

Coincidence Point Theorem on Parametric Cone Metric Space for AJ's Pair

S.Dhivya

Assistant Professor, PG & Research Department of Mathematics, Kandaswami Kandar's College, Velur, Namakkal (Dt), Tamilnadu, India

Article History:

Received: 15-10-2024

Revised: 22-11-2024

Accepted: 02-12-2024

Abstract:

The article is based on the coincidence point theory especially in parametric cone metric space. Some coincidence point theorems of contractive mappings are proved by omitting the normality conditions.

Keywords: Fixed point, Coincidence point, cone metric space, parametric cone-metric space.

1. Introduction

Huang and Zhang [2] generalized the concept of a metric space by incorporating vectorvalued metrics in an ordered real Banach space. Fixed point theory is a fundamental tool in nonlinear analysis, with numerous applications. In 2012, Azam [8] introduced the concept of coincidence points for mappings and obtained relevant results under a contractive condition in metric spaces. Moreover, Huang and Zhang [2] introduced cone-metric spaces, in which interior points were investigated using partial orders and normality conditions. In the present work, we exclude the assumption of normality conditions in parametric spaces, as introduced by V. Subash and M. Angayarkanni [11], and apply the resulting framework to the study of coincidence points.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [4] A neighborhood V of e is symmetric if $V^{-1} = V$. If W is any neighborhood V of e such that $V * V \subset W$

Definition 2.2. [2] Let (X, d) be a cone metric space and P be a cone with non empty interior. Suppose $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ are such that the range of g contains the range of f and $f(X)$ or $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X . The pair (f, g) is AJ's pair.

Definition 2.3. [8] Let E be a Banach Space with norm $\| \cdot \|$ and \mathcal{A} be a subset of E . The \mathcal{A} is said to be a cone if it satisfies

- \mathcal{A} is closed, non empty and $\mathcal{A} \neq \theta$, where θ is a zero vector in \mathcal{A} .
- If $\lambda, \mu \geq 0$ and $p, q \in \mathcal{A}$ then $p + \mu q \in \mathcal{A}$.
- If $p, -p \in \mathcal{A}$ then $p = \theta$.

3. Main Result

Proposition 3.1.

If $c \in \text{int } \mathcal{P}$, $0 \leq a_n$ and $a_n \rightarrow 0$ then there exists a number such that for all $n > n_0$ we have $a_n \ll c$.

Proof:

Given $0 \ll c$, choose a symmetric neighbourhood \mathcal{V} such that $c + \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{P}$. As $a_n \rightarrow 0$, there is a n_0 such that $a_n \in \mathcal{V} = -\mathcal{V}$ for $n > n_0 \Rightarrow c + a_n \subset c + \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{P}$, $n > n_0 \Rightarrow a_n \ll c$.

Proposition 3.2.

Let $0 \ll c$. If $0 \leq d(a_n, a, t) \leq b_n$ and $b_n \rightarrow 0$ then eventually $d(a_n, a, t) \ll c$, where a_n, a are sequence and given point in \mathcal{X} , parametric cone metric space.

Proof:

Let $0 \ll c$. By Proposition 3.1, we have $b_n \ll c$. But $d(a_n, a, t) \leq b_n \ll c \Rightarrow d(a_n, a, t) \ll c$.

Proposition 3.3.

If E is a real Banach space with cone \mathcal{P} and if $a \leq \mu a$ where $a \in \mathcal{P}$ and $0 < \mu < 1$ then $a = 0$.

Proof:

We have $a \leq \mu a$ Then $\mu a - a \in \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow (\mu - 1)a \in \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow -(1 - \mu)a \in \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow (1 - \mu)a \in -\mathcal{P}$. Also $a \in \mathcal{P}$ and $1 - \mu > 0$ then $(1 - \mu)a \in \mathcal{P}$. Thus $(1 - \mu)a \in \mathcal{P} \cap -\mathcal{P} = \{0\}$. $\therefore a = 0$

Theorem 3.1.

Suppose that (f, g) is AJ's pair and that for some constant $\mu \in (0, 1]$ and for every $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$, a PCMS, there exists

$$u = u(a, b, c) \in \left\{ d(ga, gb, t), d(fa, ga, t), d(fb, gb, t), \frac{d(fa, gb, t) + d(fb, ga, t)}{2} \right\} \dots (3.1)$$

such that

$$d(fa, fb, t) \leq \mu u \dots \dots \dots (3.2)$$

Then (f, g) have unique coincidence point in \mathcal{X} .

Proof: Choose $a_0, a_1 \in \mathcal{X}$, satisfying that $ga_1 = fa_0 = b_0$. By this $a_n, a_{n+1} \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $ga_{n+1} = fa_n = b_n$. We claim that,

$$d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) \leq \mu d(b_{n-1}, b_n, t), n \geq 1 \dots \dots \dots (3.3)$$

By Equation 3.2, $d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) = d(fa_n, fa_{n+1}, t)$

$$\leq \mu u \dots \dots \dots (3.4)$$

where

$$u \in \left\{ d(ga_n, ga_{n+1}, t), d(fa_n, ga_n, t), d(fa_{n+1}, ga_{n+1}, t), \frac{d(fa_n, ga_{n+1}, t) + d(fa_{n+1}, ga_n, t)}{2} \right\}$$

$$u \in \left\{ d(b_n, b_{n-1}, t), d(b_{n+1}, b_n, t), \frac{d(b_{n+1}, b_{n-1}, t)}{2} \right\} \dots\dots\dots (3.5)$$

Now consider,

Case (i): If $u = d(b_{n-1}, b_n, t)$ then $d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) \leq \mu d(b_{n-1}, b_n, t)$ holds.

Case (ii): If $u = d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t)$ then

$$d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) \leq d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t), \mu \in (0,1]$$

$\Rightarrow d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) = 0$; By Proposition (3.3)

and so Equation (3.3) holds.

Case (iii): If $u = d(b_{n-1}, b_{n+1}, t)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) &\leq \frac{\mu}{2} d(b_{n-1}, b_{n+1}, t) \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{2} [d(b_{n-1}, b_n, t) + d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t)] \\ &\leq \frac{\mu}{2} (1 - \mu/2)^{-1} d(b_{n-1}, b_n, t) \end{aligned}$$

$< \mu d(b_{n-1}, b_n, t)$

and so Equation (3.3) holds.

By iteration, $d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) \leq \mu^2 d(b_{n-2}, b_{n-1}, t)$

$\leq \mu^3 d(b_{n-3}, b_{n-2}, t)$

\vdots

$\leq \mu^n d(b_0, b_1, t)$

Now we assert that $\{b_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence. For $n > m$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} d(b_n, b_m, t) &\leq d(b_n, b_{(n-1)}, t) + d(b_{(n-1)}, b_m, t) \\ &\leq d(b_n, b_{n-1}, t) + d(b_{n-1}, b_{n-2}, t) + \dots + d(b_{m+1}, b_m, t) \\ &\leq [\mu^{n-1} + \mu^{n-2} + \dots + \mu^m] d(b_0, b_1, t) \\ &= \mu^m \frac{1}{1 - \mu} d(b_0, b_1, t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow d(b_n, b_m, t) \ll c$.

Thus $\{b_n\}$ is Cauchy in P C M - metric space.

Since $f(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq g(\mathcal{X})$ and $g(\mathcal{X})$ is complete, there exists a $q \in g(\mathcal{X})$ such that $g(a_n) \rightarrow q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

To find: $p \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $gp = q = fp$ we have $gp = q$ where $p \in \mathcal{X}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now,} \quad d(fp, q, t) &\leq d(fp, fa_n, t) + d(fa_n, q, t) \\ &\leq \mu u + d(fa_n, a, t) \end{aligned}$$

where $u \in \left\{ d(ga_n, gp, t), d(fa_n, ga_n, t), d(fp, gp, t), \frac{d(fa_n, gp, t) + d(fp, ga_n, t)}{2} \right\}$

Let $0 \ll c$, for infinitely many n ,

Case (i): If $u = d(ga_n, gp, t)$ then,

$$d(gp, q, t) \leq \mu d(ga_n, gp, t) + d(fa_n, q, t)$$

$$\leq \mu d(ga_n, q, t) + d(fa_n, q, t)$$

$$\ll \mu \frac{c}{2\mu} + \frac{c}{2}$$

$$d(fp, q, t) \ll c$$

Case (ii): If $u = d(fa_n, ga_n, t)$ then

$$d(fp, q, t) \leq \mu d(fa_n, ga_n, t) + d(fa_n, q, t)$$

$$\leq (\mu + 1)d(fa_n, q, t) + \mu d(q, ga_n, t)$$

$$\ll c$$

Case (iii): If $u = d(fp, gp, t)$ then

$$d(fp, q, t) \leq \mu d(fp, gp, t) + d(fa_n, q, t)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{1 - \mu} d(fa_n, q, t)$$

$$\ll c$$

Case (iv): If $u = \frac{d(fa_n, gp, t) + d(fp, ga_n, t)}{2}$ then

$$d(fp, q, t) \leq \mu \left\{ \frac{d(fa_n, gp, t) + d(fp, ga_n, t)}{2} \right\} + d(fa_n, q, t)$$

$$\leq \left\{ \frac{2 + \mu}{2 - \mu} \right\} d(fa_n, q, t)$$

$$\ll c$$

$$\therefore d(fp, q, t) = 0 \Rightarrow fp = q$$

Hence f and g have a coincidence point $p \in \mathcal{X}$ and a point of coincidence $q \in \mathcal{X}$ with $fp = gp = q$.

Uniqueness: Let q_1 be another point of coincidence then there is $p_1 \in \mathcal{X}$ with $q_1 = fp_1 = gp_1$.

$$\text{Now, } d(q, q_1, t) = d(fp, fp_1, t)$$

$$\leq \mu u$$

$$\text{Where } u \in \left\{ d(gp, gp_1, t), d(fp, gp, t), d(fp_1, gp_1, t), \frac{d(fp, gp_1, t) + d(fp_1, gp, t)}{2} \right\}$$

$$u \in \{0, d(q, q_1, t)\}$$

$$\therefore d(q, q_1, t) \leq \mu d(q, q_1, t)$$

$$\Rightarrow q = q_1$$

Thus q is the unique point of coincidence for f and g .

Theorem 3.2.

Suppose that (f, g) is AJ's pair and for some constant $\mu \in (0, 1]$; for every $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists $u = u(a, b, t) \in \left\{ d(ga, gb, t), \frac{d(fa, ga, t) + d(fb, gb, t)}{2}, \frac{d(fa, gb, t) + d(fb, ga, t)}{2} \right\}$ such that $d(fa, fb, t) \leq \mu u$. Then f, g have a unique coincidence point in \mathcal{X} .

Proof:

Let $a_0, a_1 \in \mathcal{X}$. Then $ga_1 = fa_0 = b_0$.

In general, if $a_{n+1} \in \mathcal{X}$ then we can have $ga_{n+1} = fa_n = b_n$.

To Prove: $d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) \leq d(b_{n-1}, b_n, t), n \geq 1$

Now $d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) = d(fa_n, fa_{n+1}, t) \leq \mu u$

$$\text{Where } u \in \left\{ d(ga_n, ga_{n+1}, t), \frac{d(fa_n, ga_n, t) + d(fa_{n+1}, ga_{n+1}, t)}{2}, \frac{d(fa_n, ga_{n+1}, t) + d(fa_{n+1}, ga_n, t)}{2} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow u \in \left\{ d(b_{n-1}, b_n, t), \frac{d(b_n, b_{n-1}, t) + d(b_{n+1}, b_n, t)}{2}, \frac{d(b_{n+1}, b_{n-1}, t)}{2} \right\}$$

Case(i): If $u = d(b_{n-1}, b_n, t)$ then, $d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) \leq \mu d(b_{n-1}, b_n, t)$ holds.

Case(ii): If $u = \frac{d(b_n, b_{n-1}, t) + d(b_{n+1}, b_n, t)}{2}$ then

$$d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) \leq \mu \frac{d(b_n, b_{n-1}, t) + d(b_{n+1}, b_n, t)}{2}$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2}\right) d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) \leq d(b_n, b_{n-1}, t)$$

$$d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) \leq \left(\frac{\mu}{2 - \mu}\right) d(b_n, b_{n-1}, t)$$

$\leq \mu d(b_n, b_{n-1}, t)$ holds

Case(iii): If $u = \frac{d(b_{n+1}, b_{n-1}, t)}{2}$ then $d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) \leq \mu \frac{d(b_{n+1}, b_{n-1}, t)}{2}$

$$\left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2}\right) d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) \leq \frac{\mu}{2} d(b_n, b_{n-1}, t)$$

$\leq \mu d(b_n, b_{n-1}, t)$ holds.

By theorem (3.1), $\{b_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

As f, g is a AJ's pair, there exists a $q \in g(\mathcal{X})$ $p \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $g(x_n) \rightarrow q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $gp = q$.

To prove: $fp = gp = q$

Now consider $d(fp, q, t) \leq d(fp, fa_n, t) + d(fa_n, q, t)$

$$\leq \mu u + d(fa_n, q, t)$$

where $u \in \left\{ d(ga_n, gp, t), \frac{d(fa_n, ga_n, t) + d(fp, gp, t)}{2}, \frac{d(fa_n, gp, t) + d(fp, ga_n, t)}{2} \right\}$

Let $c \gg 0$ for infinitely many n , we have

Case (i): If $u = d(ga_n, gp, t)$ then $d(fp, q, t) \leq \mu d(ga_n, gp, t) + d(fa_n, q, t) \ll \mu$

Case (ii): If $u = \frac{d(fa_n, ga_n, t) + d(fp, gp, t)}{2}$ then

$$d(fp, q, t) \leq \mu \left(\frac{d(fa_n, ga_n, t) + d(fp, gp, t)}{2} \right) + d(fa_n, q, t)$$

$$= \mu \left(\frac{d(fa_n, q, t) + d(fp, q, t)}{2} \right) + d(fa_n, q, t)$$

$$= \left(\frac{\mu}{2} + 1 \right) d(fa_n, q, t) + \frac{\mu}{2} d(fp, q, t)$$

$$\Rightarrow d(fp, q, t) [1 - \frac{\mu}{2}] \leq \left(\frac{\mu + 2}{2} \right) d(fa_n, q, t)$$

$$\Rightarrow d(fp, q, t) \leq \left(\frac{\mu + 2}{2 - \mu} \right) d(fa_n, q, t)$$

$$\ll \left(\frac{\mu + 2}{2 - \mu} \right) \left(\frac{2 - \mu}{\mu + 2} \right) c$$

$$\Rightarrow d(fp, q, t) \ll c.$$

Case (iii): If $u = \frac{d(fa_n, gp, t) + d(fp, ga_n, t)}{2}$ then

$$d(fp, q, t) \leq \mu \left(\frac{d(fa_n, gp, t) + d(fp, ga_n, t)}{2} \right) + d(fa_n, q, t)$$

$$= \frac{\mu}{2} d(fa_n, gp, t) + \frac{\mu}{2} d(fp, ga_n, t) + d(fa_n, q, t)$$

$$\leq \frac{(2 + \mu)}{2} d(fa_n, q, t) + \frac{\mu}{2} d(fp, q, t) + \frac{\mu}{2} d(q, ga_n, t)$$

$$d(fp, q, t) \ll c$$

$$\therefore d(fp, q, t) \ll c \text{ for every } c \in \text{int } \mathcal{P}$$

$$\therefore fp = q.$$

Thus q is the point of coincidence.

Uniqueness: Let q_1 be another point of coincidence with $fp_1 = gp_1 = q_1$.

To prove: $q = q_1$.

$$\text{Consider } d(q, q_1, t) \leq \mu u \Rightarrow d(fp, fp_1, t) \leq \mu u$$

$$\text{where } u \in \left\{ d(gp, gp_1, t), \frac{d(fp, gp, t) + d(fp_1, gp_1, t)}{2}, \frac{d(fp, gp_1, t) + d(fp_1, gp, t)}{2} \right\}$$

$$u \in \left\{ d(q, q_1, t), \frac{d(q, q, t) + d(q, q_1, t)}{2}, \frac{d(q, q_1, t) + d(q_1, q, t)}{2} \right\}$$

$$u \in \{0, d(q, q_1, t)\}$$

If $u = 0$, then $d(q, q_1, t) \leq 0$ (absurd)

If $u = d(q, q_1, t)$ then $d(q, q_1, t) \leq \mu d(q, q_1, t)$

$$(1 - \mu)d(q, q_1, t) \leq 0$$

$$1 - \mu \leq 0 \text{ (Impossible)}$$

$$d(q, q_1, t) = 0.$$

$$\therefore q = q_1$$

Corollary 3.1. Suppose that (f, g) is AJ's pair and for some constant $\mu \in (0, 1]$ and for every $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$, $d(fa, fb, t) \leq \mu d(ga, gb, t)$ then f, g have a unique coincidence point.

Proof: Choose $a_0 \in \mathcal{X}$. Then $ga_{n+1} = fa_n = b_n$.

Now $d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) = d(fa_n, fa_{n+1}, t) \leq \mu d(ga_n, ga_{n+1}, t) = \mu d(b_{n-1}, b_n, t)$.

From theorem (3.1), $\{b_n\}$ is a Cauchy and has a unique coincidence point.

By the theorem (3.2) the following corollaries get true.

Corollary 3.2. Suppose that (f, g) is AJ's pair and for some constant $\mu \in (0, 1]$ and for every $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$; $d(fa, fb, t) \leq \mu \left[\frac{d(fa, ga, t) + d(fb, gb, t)}{2} \right]$ then f, g have unique coincidence point.

Corollary 3.3. Suppose that (f, g) is AJ's pair and for some constant $\mu \in (0, 1]$ and for every $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$; $d(fa, fb, t) \leq \mu \left[\frac{d(fa, gb, t) + d(fb, ga, t)}{2} \right]$ then f, g have unique coincidence point.

Corollary 3.4. Suppose that (f, g) is AJ's pair and for some constant $\mu \in (0, 1]$ and for every $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$; there exists $u = u(a, b, t) \in \{d(ga, gb, t), d(fa, ga, t), d(fb, gb, t)\}$ such that $d(fa, fb, t) \leq \mu u$ then f, g have unique coincidence point.

Corollary 3.5. Suppose that (f, g) is AJ's pair and for some constant $\mu \in (0, 1]$ and for every $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$; there exists $u = u(a, b, t) \in \{d(fa, ga, t), d(fb, gb, t)\}$ such that $d(fa, fb, t) \leq \mu u$ then f, g have unique coincidence point.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that (f, g) is AJ's pair and there exists non-negative constants a_i satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^5 a_i < 1$ such that for each $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$;

$d(fa, fb, t) \leq a_1 d(ga, gb, t) + a_2 d(ga, fa, t) + a_3 d(gb, fb, t) + a_4 d(ga, fb, t) + a_5 d(gb, fa, t)$. Then f, g have a unique coincidence point in \mathcal{X} .

Proof: Choose $a_0, a_1 \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $ga_1 = fa_0 = b_0$. Then $a_{n+1} \in \mathcal{X}$ for $a_n \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $ga_{n+1} = fa_n = b_n$.

Now we assert that, $d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) \leq \mu d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t); \mu \in (0, 1], n \geq 1$.

Consider,

$$d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) = d(fa_n, fa_{n+1}, t) \leq a_1 d(ga_n, ga_{n+1}, t) + a_2 d(ga_n, fa_n, t)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+a_3d(ga_{n+1}, fa_{n+1}, t) + a_4d(ga_n, fa_{n+1}, t) + a_5d(ga_{n+1}, fa_n, t) \\
 &= a_1d(b_{n-1}, b_n, t) + a_2d(b_{n-1}, b_n, t) + a_3d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) \\
 &+a_4d(b_{n-1}, b_{n+1}, t) + a_5d(b_n, b_n, t) \\
 &= (a_1 + a_2)d(b_{n-1}, b_n, t) + a_3d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) + a_4d(b_{n-1}, b_{n+1}, t) \dots (3.6)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(b_{n+1}, b_n, t) &= d(fa_{n+1}, fa_n, t) \\
 &\leq a_1d(ga_{n+1}, ga_n, t) + a_2d(ga_{n+1}, fa_{n+1}, t) + a_3d(ga_n, fa_n, t) \\
 &\quad +a_4d(ga_{n+1}, fa_n, t) + a_5d(ga_n, fa_{n+1}, t) \\
 &= a_1d(b_n, b_{n-1}, t) + a_2d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) + a_3d(b_{n-1}, b_n, t) \\
 &+a_4d(b_n, b_n, t) + a_5d(b_{n-1}, b_{n+1}, t) \\
 &= (a_1 + a_3)d(b_n, b_{n-1}, t) + a_2d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) + a_5d(b_{n-1}, b_{n+1}, t) \dots(3.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

From Equations (3.6) and (3.7),

$$\begin{aligned}
 2d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) &\leq (2a_1 + a_2 + a_3)d(b_n, b_{n-1}, t) + (a_2 + a_3)d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) \\
 &+ (a_4 + a_5)d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) \\
 &\leq (2a_1 + a_2 + a_3)d(b_n, b_{n-1}, t) + (a_2 + a_3)d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) \\
 &\quad + (a_4 + a_5)d(b_{n-1}, b_n, t) + d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) \\
 &= (2a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5)d(b_n, b_{n-1}, t) + (a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5)d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t)d(b_n, b_{n+1}, t) \\
 &\leq \left[\frac{(2a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5)}{(2 - a_2 - a_3 - a_4 - a_5)} \right] d(b_n, b_{n-1}, t) \\
 &< d(b_n, b_{n-1}, t) \\
 &= \mu d(b_n, b_{n-1}, t)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = 1 \in (0,1]$

From theorem (3.1) $\{b_n\}$ is Cauchy.

Thus there exists $q \in g(\mathcal{X})$ with $p \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $ga_n \rightarrow q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $gp = q$.

Now we assert that $fp = q$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(fp, q, t) &\leq d(fp, fa_n, t) + d(fa_n, q, t) \\
 &\leq a_1d(gp, ga_n, t) + a_2d(gp, fp, t) + a_3d(ga_n, fa_n, t) + a_4d(gp, fa_n, t) + a_5d(ga_n, fp, t) + d(fa_n, q, t) \\
 &= a_1d(q, ga_n, t) + a_2d(q, fp, t) + a_3d(ga_n, fa_n, t) + a_4d(q, fa_n, t) + a_5d(ga_n, fp, t) \\
 &\quad + d(fa_n, q, t)(1 - a_2)d(q, fp, t) \\
 &\leq a_1d(q, ga_n, t) + a_3d(ga_n, fa_n, t) + a_5d(ga_n, fp, t) + (1 + a_4)d(fa_n, q, t)(1 - a_2)d(q, fp, t) \\
 &\leq a_1d(q, ga_n, t) + a_3d(ga_n, q, t) + a_3d(fa_n, q, t) + a_5d(ga_n, q, t) + a_5d(fp, q, t) \\
 &\quad + (1 + a_4)d(fa_n, q, t)(1 - a_2 - a_5)d(fp, q, t) \\
 &\leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_5)d(ga_n, q, t) + (1 + a_3 + a_4)d(fa_n, q, t)d(fp, q, t)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (a_1 + a_3 + a_5) (1 - a_2 - a_5) d(ga_n, q, t) + (1 + a_3 + a_4) (1 - a_2 - a_5) d(fa_n, q, t)$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$d(fp, q, t) \ll \frac{a_1 + a_3 + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_5} \frac{c(1 - a_2 - a_5)}{2(a_1 + a_3 + a_5)} + \frac{1 + a_3 + a_4}{1 - a_2 - a_5} \frac{c(1 - a_2 - a_5)}{2(1 + a_3 + a_4)} = c$$

$$d(fp, q, t) \ll c$$

$$\therefore fp = q$$

Thus f, g have a point of coincidence q .

Uniqueness:

If q_1 is another point of coincidence then there exists $p_1 \in X$ such that $fp_1 = q_1 = gp_1$. Now

$$d(q, q_1, t) = d(fp, fp_1, t)$$

$$\leq a_1 d(gp, gp_1, t) + a_2 d(gp, fp, t) + a_3 d(gp_1, fp_1, t) + a_4 d(gp, fp_1, t) + a_5 d(gp_1, fp, t)$$

$$= a_1 d(q, q_1, t) + a_2 d(q, q, t) + a_3 d(q_1, q_1, t) + a_4 d(q, q_1, t) + a_5 d(q_1, fp, t) d(q, q_1, t)$$

$$\leq (a_1 + a_4 + a_5) d(q, q_1, t)$$

$$1 \leq a_1 + a_4 + a_5$$

This is absurd.

$$\therefore q = q_1.$$

Hence f, g have a unique point of coincidence.

Example 3.1. If $X = \mathbb{R}, E = \mathbb{R}^1 [0,1]$ and $\mathcal{P} = \{\varphi \in E | \varphi \geq 0\}$ then we define $d : X \times X \times [0, \infty] \rightarrow E$ by $d(a, b, t) = t|a - b|\varphi$ where $\varphi : [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi(p) = e^p$. It can easily be verified that (X, d) is a parametric cone metric space. Consider the mappings $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ defined as $fa = \begin{cases} \frac{a}{(1+\alpha)} + \beta, a \neq 0 \\ 0, a = 0 \end{cases}$; $ga = \begin{cases} a + (1 + \alpha)\beta, a \neq 0 \\ 0, a = 0 \end{cases}$

Where $\alpha > 1, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$

$$d(fa, fb, t) = d\left(\frac{a}{1 + \alpha} + \beta, \frac{b}{1 + \alpha}, t\right)$$

$$= t \left| \frac{a}{1 + \alpha} + \beta - \frac{b}{1 + \alpha} \right| e^p$$

$$= t \left| \frac{a - b}{1 + \alpha} \right| e^p$$

$$d(ga, gb, t) = d(a + (1 + \alpha)\beta, b + (1 + \alpha)\beta, t)$$

$$= t | a + (1 + \alpha)\beta - b - (1 + \alpha)\beta | \varphi(t)$$

$$= t | a - b | e^p$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{1+\alpha} \leq \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow (1 + \alpha)\lambda \geq 1$$

Thus $d(fa, fb, t) \leq \lambda d(ga, gb, t)$, where $\lambda = \frac{1}{1+\alpha} \in (0,1]$

Thus f and g coincide at the point 0.

References

- [1] B.E.Rhoades, A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 226 (1977), 257-290.
- [2] L.G.Huang and X.Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *Journal of mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 332(2) (2007), 1468-1476.
- [3] Sh.Rezapour and R.Hamlbarani, Some notes on the paper: Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 345(2) (2008), 719-724.
- [4] M.Abbas and G.Jungck, Common fixed point results for non commuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 341(1) (2008) 416-420.
- [5] P.Raja and S.M. Vaezpour, Some Extensions of Banach's contraction principle in complete cone metric spaces, *Fixed Point theory and its Applications*, (2008), Pages 11, Article ID 768294.
- [6] Sh.Rezapour, A review on topological properties of cone metric spaces, *Analysis, Topology and Applications*, Varjacka Banja, Serbia, May-June 2008.
- [7] G.Jungck, S.Radenovic, S.Radojevic, V.Rakocevic, Common fixed point theorems for weekly compatible pairs on cone metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 2009 (2009), Pages 13, Article ID 643840.
- [8] Azam, A., Coincidence points of mappings and relations with applications, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2012 (50) (2012), <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2012-50>.
- [9] Ritika Choudary, Arunkumar Garg, Fixed Point results in parametric metric space, *International Journal on emerging technologies*, 10(26)(2019), 100-104.
- [10] R.D.Sharma, Arunkumar Garg, Fixed Point Theorems in Parametric Metric Space for contraction mapping, *Advances in Mathematics, Sci Journal* 9,(8)(2020), 5401-5407.
- [11] M.Angayarkanni, V.Subash, Theorems on Fixed Points in Parametric Cone -metric space, communicated.
- [12] C.D.Aliprantis and R.tourky, *Cones and Duality*, Vol 84 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, USA, 2007.