f) = g(f) g(v_1) g(f) = \mathfrak{K} \mathfrak{Y} \mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{Y} \in \text{Invo.}(S)$. if $(1 - f)$ is Invo-regular element. then there exists $v_2 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$, such that $(1 - f) = (1 - f) v_2 (1 - f)$, $g(1 - f) = g[(1 - f) v_2 (1 - f)] = g(1 - f) g(v_2) g(1 - f) = (g(1) - g(f)) g(v_2) (g(1) - g(f)) = (1_s - \mathfrak{K}) \mathfrak{Z} (1 - \mathfrak{K})$, where $\mathfrak{Z} = g(v_2) \in \text{Invo.}(S)$.

Proposition 2.5.

Let I be an ideal of a ring D , if D is L.I.Reg.Ring, then D / I is L.I. Reg.Ring.

Proof: Let $f \in D$. then $f + I \in D / I$, since D is L.I.Reg.Ring, then either f or $(1 - f) \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$ in D . If $f \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$, then there exists $v_1 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$, such that $f = f v_1 f$. Now, $f + I = f v_1 f + I = (f + I) (v_1 + I) (f + I)$, that is $f + I$ is Invo. (D/I) . If $(1 - f) \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$, there exists $v_2 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$, such that $(1 - f) = (1 - f) v_2 (1 - f)$, thus $(1 - f) + I = ((1 - f) v_2 (1 - f)) + I = ((1 - f) + I) (v_2 + I) ((1 - f) + I)$. Hence $((1 - f) + I) \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D / I)$, therefore D / I is L.I.Reg.Ring.

Example:

Let $D = Z_8 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}$ be L.I.Reg.Ring and let I be an ideal in D , $I = (2) = \{0, 2, 4, 6\}$, $\text{Invo.}(Z_8) = \{1, 3, 5, 7\}$, $D/I = Z_8/(2) = \{0 + (2), 1 + (2)\}$ D/I is L.I.Reg.Ring.

Proposition 2.6.

Let I be an Invo-regular ideal in a ring D , then D is L.I.Reg.Ring if, D/I is L.I.Reg.Ring.

Proof: Let D/I is L.I.Reg.Ring and $f \in D$ then, either $f + I$ or $(1 - f) + I$ is Invo-regular in D/I . $f + I = (f + I)(v_1 + I)(f + I)$ for some $v_1 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$, that is $f - fv_1f \in I$. or $((1 - f) + I) = ((1 - f) + I)(v_2 + I)((1 - f) + I)$, that is $(1 - f) - (1 - f)v_2(1 - f) \in I$ for some $v_2 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$, since I is Invo-regular then, either $(f - fv_1f) \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$ or $((1 - f) - (1 - f)v_2(1 - f)) \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$ for some $v_1, v_2 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$. hence either f or $(1 - f)$ is Invo-regular in D . therefore D is L.I.Reg.Ring.

Proposition 2.7.

If D is L.I.Reg.Ring, then eDe is L.I.Reg.Ring for central idempotent element e in D .

Proof: Let $f \in eDe$ then, either f or $1 - f \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$. If f is regular, then there exists $v_1 \in \text{Invo}(D)$, such that $f = fv_1f$ and $efef = efv_1fe = f e v_1 e f = f(e v_1 e) f$, Follows that $f \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(eDe)$ when $(1 - f) \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$, we have $(1 - f) = (1 - f)v_2(1 - f)$ Where $v_2 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$ and $e(1 - f)e = e(1 - f)v_2(1 - f)e = e.e(1 - f)v_2(1 - f)e.e = e(1 - f)e v_2 e(1 - f)e$, hence $(1 - f) \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(eDe)$, therefore eDe is L.I.Reg.ring.

Corollary 2.8.

If D is L.I.Reg.Ring, then $(1 - e)D(1 - e)$ is L.I.Reg.Ring for every central idempotent e in D .

Proof: Since D is L.I.Reg.Ring, then f or $1 - f \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$, if $f \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$, then there exist $v_1 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$, such that $f = fv_1f$, $(1 - e)f(1 - e) = (1 - e)fv_1f(1 - e)$, since $(1 - e) \in \text{Idem.}(D)$ then, $(1 - e)$ is central then, $((1 - e)f(1 - e) = f(1 - e)v_1(1 - e)f$, hence $f \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(1 - e)D(1 - e)$, if $(1 - f) \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$, then there exists $v_2 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$, Let $f \in eDe$ then, either f or $1 - f \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$. If f is regular, then there exists $v_1 \in \text{Invo}(D)$, such that $f = fv_1f$ and $efef = efv_1fe = f e v_1 e f = f(e v_1 e) f$, Follows that $f \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(eDe)$ when $(1 - f) \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$, we have $(1 - f) = (1 - f)v_2(1 - f)$ Where $v_2 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$ and $e(1 - f)e = e(1 - f)v_2(1 - f)e = e.e(1 - f)v_2(1 - f)e.e = e(1 - f)e v_2 e(1 - f)e$, hence $(1 - f) \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(eDe)$, therefore eDe is L.I.Reg.ring. such that $(1 - f) = (1 - f)v_2(1 - f)$ so $(1 - e)(1 - f)(1 - e) = (1 - e)(1 - f)v_2(1 - f)(1 - e) = (1 - e)(1 - e)(1 - f)v_2(1 - f)(1 - e)(1 - e) = ((1 - e)(1 - f))((1 - e)v_2(1 - e)((1 - f)(1 - e))$ Now $(1 - e) - f = [(1 - e) - f](1 - e)v_2(1 - e)[(1 - e) - f]$, therefore, $(1 - e)D(1 - e)$ is L.I.Reg.Ring.

Proposition 2.9.[5]

The center of L.I.Reg.Ring, is also L.I.Reg.

Proof: Assume $z \in C(D)$, we write $z = z v_1 z$ or $1 - z = (1 - z) v_2 (1 - z)$, for some $v_1, v_2 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$. If $z = z v_1 z$ it follows that $z = z^2 v_1$, Hence $z^2 = z^3 v_1$, and so $z = z^3 v_1^2 = z^3$. thus z is a tripotent element and hence it can be written as $z = z(1 + z - z^2)z$, where $1 + z - z^2$ is an $\text{Invo.}C(D)$, that is $(1 + z - z^2)^2 = 1$, when $(1 - z) \in C(D)$, we write $(1 - z) = (1 - z) v_2 (1 - z)$ for some $v_2 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$, Now, $(1 - z) = (1 - z)^2 v_2$, whence $(1 - z)^2 = (1 - z)^3 v_2$, and so $(1 - z) = (1 - z)^3 v_2^2 = (1 - z)^3$. Thus $(1 - z)$ is tripotent element and hence it can be written as $(1 - z) = (1 - z)(1 + z - z^2)(1 - z)$, where $(1 + z - z^2) \in \text{Invo.}(C(D))$ Where $(1 + z - z^2)^2 = 1$. Therefore $C(D)$ is L.I.Reg.

Definition 2.10.

Let $(D, +, \cdot)$ be any ring, a function $g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i = a_0 x^0 + a_1 x^1 + a_2 x^2 + \dots + a_n x^n + \dots$, where $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, \dots \in D$ and the powers $0, 1, 2, \dots, n, \dots$ are non negative integers, then $g(x)$ is called a polynomial.

Definition 2.11.[10]

The polynomial $p(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$ is called monic if $a_n = 1$, where a_n is the leading coefficient of the term with the highest degree n .

Definition 2.12.

A ring D is said to be integral over a subring S is for each $r \in D$, there is a monic polynomial $g(x) \in S[x]$ such that $g(r) = 0$.

Proposition 2.13.

Let S be a subring of D such that D is integral over S , If $s \in S$, with $s \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$, then $s \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(S)$.

Proof: By assumption (D) is integral over S , there exists $g(x) = x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + a_{n-2} x^{n-2} + \dots + a_0 \in S[x]$ such that $g(s) = 0$, If we multiply the equation $g(s) = 0$ by s^n and use the fact that $s = s^3 = s s^2 = s(s^3 v)$, for $v \in \text{Invo.}(D)$, then we deduce that $(s s^2)^n \in S$ or $s(s^3 v) \in S$, but $(s^3 v)^2 = (s^3 v)(s^3 v) = s^6 v = s^6 = s^3 \cdot s^3 = s \cdot s = s^2 = s^3 v$, so $(s^3 v) \in S$. multiply the equation $g(s) = 0$ by s^{n-1} this yields that $s^{n-1}(s)^n \in S$ but $s^{n-1}(s)^n = (s(s^3 v))^{n-1} s^n = (s(s^3 v)) s = s$. Hence $s^3 v \in \text{Idem.}(D)$, therefore $s \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(S)$.

Example: Let $D = Z_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $g(x) = x^3 + 2x$, $g(0) = 0$, $g(1) = 3 = 0$, $g(2) = 12 = 0$.

Proposition 2.14.

Let D be a commutative ring, then $\{0, 1\} + \text{Invo.}(D) = \text{Invo.}(D) \cup (1 + \text{Invo.}(D)) \subseteq \text{L.I.Reg.}(D)$.

Proof: Since $(1 - f) \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$, iff $(f - 1) \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$, follows that $f \in 1 + \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$, we have $\text{L.I.Reg.}(D) = \text{Invo.Reg.}(D) \cup (1 + \text{Invo.Reg.}(D))$, and this is true, since $\text{Invo.}(D) \subseteq \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$.

Example: Let $D = Z_4 = \{0,1,2,3\}$, $\text{Invo.}(Z_4) = \{1,3\}$, Now $\{0,1\} + \{1,3\} = \{1,3\} \cup (1 + \{1,3\}) = \{1,3\} \cup \{0,2\}$, $\{0,1,2,3\} = \{0,1,2,3\} \subseteq \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$.

Proposition 2.15.

Let D be abelian ring with $2 \in U(D)$, Then every $f \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$ can be written as a sum of two invertable elements in D .

Proof: Let $f \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$, then $f = f v_1 f$ for some $v_1 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$, that is $f v^2 = f$, also $f v = \varphi \in \text{Idem.}(D)$ and $v_1 = v_1^{-1} \in U(D)$, then $v_1 \varphi = v_1^{-1}(v_1 f) = f$, since $(2\varphi - 1)^2 = 4\varphi^2 - 4\varphi + 1 = 1$, then we can assume $v_1 = 2\varphi - 1$ with $v_1^2 = 1$, hence $\varphi = 2^{-1} v_1 + 2^{-1}$, thus $f = v_1 \varphi = v_1 (2^{-1} v_1 + 2^{-1}) = 2^{-1} v_1 \cdot v_1 + 2^{-1} \cdot v_1 = 2^{-1} + 2^{-1} v_1$ If $(1 - f) \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$, then $(1 - f) = (1 - f) v_2 (1 - f)$ for some $v_2 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$, that is $v_2 = (1 - f)$, since also, $(1 - f) v_2 = \varphi \in \text{Idem.}(D)$ and $v_2 = v_2^{-1} \in U(D)$, thus $v_2 \varphi = v_2^{-1} (1 - f)$ $(1 - f) v_2 = v_2^{-1} v_2 (1 - f) = (1 - f)$ And $(2\varphi - 1)^2 = 4\varphi^2 - 4\varphi + 1 = 1$. Suppose that $v_2 = 2\varphi - 1$ with $v_2^2 = 1$, hence $\varphi = 2^{-1} v_2 + 2^{-1}$ thus $(1 - f) = v_2 \varphi = v_2 (2^{-1} v_2 + 2^{-1}) = 2^{-1} v_2 \cdot v_2 + 2^{-1} \cdot v_2 = 2^{-1} + 2^{-1} v_2$.

Proposition 2.16.

Every element of abelian L.I.Reg.Ring can be written as a sum of three units, if $2 \in U(D)$.

Proof: Let $f \in D$, then by proposition 2.13 we have $f = \varkappa + (1 + \varkappa)$ for some $\varkappa, \varkappa \in \text{Invo.Reg.}(D)$, Now by proposition 2.14, we have $\varkappa = 2^{-1} + 2^{-1} v_1$, $\varkappa = 2^{-1} + 2^{-1} v_2$, where $v_1, v_2 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$, $f = 2^{-1} + 2^{-1} v_1 + (1 + 2^{-1} + 2^{-1} v_2)$, hence $f = 2^{-1} (1 + v_1) + 2^{-1} (1 + v_2) + 1$. therefore f is a sum of three units elements of D .

Example: Let $D = Z_3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ is L.I.Reg.Ring, $U(Z_3) = \{1,2\}$, $f = 0 = 1 + 1 + 1$, $f = 1 = 1 + 1 + 2$, $f = 2 = 2 + 2 + 1$.

3. The relation between L. I.Reg.Rings and other rings

In this part we introduce some relation between L.I.Reg.Rings and local rings, Invo.Reg.Rings and clean rings. Now, we will give the main results.

Proposition 3.1.

Every local ring is L. I.Reg.Ring when $U(D) = \text{Invo.}(D)$.

Proof: Since D is local, then either f or $1 - f$ has unit, If f has unit, then there exist $b \in D$, such that $f \cdot b = 1$, follows to $f = f b f$, $b \in U(D) = \text{Invo.}(D)$, $b \in \text{Invo.}(D)$. If $(1 - f)$ has unit then there exist $c \in D$, such that $(1 - f)c = 1$, that is $(1 - f) c (1 - f) = (1 - f)$, since $c \in U(D) = \text{Invo.}(D)$, then $c \in \text{Invo.}(D)$. therefore D is L.I.Reg. (D) .

Proposition 3.2.

Let D be abelian ring and P is primitive ideal, then D is local ring, if D / P is L.I.Reg.Ring.

Proof: Let $f + P \in D/P$ for all $f \in D$, since D/P is L.I.Reg.Ring, then there exists $v \in \text{Invo.}(D)$, such that either $f + P = (f + P)(v_1 + P)(f + P)$ or $(1 - f) + P = ((1 - f) + P)(v_2 + P)((1 - f) + P)$, for the first case, that is $f + P = (f + P)(v_1 + P)(f + P) = (f v_1 f) + P$, implies that $(f - f v_1 f) \in P$, that is $(1 - v_1 f) \in P$. Assume that $f \notin P$, then $(1 - v_1 f)^n \in P$ such that $(1 - v_1 f)^n = 1 - [\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k (K)^n (1)^{n-k} (v_1 f)^k]$. $f \in P$, where $C_k^n = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$. Now assume that $z_k = [\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k (K)^n (1)^{n-k} (v_1 f)^k]$. then $1 - z_k f \in P$. implies that $1 + P = (z_k + P)(f + P)$. Hence $(f + P)$ is invertable. Now, if $(1 - f) + P \in D/P$ for all $f \in D$, Since D/P is L.I.Reg.Ring, then there exists $v_2 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$ such that $(1 - f) + P = ((1 - f) + P)(v_2 + P)((1 - f) + P)$, $(1 - f) + P = [(1 - f)v_2(1 - f)] + P = (1 - f) - ((1 - f)v_2(1 - f)) \in P$, $(1 - f)(1 - v_2(1 - f)) \in P$. Assume that $(1 - f) \notin P$, then $(1 - v_2(1 - f))^n \in P$ such that $(1 - v_2(1 - f))^n = 1 - [\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k (K)^n (1)^{n-k} (v_2(1 - f))^k]$. $(1 - f) \in P$. Let $S = [\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k (K)^n (1)^{n-k} (v_2(1 - f))^k]$. then $1 - S(1 - f) \in P$, $1 + P = (S + P)((1 - f) + P)$. Hence $((1 - f) + P)$ is invertable. Thus D / P is division ring, that is P is maximal ideal and unique. Therefore, D is local ring.

Proposition 3.3.

Every Invo.Reg.Ring is locally Invo.Reg.Ring.

Proof: By definition of Invo.Reg.Ring (we say D is Invo.Reg.Ring if for each $f \in D$ there exists $v \in \text{Invo.}(D)$ such that $f = f v f$). Then every Invo.Reg.Ring is locally Invo.Reg.Ring.

Example:

We say D is Invo.Reg.Ring if for each $f \in D$ there exists $v \in \text{Invo.}(D)$ such that $f = f v f$. Let $D = Z_6 = \{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$, $U(Z_6) = \text{Invo}(Z_6) = \{1,5\}$, $1 = 1(1)1$, $2 = 2(5)2$, $3 = 3(1)3$, $4 = 4(1)4$, $5 = 5(5)5$, Z_6 is Invo.Reg.Ring, Z_6 is L.I.Reg.Ring, since for each $f \in D$ there exists $v \in \text{Invo.}(D)$ such that, either $f = f v f$ or $(1 - f) = (1 - f)v(1 - f)$

Proposition 3.4.

Let D be a ring without zero divisors. then D is Invo.Reg.Ring, if D is L.I.Reg.Ring

Proof: Since D is L.I.Reg.Ring, then either f or $1 - f$ is Invo.Reg. in D . if f is Invo.Reg.element, then there exists $v_1 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$ such that $f = f v_1 f = f - f v_1 f = 0 = f(1 - f v_1) = 0$, $f \neq 0$, $f v_1 = 1$. Now if $(1 - f)$ is Invo.Reg.element, then there exists $v_2 \in \text{Invo.}(D)$ such that $(1 - f) = (1 - f)v_2(1 - f) = (1 - f) - (1 - f)v_2(1 - f) = 0 = (1 - f)[1 - v_2(1 - f)]$, $(1 - f) \neq 0$, $(1 - f)v_2 = 1$. D is Invo.Reg.Ring.

Example:

Let $D = Z_5 = \{0,1,2,3,4\}$ is L.I.Reg.Ring, for each $f \in Z_5$ either $f = f v_1 f$ or $(1 - f) = (1 - f)v_2(1 - f)$, for some $v_1, v_2 \in \text{Invo.}(Z_5)$, $U(Z_5) = \text{Invo.}(Z_5) = \{1,2,3,4\}$, $1 = 1(1)1$, $2 = 2(3)2$, $3 = 3(2)3$, $4 = 4(4)4$, Z_5 is L.I.Reg.Ring and Invo.Reg.Ring.

Proposition 3.5.

Let D be a belian L.I.Reg.Ring, then D is clean ring.

Proof: By [11] if $f \in D$, then either $f = v\epsilon$ or $f = 1 + v\epsilon$. If $f = v\epsilon$, $f = (v\epsilon + \epsilon - 1) + (1 - \epsilon)$ where $(v\epsilon + \epsilon - 1)$ has Invo such that $(v\epsilon + \epsilon - 1)(v\epsilon + \epsilon - 1) = 1$, $v^2\epsilon^2 + v\epsilon^2 - v\epsilon + v\epsilon^2 + \epsilon^2 - \epsilon - v\epsilon - \epsilon + 1 = 1$, where $(v\epsilon + \epsilon - 1) \in U(D)$ and $(1 - \epsilon)^2 = (1 - \epsilon)(1 - \epsilon) = 1 - \epsilon - \epsilon + \epsilon^2 = 1 - 2\epsilon + \epsilon = 1 - \epsilon \in \text{Idem.}(D)$. If $f = 1 + v\epsilon = 1 + v\epsilon - \epsilon + \epsilon = (1 + v\epsilon - \epsilon) + \epsilon$ where $(1 + v\epsilon - \epsilon)^2 = (1 + v\epsilon - \epsilon)(1 + v\epsilon - \epsilon) = 1 + v\epsilon - \epsilon + v\epsilon + v^2\epsilon^2 - v\epsilon^2 - \epsilon - v\epsilon^2 + \epsilon^2 = 1 \in \text{Invo.}(D) \subseteq U(D)$ and $\epsilon \in \text{Idem.}(D)$, f is clean element. D is a clean ring.

Example:

$D = Z_6 = \{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$, $U(Z_6) = \{1, 5\}$, $\text{Idem.}(D) = \{0, 1, 3, 4\}$, $2 = 2(5)2$, $3 = 3(1)3$, $4 = 4(1)4$, $5 = 5(5)5$. Z_6 is abelian L.I.Reg.Ring. We say Z_6 is clean ring if each element in Z_6 can be written as $(\mu + \epsilon)$ where $\mu \in U(Z_6)$ and $\epsilon \in \text{Idem.}(Z_6)$. $2 = 1 + 1$, $3 = 5 + 4 = 9 = 3$, $4 = 1 + 3$, $5 = 1 + 4$. Therefore Z_6 is clean ring

References

- [1] Rege, M.B. (1986), "On Von Neumann regular ring and SF-rings", Math.Japan 31(6),927-936.
- [2] PETER V. DANCHEV (2018) "Invo-regular unital rings" Ann.Univ.Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect.A Mathematica,72, 45-53.
- [3] V.P.Camillo,D.Khurana (2001), "A characterization of unit regular rings", Common.Algebra29, 2293-2295.
- [4] Ehrlich, G., (1968), "Unit-regular rings", Portugal.Math.27, 209-212.
- [5] P.V.Danchev 2021 "Locally Invo-Regular Rings" Azerbaijan Journal of Mathematic V.11,No.1 ISSN 2218-6816.
- [6] Ashrafi, N.and Nasibi,E.(2013), "r-Clean Rings" to appear in mathematical Report, Vol.15(65), No.2.
- [7] Emad Abuosba, and Osama Alkam, (2004) "Combining Local and Von Neumann Regular Rings", COMMUNICATIONS IN ALGEBRA, Vol.32, No.7, pp.2639-2653.
- [8] Peter V. DANCHEV (2019), "WEAKLY TRIPOTENT RINGS", KRAGUJEVAC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL, Vol.43(3),pp.465-469.
- [9] S. Breaz, A.Cîmpean, (2018) "weakly tripotent rings", Bull.Korean Math.Soc.,55(4),1179-1187
- [10] Burton, D. M. (1970), "A First Course in Rings and Ideals", Addison Wesley Publishing company.
- [11] Anderson, D. (2012) "Von Neumann Regular and Related Elements in Commutative Rings" Algebra Colloquium 19 (Spec 1) 1017-1040.