

## A Note on Linear Operator Defined by Lambda Function for Certain Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions

**G. Swapna<sup>1,\*</sup>, B. Venkateswarlu<sup>1</sup>, Sridevi Dandu<sup>2</sup>, S. Gayathri<sup>3</sup>, Vuyyuru Lalitha<sup>4</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, GSS, GITAM University, Doddaballapur- 562 163, Bengaluru Rural, Karnataka, India.

<sup>1,\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, Mallareddy University, Maisammaguda, Dulapally, Hyderabad, Telangana 500100

<sup>2</sup>Department of Engineering Mathematics and Humanities, SRKR Engineering College, Bhimavaram -534202, West Godavari District, A.P, India.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Aarupadai veedu Institute of Technology, Vinayaka Mission's Research Foundation, Paiyanoor -603104, Tamilnadu.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation(KLEF), Greenfields, Vaddeswaram, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh, Pin:522502, India

### Article History:

**Received:** 26-09-2024

**Revised:** 19-11-2024

**Accepted:** 28-11-2024

### Abstract:

In this manuscript, we initiate a novel Categories of consistently curved functions with unconstructive coefficients defined by lambda operator. We obtain the coefficient limits, tremendous points and radii of Celestial resemblance as well as roundness for functions belonging to the class  $TS(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$ . Moreover, incomplete sums  $u_k$  of functions  $v(z)$  in the class  $S(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$  are careful with pointed lower bounds for the ratios of real part of  $v(z)$  to  $v_k(z)$  and  $v'(z)$  to  $v'_k(z)$  are determined and also discussed neighbourhood results for this group.

**Keywords:** Analytic, Coefficient bounds, Partial sums

## 1. Introduction

Let  $A$  denote the class of all functions  $v(z)$  of the form

$$v(z) = z + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} e_{\eta} z^{\eta} \tag{1.1}$$

In the open unit disc  $E = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ .

Let  $S$  be the subclass of  $A$  consists of univalent functions and satisfy the following usual normalization condition  $v(0) = v'(0) - 1 = 0$ .

We denote by  $S$  the subclass of  $A$  consisting of functions  $v(z)$  which are all univalent in  $E$ .

A function  $v \in A$  is a starlike function of the order  $\mathfrak{W}$ ,  $0 \leq \mathfrak{W} < 1$  if it satisfy

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{zv'(z)}{v(z)} \right\} > \mathfrak{W}, \quad (z \in E). \tag{1.2}$$

We denote this class with  $S^*(\mathfrak{W})$ .

A function  $v \in A$  is a convex function of the order  $\mathfrak{W}$ ,  $0 \leq \mathfrak{W} < 1$ , if it satisfy

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{z v''(z)}{v'(z)} \right\} > \omega, \quad (z \in E). \quad (1.3)$$

We denote this class with  $K(\omega)$ .

Let  $T$  denote the class of functions analytic in  $E$  that are of the form

$$v(z) = z - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} e_{\eta} z^{\eta}, \quad (e_{\eta} \geq 0, z \in E). \quad (1.4)$$

And let  $T^*(\omega) = T \cap S^*(\omega)$ ,  $C(\omega) = T \cap K(\omega)$ . This class  $T^*(\omega)$  and allied classes possess some interesting properties and have been extensively studied by Silverman [11] and others.

A function  $v \in A$  is said to be in the class of uniformly convex functions of order  $\gamma$  and type  $\rho$ , denoted by  $UCV(\gamma, \rho)$ , if

$$\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{z v''(z)}{v'(z)} - \gamma \right\} > \rho \left| \frac{z v''(z)}{v'(z)} \right|. \quad (1.5)$$

Where  $\rho \geq 0$ ,  $\gamma \in [-1, 1)$  and  $\rho + \gamma \geq 0$  and it is said to be in the class corresponding class denoted by  $SP(\gamma, \rho)$ , if

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{z v'(z)}{v(z)} - \gamma \right\} > \rho \left| \frac{z v'(z)}{v(z)} - 1 \right|. \quad (1.6)$$

Where  $\rho \geq 0$ ,  $\gamma \in [-1, 1)$  and  $\rho + \gamma \geq 0$ . Indeed it follows from (1.5) and (1.6) that  $v \in UCV(\gamma, \rho) \Leftrightarrow z v' \in SP(\gamma, \rho)$ . (1.7)

For  $\rho = 0$ , we get respectively, the classes  $K(\gamma)$  and  $S^*(\gamma)$ . The function of the class  $UCV(0, 1) \equiv UCV$  are called uniformly convex functions, were introduced and studied by Goodman with geometric interpretation in [4]. The class  $SP(0, 1) \equiv SP$  is defined by Ronning [9].

The classes  $UCV(1, \rho) \equiv UCV(\rho)$  and  $SP(1, \rho) \equiv SP(\rho)$  are investigated by Ronning in [8]. For  $\gamma = 0$ , the classes  $UCV(0, \rho) \equiv \rho - UCV$  and  $SP(0, \rho) \equiv \rho - SP$  are defined respectively, by Kanas and Wisniowska in [5, 6].

Further, Ahuja et al. [1], Bharathi et al. [2], Murugusundarmoorthy and Magesh [7] and Thirupathi Reddy and Venkateswarlu [15] have studied and investigated interesting properties for the classes  $UCV(\gamma, \rho)$  and  $SP(\gamma, \rho)$ .

For  $v \in A$  given by (1.1) and  $t(z)$  given by

$$t(z) = z + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} b_{\eta} z^{\eta} \quad (1.8)$$

Their convolution (or Hadamard product), denoted by  $(v * t)$ , is defined as

$$(v * t)(z) = z + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} e_{\eta} b_{\eta} z^{\eta} = (t * v)(z), \quad (z \in E). \quad (1.9)$$

Note that  $v * t \in A$ .

Let us recall lambda function [14] specified by

$$\lambda(x, \lambda) = \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} b_{\eta} \frac{x^{\eta}}{(2\eta + 1)^{\eta}}$$

Where  $x \in E, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , when  $|x| < 1, \Re(\lambda) > 1$ , when  $|x| = 1$  and let  $\lambda^{(-1)}(x, \lambda)$  be defined such that

$$\lambda(x, \lambda) * \lambda^{(-1)}(x, \lambda) = \frac{1}{(1-x)^{\omega+1}}, \quad \omega > -1.$$

We now define  $(x \lambda^{(-1)}(x, \lambda))$  as the following

$$(x \lambda(x, \lambda)) * (x \lambda^{(-1)}(x, \lambda)) = \frac{x}{(1-x)^{\omega+1}}$$

$$(x \lambda(x, \lambda))(x \lambda^{(-1)}(x, \lambda)) = x + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\omega + 1)_{\eta-1}}{(\eta - 1)!} x^{\eta}, \quad \omega > -1$$

And obtain the following linear operator

$$\mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(x) = (x \lambda^{(-1)}(x, \lambda)) * v(x) \quad \text{where } v \in A, x \in E \quad \text{and}$$

$$(x \lambda^{(-1)}(x, \lambda)) = x + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\omega + 1)_{\eta-1} (2\eta - 1)^{\lambda}}{(\eta - 1)!} x^{\eta}.$$

A simple computation gives us

$$\mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(x) = x + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta) e_{\eta} x^{\eta} \tag{1.10}$$

$$\text{where } \phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta) = \frac{(\omega + 1)_{\eta-1} (2\eta - 1)^{\lambda}}{(\eta - 1)!}, \tag{1.11}$$

Where  $(v)_{\eta}$  is the Pochhammer symbol defined in terms of the Gamma function by

$$(v)_{\eta} = \frac{\Gamma(\omega + \eta)}{\Gamma(\omega)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \eta = 0 \\ \omega(\omega + 1) \dots (\omega + \eta - 1), & \text{if } \eta \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

Now, by making use of the linear operator  $\mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v$ , we define a new subclass of functions belonging to the class  $A$ .

**Definition 1.1.** For  $-1 \leq \omega < 1, 0 \leq \tau < 1$  and  $\rho \geq 0$ , we let  $S(\tau, \omega, \rho, \omega, \lambda)$  be the subclass of  $A$  Composed offunctions of the type (1.1) and rewarding theregular principle

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{x \left( \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(x) \right)' + \tau x^2 \left( \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(x) \right)''}{(1-\tau) \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(x) + \tau x \left( \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(x) \right)'} - \omega \right\} \geq \rho \left| \frac{x \left( \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(x) \right)' + \tau x^2 \left( \lambda v(x) \right)''}{(1-\tau) \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(x) + \tau x \left( \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(x) \right)'} - 1 \right|$$

for  $\varkappa \in E$ .

By correctly concentrating the principles of  $\tau, \omega$  and  $\lambda$ , the class  $S(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$  can be shrinks to the class deliberate former by Ronning[8, 9].

## 2. Constraints on coefficients

In this segment, we acquire a obligatory and competent ailment for function  $v(\varkappa)$  is in the classes  $S(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$  and  $TS(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.1.** The function  $v$  specified by (1.1) is in the class  $S(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$  if

$$\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [I + \tau(\eta - I)] [\eta(I + \varrho) - (\mathfrak{W} + \varrho)] \phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta) |e_{\eta}| \leq I - \mathfrak{W}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $-1 \leq \mathfrak{W} < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$  and  $\varrho \geq 0$ .

**Proof.** It be adequate to show that

$$\varrho \left| \frac{\varkappa \left( \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(\varkappa) \right)' + \tau \varkappa^2 \left( \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(\varkappa) \right)''}{(I - \tau) \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(\varkappa) + \tau \varkappa \left( \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(\varkappa) \right)'} - I \right| - \Re \left\{ \frac{\varkappa \left( \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(\varkappa) \right)' + \tau \varkappa^2 \left( \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(\varkappa) \right)''}{(I - \tau) \mathcal{J}_{\omega, s} v(\varkappa) + \tau \varkappa \left( \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(\varkappa) \right)'} - I \right\} \leq I - \mathfrak{W}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \varrho \left| \frac{\varkappa \left( \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(\varkappa) \right)' + \tau \varkappa^2 \left( \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(\varkappa) \right)''}{(I - \tau) \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(\varkappa) + \tau \varkappa \left( \mathcal{J}_{\mu, \lambda} v(\varkappa) \right)'} - I \right| - \Re \left\{ \frac{\varkappa \left( \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(\varkappa) \right)' + \tau \varkappa^2 \left( \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(\varkappa) \right)''}{(I - \tau) \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(\varkappa) + \tau \varkappa \left( \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(\varkappa) \right)'} - I \right\} \\ & \leq (I + \varrho) \left| \frac{\varkappa \left( \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(\varkappa) \right)' + \tau \varkappa^2 \left( \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(\varkappa) \right)''}{(I - \tau) \mathcal{J}_{\omega, s} v(\varkappa) + \tau \varkappa \left( \mathcal{J}_{\omega, \lambda} v(\varkappa) \right)'} - I \right| \\ & \leq \frac{(I + \varrho) \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} (\eta - I) [I + \tau(\eta - I)] \phi(\mu, \lambda, \eta) |e_{\eta}|}{I - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [I + \tau(\eta - I)] \phi(\mu, \lambda, \eta) |e_{\eta}|}. \end{aligned}$$

This last communication is constrained beyond by  $(I - \mathfrak{W})$  by

$$\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [I + \tau(\eta - I)] [\eta(I + \varrho) - (\mathfrak{W} + \varrho)] \phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta) |e_{\eta}| \leq I - \mathfrak{W}.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Prerequisite and fulfilling  $v(\varkappa)$  of the type (1.4) to be in the class  $TS(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$ ,  $-1 \leq \mathfrak{W} < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$ ,  $\varrho \geq 0$  is that

$$\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [I + \tau(\eta - I)] [\eta(I + \varrho) - (\mathfrak{W} + \varrho)] \phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta) |e_{\eta}| \leq I - \mathfrak{W}. \quad (2.2)$$

**Proof.** In interpretation of Theorem 2.1, only the obligation needs to be established.

If  $v \in TS(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$  and  $\varkappa$  is real then

$$\frac{l - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \eta [l + \tau(\eta - l)] \phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta) e_{\eta} \varkappa^{\eta-1}}{l - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [l + \tau(\eta - l)] \phi(\mu, s, \eta) e_{\eta} \varkappa^{\eta-1}} - \mathfrak{W} \geq \varrho \left| \frac{\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} (\eta - l) [l + \tau(\eta - l)] \phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta) |e_{\eta}|}{l - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [l + \tau(\eta - l)] \phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta) |e_{\eta}|} \right|.$$

Letting  $\varkappa \rightarrow l$  the length of the real axis, we acquire the preferred dissimilarity

$$\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [l + \tau(\eta - l)] [\eta(l + \varrho) - (\mathfrak{W} + \varrho)] \phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta) |e_{\eta}| \leq l - \mathfrak{W}.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $v(\varkappa)$  be specified by (1.4) and  $t(\varkappa) = \varkappa - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} b_{\eta} \varkappa^{\eta}$  be in the class  $TS(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$ . Then the function  $\varkappa(\varkappa)$  specified by

$$\varkappa(\varkappa) = (l - \zeta)v(\varkappa) + \zeta t(\varkappa) = \varkappa - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} c_{\eta} \varkappa^{\eta},$$

where  $c_{\eta} = (l - \zeta)e_{\eta} + \zeta b_{\eta}$ ,  $0 \leq \zeta < l$  is also in the class  $TS(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$ .

**Proof.** Let the function

$$v_j = \varkappa - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} e_{\eta,j} \varkappa^{\eta}, \quad e_{\eta,j} \geq 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \tag{2.3}$$

be in the class  $TS(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$ . It is ample to show that the function  $t(\varkappa)$  specified by

$$t(\varkappa) = \zeta v_1(\varkappa) + (l - \zeta)v_2(\varkappa), \quad 0 \leq \zeta \leq l,$$

$\in TS(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$ . Since

$$t(\varkappa) = \varkappa - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [\zeta e_{\eta,1} + (l - \zeta)e_{\eta,2}] \varkappa^{\eta},$$

an simple calculation through the assist of Theorem 2.2, provides

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [l + \tau(\eta - l)] [\eta(\varrho + l) - (\mathfrak{W} + \varrho)] \phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta) \zeta e_{\eta,1} \\ & + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [l + \tau(\eta - l)] [\eta(\varrho + l) - (\mathfrak{W} + \varrho)] \phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta) (l - \zeta) e_{\eta,2} \\ & \leq \zeta(l - \mathfrak{W}) + (l - \zeta)(l - \mathfrak{W}) \\ & \leq l - \mathfrak{W}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow t \in TS(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda).$$

Hence  $TS(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$  is convex.

### 3. Extreme points

The evidence of Theorem 3.1, surveys on appearance parallel to the evidence of the theorem on tremendous points provided in Silverman [11].

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $v_j(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X}$  and

$$v_\eta(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X} - \frac{1 - \mathfrak{W}}{[1 + \tau(\eta - 1)][\eta(\varrho + 1) - (\mathfrak{W} + \varrho)]\phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta)} \mathcal{X}^\eta, \quad (3.1)$$

for  $\eta = 2, 3, \dots$ . Then  $v(\mathcal{X}) \in TS(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda) \Leftrightarrow v(\mathcal{X})$  can be expressed in the type  $v(\mathcal{X}) = \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \zeta_\eta v_\eta(\mathcal{X})$ , where  $\zeta_\eta \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{\eta=1}^{\infty} \zeta_\eta = 1$ .

### 4. Closure theorem

**Theorem 4.1.** Let the function  $v_j(\mathcal{X}), j = 1, 2, \dots, p$  specified by (2.3) be in the classes  $TS(\tau, \mathfrak{W}_j, \varrho, \omega, \lambda), j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , respectively. Then the functions specified by  $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X} - \frac{1}{p} \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} (\sum_{j=1}^p e_{\eta,j}) \mathcal{X}^\eta$  is in the class  $TS(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$ , where  $\mathfrak{W} = \min_{1 \leq j \leq p} \{\mathfrak{W}_j\}$ , where  $-1 \leq \mathfrak{W}_j \leq 1$ .

**Proof.** Since  $v_j(\mathcal{X}) \in TS(\tau, \mathfrak{W}_j, \varrho, \omega, \lambda), j = 1, 2, \dots, p$ , by smearing Theorem 2.2 to the equation (2.3) we scrtinise that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [1 + \tau(\eta - 1)] [\eta(\varrho + 1) - (\mathfrak{W} + \varrho)] \phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta) \left( \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^p e_{\eta,j} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^p e_{\eta,j} \left( \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} [1 + \tau(\eta - 1)] [\eta(\varrho + 1) - (\mathfrak{W} + \varrho)] \phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta) e_{\eta,j} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^p (1 - \mathfrak{W}_j) \\ &\leq 1 - \mathfrak{W} \end{aligned}$$

which in examination of Theorem 2.2, once more implies that  $\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{X}) \in TS(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$  and so the evidence is inclusive.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $v \in TS(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$ . Then

1.  $v$  is function starshape of order  $w, 0 \leq w < 1$ , in the disc  $|\mathcal{X}| < r_1$   
 i.e.,  $\Re \left\{ \frac{\mathcal{X} v'(\mathcal{X})}{v(\mathcal{X})} \right\} > w, |\mathcal{X}| < r_1$ , where

$$r_1 = \inf_{\eta \geq 2} \left\{ \left( \frac{1 - w}{\eta - w} \right) \frac{[1 + \tau(\eta - 1)][\eta(\varrho + 1) - (\mathfrak{W} + \varrho)]\phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta)}{1 - \mathfrak{W}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\eta-1}}.$$

2.  $v$  is convex of order  $w, 0 \leq w < 1$ , in the disc  $|\mathcal{X}| < r_2$   
 i.e.,  $\Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{\mathcal{X} v''(\mathcal{X})}{v'(\mathcal{X})} \right\} > w, |\mathcal{X}| < r_2$ , where

$r_2 = \inf_{\eta \geq 2} \left\{ \left( \frac{1-w}{\eta-w} \right)^{\frac{[1+\tau(\eta-1)][\eta(\varrho+1)-(\mathfrak{W}+\varrho)]\phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta)}{1-\mathfrak{W}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\eta}}$ . Each of these consequences are sharp for the extremal function  $v(\mathfrak{x})$  provided by (3.1).

**Proof.** (I). Provided  $v \in A$  and  $v$  is function starshape of order  $w$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{\mathfrak{x} v'(\mathfrak{x})}{v(\mathfrak{x})} - 1 \right| < 1 - w. \quad (4.1)$$

For the LHS (4.1), we have

$$\left| \frac{\mathfrak{x} v'(\mathfrak{x})}{v(\mathfrak{x})} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} (\eta - 1) e_{\eta} |\mathfrak{x}|^{\eta-1}}{1 - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} e_{\eta} |\mathfrak{x}|^{\eta-1}}.$$

The last communication is less than  $1 - w$  if

$$\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \frac{\eta - w}{1 - w} e_{\eta} |\mathfrak{x}|^{\eta-1} < 1.$$

Using the fact that  $v \in TS(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda) \Leftrightarrow$

$$\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \frac{[1 + \tau(\eta - 1)][\eta(\varrho + 1) - (\mathfrak{W} + \varrho)]\phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta)}{1 - \mathfrak{W}} e_{\eta} < 1.$$

We can say (4.1) is true if

$$\frac{\eta - w}{1 - w} |\mathfrak{x}|^{\eta-1} < \frac{[1 + \tau(\eta - 1)][\eta(\varrho + 1) - (\mathfrak{W} + \varrho)]\phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta)}{1 - \mathfrak{W}}$$

or equivalently,

$$|\mathfrak{x}|^{\eta-1} < \frac{(1 - w)[1 + \tau(\eta - 1)][\eta(\varrho + 1) - (\mathfrak{W} + \varrho)]\phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta)}{(\eta - w)(1 - \mathfrak{W})}$$

which yields the function starshapeness of the family.

## 5. Partial Sums

Succeeding the former endeavors by Silverman [12] and Silvia [13] on PS of regular functions. We deliberate in this section PS of functions in this class  $S(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$  and acquire pointed lesser boundaries for the ratios of actual fraction of  $v(\mathfrak{x})$  to  $v_{\varrho}(\mathfrak{x})$  and  $v'(\mathfrak{x})$  to  $v'_{\varrho}(\mathfrak{x})$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $v(\mathfrak{x}) \in S(\tau, \mathfrak{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$  be provided by (1.1) and indicate the PS  $v_I(\mathfrak{x})$  and  $v_{\varrho}$  by

$$v_l(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X} \text{ and } v_\varphi(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X} + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\varphi} e_\eta \mathcal{X}^\eta, \quad (\varphi \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}). \quad (5.1)$$

Suppose that  $\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} l_\eta |e_\eta| \leq 1$ ,

$$\text{where } l_\eta = \frac{[1 + \tau(\eta - 1)][\eta(1 + \varrho) - (\mathcal{W} + \varrho)]\phi(\omega, \lambda, \eta)}{1 - \mathcal{W}}. \quad (5.2)$$

Then  $v \in S(\tau, \mathcal{W}, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$ .

$$\text{Further more, } \Re \left[ \frac{v(\mathcal{X})}{v_\varphi(\mathcal{X})} \right] > 1 - \frac{1}{l_{\varphi+1}}, \quad (\mathcal{X} \in E, \varphi \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (5.3)$$

$$\text{and } \Re \left[ \frac{v_\varphi(\mathcal{X})}{v(\mathcal{X})} \right] > \frac{l_{\varphi+1}}{1 + l_{\varphi+1}}. \quad (5.4)$$

**Proof.** For the Proportions  $l_\eta$  provided by (5.2) it is not tough to authenticate that

$$l_{\eta+1} > l_\eta > 1. \quad (5.5)$$

$$\text{Therefore we have } \sum_{\eta=2}^{\varphi} |e_\eta| + l_{\varphi+1} \sum_{\eta=\varphi+1}^{\infty} |e_\eta| \leq \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} l_\eta |e_\eta| \leq 1 \quad (5.6)$$

by using the postulate (5.2). By setting

$$\begin{aligned} t_l(\mathcal{X}) &= l_{\varphi+1} \left[ \frac{v(\mathcal{X})}{v_\varphi(\mathcal{X})} - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{l_{\varphi+1}} \right) \right] \\ &= 1 + \frac{l_{\varphi+1} \sum_{\eta=\varphi+1}^{\infty} e_\eta \mathcal{X}^{\eta-1}}{1 + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\varphi} e_\eta \mathcal{X}^{\eta-1}} \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

and smearing (5.6), we find that

$$\left| \frac{t_l(\mathcal{X}) - 1}{t_l(\mathcal{X}) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{l_{\varphi+1} \sum_{\eta=\varphi+1}^{\infty} |e_\eta|}{2 - 2 \sum_{\eta=2}^{\varphi} |e_\eta| - l_{\varphi+1} \sum_{\eta=\varphi+1}^{\infty} |e_\eta|} \leq 1 \quad (5.8)$$

Justifying the need is all that is required. (5.3) of Theorem 5.1. To understand that

$$v(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X} + \frac{\mathcal{X}^{\varphi+1}}{l_{\varphi+1}} \quad (5.9)$$

provides sharp consequence, we scrtinise that for  $\mathcal{X} = re^{\frac{i\pi}{\varphi}}$  that

$$\frac{v(\mathcal{X})}{v_\varphi(\mathcal{X})} = 1 + \frac{\mathcal{X}^\varphi}{l_{\varphi+1}} \rightarrow 1 - \frac{1}{l_{\varphi+1}} \text{ as } \mathcal{X} \rightarrow I^-.$$

Similarly, if we take

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_2(\mathcal{X}) &= (I + l_{\phi+1}) \left( \frac{v_{\phi}(\mathcal{X})}{v(\mathcal{X})} - \frac{l_{\phi+1}}{I + l_{\phi+1}} \right) \\
 &= I - \frac{(I + l_{\eta+1}) \sum_{\eta=\phi+1}^{\infty} e_{\eta} \mathcal{X}^{\eta-1}}{I + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} e_{\eta} \mathcal{X}^{\eta-1}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

and constructing use of (5.6), we can comprehend that

$$\left| \frac{t_2(\mathcal{X}) - I}{t_2(\mathcal{X}) + I} \right| \leq \frac{(I + l_{\phi+1}) \sum_{\eta=\phi+1}^{\infty} |e_{\eta}|}{2 - 2 \sum_{\eta=2}^{\phi} |e_{\eta}| - (I - l_{\phi+1}) \sum_{\eta=\phi+1}^{\infty} |e_{\eta}|}$$

which results is directly to the Pronouncement(5.4) of the principle 5.1.

For each, the bound is sharp in (5.4)  $\phi \in \mathbb{N}$  with the external function  $v(\mathcal{X})$  provided by (5.9). The evidence of the Theorem 5.1, is consequently absolute.

**Theorem 5.2.** If  $v(\mathcal{X})$  of the type (1.1) fulfils the ailment (2.1) then

$$\Re \left[ \frac{v'(\mathcal{X})}{v'_{\phi}(\mathcal{X})} \right] \geq I - \frac{\phi + I}{l_{\phi+1}}. \tag{5.11}$$

*Proof.* By setting

$$\begin{aligned}
 t(\mathcal{X}) &= l_{\phi+1} \left[ \frac{v'(\mathcal{X})}{v'_{\phi}(\mathcal{X})} \right] - \left( I - \frac{\phi + I}{l_{\phi+1}} \right) \\
 &= \frac{I + \frac{l_{\phi+1}}{\phi+1} \sum_{\eta=\phi+1}^{\infty} \eta e_{\eta} \mathcal{X}^{\eta-1} + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \eta e_{\eta} \mathcal{X}^{\eta-1}}{I + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \eta e_{\eta} \mathcal{X}^{\eta-1}} \\
 &= I + \frac{\frac{l_{\phi+1}}{\phi+1} \sum_{\eta=\phi+1}^{\infty} \eta e_{\eta} \mathcal{X}^{\eta-1}}{I + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \eta e_{\eta} \mathcal{X}^{\eta-1}} \\
 \left| \frac{t(\mathcal{X}) - 1}{t(\mathcal{X}) + 1} \right| &\leq \frac{\frac{l_{\phi+1}}{\phi+1} \sum_{\eta=\phi+1}^{\infty} \eta |e_{\eta}|}{2 - 2 \sum_{\eta=2}^{\phi} \eta |e_{\eta}| - \frac{l_{\phi+1}}{\phi+1} \sum_{\eta=\phi+1}^{\infty} \eta |e_{\eta}|}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

$$\text{Now } \left| \frac{t(\mathcal{X}) - 1}{t(\mathcal{X}) + 1} \right| \leq 1 \text{ if } \sum_{\eta=2}^{\phi} \eta |e_{\eta}| + \frac{l_{\phi+1}}{\phi+1} \eta |e_{\eta}| \leq 1. \tag{5.13}$$

Since the L.H.S of (5.13) is enclosed over by  $\sum_{\eta=2}^{\phi} l_{\eta} |e_{\eta}|$  if

$$\sum_{\eta=2}^{\phi} (l_{\eta} - \eta) |e_{\eta}| + \sum_{\eta=\phi+1}^{\infty} l_{\eta} - \frac{l_{\phi+1}}{\phi+1} \eta |e_{\eta}| \geq 0. \tag{5.14}$$

The impact on the extreme function is severe.

$$v(\kappa) = \kappa + \frac{\kappa^{\phi+1}}{l_{\phi+1}}.$$

**Theorem 5.3.** If  $v(\kappa)$  of the type (1.1) fulfils the ailment (2.1) then

$$\Re \left[ \frac{v'_{\rho}(\mathcal{X})}{v'(\mathcal{X})} \right] \geq \frac{l_{\rho+1}}{\rho + 1 + l_{\rho+1}}. \quad (5.15)$$

**Proof.** By setting

$$\begin{aligned} t(\mathcal{X}) &= [\rho + 1 + l_{\rho+1}] \left[ \frac{v'_{\rho}(\mathcal{X})}{v'(\mathcal{X})} - \frac{l_{\rho+1}}{\rho + 1 + l_{\rho+1}} \right] \\ &= 1 - \frac{\left(1 + \frac{l_{\rho+1}}{\rho+1}\right) \sum_{\eta=\rho+1}^{\infty} \eta e_{\eta} \mathcal{X}^{\eta-1}}{1 + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\rho} \eta e_{\eta} \mathcal{X}^{\eta-1}} \end{aligned}$$

and making use of (5.14), we construe that

$$\left| \frac{t(\mathcal{X}) - 1}{t(\mathcal{X}) + 1} \right| \leq \frac{\left(1 + \frac{l_{\rho+1}}{\rho+1}\right) \sum_{\eta=\rho+1}^{\infty} \eta |e_{\eta}|}{2 - 2 \sum_{\eta=2}^{\rho} \eta |e_{\eta}| - \left(1 + \frac{l_{\rho+1}}{\rho+1}\right) \sum_{\eta=\rho+1}^{\infty} \eta |e_{\eta}|} \leq 1,$$

which indications us without delay to the allegation of the Theorem 5.3.

## 6. Neighborhood Consequences

**Neighborhood for the class  $S^{\xi}(\tau, \mathcal{W}, \rho, \omega, \lambda)$ :**

In this segment, we establish the neighborhoods for the group  $S^{\xi}(\tau, \mathcal{W}, \rho, \omega, \lambda)$  which we describe as follow:

**Definition 6.1.** A function  $v \in A$  is said to be in the class  $S^{\xi}(\tau, \mathcal{W}, \rho, \omega, \lambda)$  if there exist a function

$t \in S(\tau, \mathcal{W}, \rho, \omega, \lambda)$  such that

$$\left| \frac{v(\mathcal{X})}{t(\mathcal{X})} - 1 \right| < 1 - \mathcal{W}, \quad (\mathcal{X} \in E, 0 \leq \mathcal{W} < 1). \quad (6.1)$$

For any function  $v(\mathcal{X}) \in A$ ,  $\mathcal{X} \in E$  and  $w \geq 0$ , we specified

$$N_{\eta,w}(v) = \left\{ t \in S: t(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X} + \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} b_{\eta} \mathcal{X}^{\eta} \text{ and } \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} \eta |e_{\eta} - b_{\eta}| \leq w \right\}. \quad (6.2)$$

**Theorem 6.2.** If  $t \in S(\tau, \mathcal{W}, \rho, \omega, \lambda)$  and

$$\xi = 1 - \frac{w(1 - \mathcal{W})}{2[(1 - \mathcal{W}) - (1 + \tau)(2 + \rho - \mathcal{W})\phi(\omega, \lambda, 2)]} \quad (6.3)$$

then  $N_{\eta,w}(t) \subset S^{\xi}(\tau, \mathcal{W}, \rho, \omega, \lambda)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $v \in N_{\eta,w}(t)$ . Then from (6.2)

$$\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} n |e_{\eta} - b_{\eta}| \leq w \tag{6.4}$$

the coefficient inequality is produced by.

$$\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} |e_{\eta} - b_{\eta}| \leq \frac{w}{2}, \quad (\eta \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{6.5}$$

Next, since  $t \in S(\tau, \varpi, \varrho, \omega, \lambda)$ , we have

$$\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} b_{\eta} \leq \frac{(1 + \tau)(2 + \varrho - \varpi)\phi(\omega, \lambda, 2)}{1 - \varpi}. \tag{6.6}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{v(\mathcal{X})}{t(\mathcal{X})} - 1 \right| &< \frac{\sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} |e_{\eta} - b_{\eta}|}{1 - \sum_{\eta=2}^{\infty} b_{\eta}} \\ &= \frac{w(1 - \varpi)}{2[(1 - \varpi) - (1 + \tau)(2 + \varrho - \varpi)\phi(\omega, \lambda, 2)]} \\ &= 1 - \xi \end{aligned}$$

Provided  $\xi$  is provided by (6.3). Hence proved.

### References

- [1] Ahuja, O. P., Murugusundaramoorthy, G. and Magesh, N., Integral means for uniformly convex and starlike functions associated with generalized hypergeometric functions, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, 8, 1-9, (2007).
- [2] Bharati, R., Parvatham, R. and Swaminathan, A., On subclasses of uniformly convex functions and corresponding class of starlike functions, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, 28, 17-32, (1997).
- [3] Goodman, A. W., Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8, 598--601, (1957).
- [4] Goodman, A. W., On uniformly convex functions, *Ann. Pol. Math.*, 56, 87-92, (1991).
- [5] Kanas, S. and Wisniowska, A., Conic regions and k-uniform convexity, *Comput. Appl. Math.*, 105, 327-336, (1999).
- [6] Kanas, S. and Wisniowska, A., Conic domains and starlike functions, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.*, 45, 647-657, (2000).
- [7] Murugusundaramoorthy, G. and Magesh, N., Certain subclasses of starlike functions of complex order involving generalised hypergeometric functions, *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, 45, 12 pages, (2010).
- [8] Ronning, F., On starlike functions associated with parabolic regions, *Ann. Univ. Mariae. Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A*, 45, 117-122, (1991).
- [9] Ronning, F., Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 118, 189-196, (1993).
- [10] Ruscheweyh, S., Neighborhoods of univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 81 (4), 521--527, (1981).
- [11] Silverman, H., Univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 51, 109-116, (1975).
- [12] Silverman, H., Partial sums of starlike and convex functions, *J. Anal. Appl.*, 209, 221-227, (1997).
- [13] Silvia, E. M., Partial sums of convex functions of order R, *Houston J. Math.*, 11 (3), 397-404, (1985).
- [14] Spanier, J. and Oldham, K. B., The zeta numbers and realted functions, Chapter 3 in *An Atlas of functions*, Washington, Dc:Hemisphere, 25-33, (1987).
- [15] Thirupathi Reddy, P. and Venkateswarlu, B., On a certain subclass of uniformly convex functions defined by bessel functions, *Transylvanian J. of Math. and Mech.*, 10 ( 1), 43 - 49, (2018).